

MARCH 2013

Decisions of the District Court of New South Wales

LAWBOOK CO.'S
DISTRICT COURT
LAW REPORTS
(NSW)

2012

EDITOR

DR RJ DESIATNIK
Barrister-at-Law

CONSULTING EDITOR

A M BLACKMORE SC, B LEG, LLM
Judge of the District Court of NSW

REPORTER

DR RJ DESIATNIK

The mode of citation of this part will be:
15 DCLR(NSW)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 2 — Pages 104-202

Bain v Bambit and Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency	41
Bambit and Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency; Bain v	41
Batterham; R v	23
Brady; R v	182
Chen v New South Wales	165
Chippindall (No 1); Nikolaidis v	136
Chippindall (No 2); Nikolaidis v	156
Cosco Container Lines Co Ltd & Anr v Unity Int'l Cargo Pty Ltd	1
Dunn; R v	144
Health Support Services Pty Ltd; Prasad v	86
Jones & Jones Master Plumbers Pty Ltd; Reece Pty Ltd v	28
Liu; Deputy Commissioner of Taxation v	57
Markarian; R v	98
New South Wales; Chen v	165
Nikolaidis v Chippindall (No 1)	136
Nikolaidis v Chippindall (No 2)	156
Page v Commissioner of Police	7
Police, Commissioner of; Page v	7
Prasad v Health Support Services Pty Ltd	86
R v Batterham	23
R v Brady	182
R v Dunn	144
R v Markarian	98
R v Veitch (No 2)	152
R v Veitch	170
Reece Pty Ltd v Jones & Jones Master Plumbers Pty Ltd	28
Rosella Foods Pty Ltd; Unilever Australia Ltd v	185
Svitzer Salvage Australasia Pty Ltd v Trident Australasia Pty Ltd (No 2)	128
Taxation, Deputy Commissioner of v Liu	57

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Taxation, Deputy Commissioner of v Zammitt	104
Trident Australasia Pty Ltd (No 2); Svitzer Salvage Australasia Pty Ltd v	128
Unilever Australia Ltd v Rosella Foods Pty Ltd	185
Unity Int'l Cargo Pty Ltd; Cosco Container Lines Co Ltd & Anr v	1
Veitch (No 2); R v	152
Veitch; R v	170
Zammitt; Deputy Commissioner of Taxation v	104

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

INDEX

Part 2 — Pages 104-202

COMPANIES

Administration — Effect on court proceedings against company — Statutory prohibition on continuing with such proceedings without administrator's consent — No application of prohibition to delivery of reserved judgment — Whether applicable to enforcement of judgment and costs order against company — Corporations Act 2001 (Cth), ss 440D, 444E(3), 471B — Acts Interpretation Act 1901 (Cth), s 2C — Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005 (NSW), r 36.3(3).

Unilever Australia Ltd v Rosella Foods Pty Ltd 185

COSTS

Assessment — Appeal from cost assessor's determinations — Substantial dispute over terms of contract under which costs payable — Such dispute not matter for costs assessor to decide — Proper forum for appeals against costs assessments of small amounts.

Nikolaidis v Chippindall (No 2) 156

Departing from general rule — Conduct of parties — Claim by Deputy Commissioner of Taxation for interest and costs — Enactment of retrospective legislation by Commonwealth potentially preventing failure of such claim — Whether costs and interest recoverable.

Deputy Commissioner of Taxation v Zammitt 104

Indemnity costs — Offer of compromise — Calderbank offer — Effectiveness — Relevant principles — Relevance of credibility of witness at hearing — Whether rejection of offer unreasonable — Relevant factors.

Svitzer Salvage Australasia Pty Ltd v Trident Australasia Pty Ltd (No 2) 128

Indemnity costs — Offer of compromise — Exercise of discretion — On ground of conduct — Refusal of offeror to extend time for acceptance by one and a half hours — Whether such refusal constituted failure to comply with statutory obligations — Failure by offerees to make counter offer — Effect — Failure to provide and to ask reason for request for extension — Effect — Civil Procedure Act 2005 (NSW), s 56 — Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005 (NSW), rr 20.26(7)(b), 42.15A(2)(b)(i).

Chen v New South Wales 165

CRIMINAL LAW

Evidence — Proof of identity of person — Voice identification — Honest view not necessarily accurate view — Factors impeding accuracy of voice identification — Conflict between transcript and recording — Resolution of conflict.

R v Dunn 144

Evidence — Sexual assault communications privilege — Grant of privilege — Relevant factors — Seriousness of criminal charge — Materiality of standard non-parole period — Reasonable expectation of privacy — Whether such expectation preventative of grant of leave for access to privileged material — Criminal Procedure Act 1986 (NSW), ss 296, 299B, 299D.

R v Veitch 170

INDEX

CRIMINAL LAW — *continued*

Particular offences — Offences against the person — Offence of recklessly causing grievous bodily harm — Whether such offence one of specific intent — Crimes Act 1900 (NSW), ss 35, 428B.

R v Brady 182

DISTRICT COURT

Appeal to Court of Criminal Appeal — Appeal from interlocutory judgment — Application for issue of certificate — Relevant factors — Criminal Appeal Act 1912 (NSW), ss 5F(3AA), 5F(3AB).

R v Veitch (No 2) 152

Jurisdiction — Appeal against costs assessment under Legal Profession Act 1987 (NSW) — Whether District Court empowered to hear such an appeal — Legal Profession Act 1987 (NSW), ss 208L, 208M — Legal Profession Act 2004 (NSW), ss 384, 385, 387, Pt 2 of Sch 9, cl 18, 22, 22A, 34 — Courts and Crimes Legislation Amendment Act 2008 (NSW), Sch 14.

Nikolaidis v Chippindall (No 1) 136

EVIDENCE

Proof of particular matters — Terms of agreement — Disagreement of parties as to terms — No evidence of soundness of either party's position or of arguability of either party's case — Effect.

Unilever Australia Ltd v Rosella Foods Pty Ltd 185

INCOME TAX

Collection and recovery — Proceedings for recovery — Proceedings against company's director for company's unremitted taxes — Service of valid notice on director precondition to proceedings — Provision in notice of wrong date — Whether rectifying legislation applicable — Application of current legislation repealing prior Act under which notice given — Income Tax Assessment Act 1936 (Cth), s 222AOE — Taxation Administration Act 1953 (Cth), s 269-25 — Tax Laws Amendment (Transfer of Provisions) Act 2010 (Cth), Sch 1, Pt 3, Div 5, items 64, 65 — Tax Laws Amendment (2011 Measures No 7) Act 2011 (Cth), Sch 7.

Deputy Commissioner of Taxation v Zammitt 104

SET-OFF, COUNTER-CLAIM AND CROSS-ACTION

Set-off — Equitable set-off — Availability — Principles — What may be set-off.

Unilever Australia Ltd v Rosella Foods Pty Ltd 185

WORDS AND PHRASES

“Sent by pre-paid post”.

Deputy Commissioner of Taxation v Zammitt 104