

NOVEMBER 2021

Decisions relevant to succession and trusts law practice from
the High Court, Federal Court and Supreme Courts of all
Australian States and Territories

AUSTRALIAN SUCCESSION AND TRUSTS LAW REPORTS 2020

EDITORS
DAVID WRIGHT
PROFESSOR PRUE VINES

HONORARY EDITORIAL ADVISER
PROFESSOR ROSALIND CROUCHER

REPORTER
DAVID CROCKER
J VENEZIANO

VOL 19 — PART 4

PAGES 469-623

The mode of citation of this part will be:
19 ASTLR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 4 — Pages 469-623

Attorney-General (NSW); King v	511
Attorney-General (Qld); Corporation of the Trustees of the Roman Catholic Queensland Regional Seminary v	227
Barber; Brown v	56
Bellman v Peters	275
Binetter v Binetter	501
Binetter; Binetter v	501
Brindley v Wade (No 2)	578
Brown v Barber	56
Choi; Li v	523
Corporation of the Trustees of the Roman Catholic Queensland Regional Seminary v Attorney-General (Qld)	227
Crosby, Re	293
Dolso; Serwin v	208
Flavel, Re	1
Gao, Re	306
Gibbs, Re	619
Gorman v McKnight	181
Haertsch v Whiteway	560
Horner, Re	469
Italiano v Italiano	200
Italiano; Italiano v	200
King v Attorney-General (NSW)	511
Li v Choi	523
Marsella; Wareham v	237
McHenry, Re	266
McKnight; Gorman v	181
O'Donnell v O'Donnell	160

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2021 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1836-8484

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

O'Donnell; O'Donnell v	160
Outram v Public Trustee of Queensland	492
Peters; Bellman v	275
Public Trustee of Queensland; Outram v	492
Serwin v Dolso	208
Smith, Re	531
Thomas, Re	43
Wade (No 2); Brindley v	578
Wareham v Marsella	237
Whiteway; Haertsch v	560

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

INDEX

Part 4 — Pages 469-623

APPEAL AND NEW TRIAL

Procedure — Powers of Court — Costs — Court has unfettered discretion as to the costs of an appeal — Whether all parties should have their costs of the appeal paid out of the estate — Civil Proceedings Act 2011 (Qld), s 15 — Succession Act 1981 (Qld), ss 10, 18 — Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999 (Qld), rr 681, 766(1)(d).
Li v Choi 523

CHARITIES

Trust established in testator's will — Whether gift charitable purposes — Administration and control by Court — Where parties agree trust property should be applied cy-près — Whether the purposes of the trust had ceased to prove a suitable and effective method of using the trust property, within the meaning of s 9 of the Charitable Trusts Act 1993 (NSW).
King v Attorney-General (NSW) 511

SECURITY FOR COSTS

Plaintiff overseas executor of local deceased estate — Whether plaintiff deemed resident in NSW — Delay — Impact of delay on allowance for past costs — Security ordered- Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005 (NSW), reg 42.21 — Probate and Administration Act 1898 (NSW), s 97.
Binetter v Binetter 501

SUCCESSION

Construction and effect of testamentary dispositions — s 107 of the Powers of Attorney Act 1998 (Qld) — Directions as to payment.
Outram v Public Trustee of Queensland 492

Construction and effect of testamentary dispositions — Testator's intention — Appellant appeals against order of the Court revoking grant of probate — Testator's will intended to be temporary pending preparation and execution of an instrument making better provision — Temporary will provided that estate be distributed equally between four beneficiaries — Testator did not want estate to be so distributed — Appellant claims testator knew and approved of contents of will — Second respondent claims that will did not express mind of testator — Whether testator executed the will on footing that distribution not to be equal and whether testator had not made up his mind as to what the relevant proportions would be.
Li v Choi 523

INDEX

SUCCESSION — *continued*

- Family provision — Claim by adult child — Proceedings not commenced within time — Application made 12 and a half years out of time — Primary beneficiary of testator deceased — General assurances of a testamentary benefit given to applicant by deceased beneficiary — Whether sufficient cause shown to extend time — Whether primary judge erred in disregarding prejudice to deceased beneficiary and her estate in exercising discretion to extend time — Family Provision Act 1982 (NSW), ss 6(4), 6(5), 7, 8, 9, 16(1)(b), 16(2), 16(3)(b), 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27(2) — Real Property Act 1900 (NSW), s 93 — Succession Act 2006 (NSW), ss 57, 58, 59.
- Haertsch v Whiteway* 560
- Family provision — Claim by former spouse for provision from the deceased's estate — Probate granted to defendant — Defendant one of named executors and one of three children of deceased — Defendant a beneficiary equally entitled with her two siblings to share deceased's estate — Whether factors exist warranting the making of application — Whether plaintiff established facts giving her status of a person who would be generally regarded as a natural object of testamentary recognition by the deceased — Marriage ended about four years prior to deceased's death — Subsequent acrimonious business relationship existed between plaintiff and deceased — Shortly prior to death of deceased financial affairs resolved by final orders of Federal Circuit Court to which the plaintiff and the deceased agreed — Civil Procedure Act 2005 (NSW), ss 62, 98 — Evidence (Audio and Audio Visual Links) Act 1998 (NSW), s 5B — Family Law Act 1975 (Cth), ss 79, 79A — Probate and Administration Act 1898 (NSW), s 86 — Succession Act 2006 (NSW), ss 3, 57, 58, 59, 61, 63, 84, 88, 89, Ch 3 — Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005 (NSW), rr 42.1, 42.2, 42.20.
- Brindley v Wade (No 2)* 578
- Family provision — Notional estate — Property of estate distributed — Primary beneficiary deceased and her estate held by executor — Notional estate order made by primary judge — Whether estate of deceased beneficiary able to be designated notional estate under Family Provision Act 1982 (NSW).
- Haertsch v Whiteway* 560
- Intestate estate — Application for grant of administration by daughter of deceased — Referral by the Registrar of Probate — Surviving spouse entitled to the whole of intestate estate — Applicant not "next of kin" for purpose of grant of administration — Not entitled to take an interest in the estate — Surviving spouse does not "appear and pray for administration" — Applicant considered fit to be granted administration — Administration granted to applicant.
- Re Gibbs* 619
- Intestate estate — Deceased's spouse entitled to the estate on intestacy — Spouse disclaims his interest — Applicant proposes distribution of intestate estate between deceased's children in equal shares — On disclaimer, disclaimed benefit passes to next class of beneficiary — Deceased's issue entitled in equal shares — Administration and Probate Act 1969 (NT), ss 6(1), 17(2)(c), 22(1), 66 and Sch 6, Pt I, Item 2, para 1(a).
- Re Gibbs* 619

INDEX

TRUSTS

- Charitable trust — Testamentary trust — Charitable institutions named in deceased's will no longer in existence — Whether charitable purpose of those institutions continued through defendant charitable institutions — Whether defendant charitable institutions successors to institutions named in will — Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2015 (Vic), r 54.02.
Re Smith 531

WILLS AND ESTATES

- Administration and probate — Application brought for advice or directions in relation to access to and disposal of ashes of deceased parents — Co-executors not named as parties — Whether judge erred in finding adopted procedure not appropriate — Whether judge erred in not giving applicant a fair hearing — Apprehended bias — Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2015 (Vic), O 54.
Re Horner 469