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The thematic edition that was scheduled for this issue of the journal did not proceed. Given the subject matters upon which recent submissions have been received, the Editors elected to proceed with a general edition of the journal.
Submission requirements

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be emailed to the Production Editor, Criminal Law Journal, at LTA.crimlj@thomsonreuters.com for forwarding to the Editor.

Licences
- It is a condition of publication in the journal that contributors complete a licence agreement. Licence agreements can be downloaded at http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed separately to the Production Editor, Criminal Law Journal, Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited, PO Box 3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039.

Letters to the Editor
- By submitting a letter to the Editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Reuters, trading as Lawbook Co, may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript
- Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted or accepted for publication elsewhere, including for online publication.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript on a separate page.
- Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email in Microsoft Word format.
- An abstract of 100-150 words must be included at the head of articles.
- Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Proof pages will be emailed to contributors but excessive changes cannot be accommodated.
- Graphics (diagrams and graphs) to be grayscale; in .jpeg format; no more than 12 cm in width; within a box; of high resolution (at least 300 dpi); font is to be Times New Roman, no more than 10pt. The heading for a graphic should be placed outside the box.

Peer review
- This journal complies with the Higher Education Research Data Collection (HERDC) Specifications for peer review. Each article is, prior to acceptance, reviewed in its entirety by a suitably qualified expert who is independent of the author.

Style
1. Levels of headings must be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).
2. Unpointed style is to be used – there are no full stops after any abbreviation or contraction.
3. Cases:
   - Where a case is cited in the text, the citation follows immediately after the case name, not as a footnote.
   - Authorised reports must be cited where published, and one other reference can be used in addition.
   - For “at” references use media-neutral paragraph numbers within square brackets whenever available.
   - For international cases best references only should be used.
4. Legislation is cited as follows:
5. Books are cited as follows:
   - In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
   2 Hayton, n 1, p 286.
6. Journals are cited as follows:
   - In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
   2 Trindade and Smith, n 3 at 358-359.
   - Wherever possible use official journal title abbreviations.
7. Internet references are cited as follows:
SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Criminal Law Journal comprises six parts a year.

Customer service and sales inquiries:
Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196
Web: www.thomsonreuters.com.au
Email: LTA.Service@thomsonreuters.com

Editorial inquiries:
Tel: (02) 8587 7000

HEAD OFFICE
100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009
Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100

THOMSON REUTERS

© 2010 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited ABN 64 058 914 668
Lawbook Co. Published in Sydney

ISSN 0314–1160

Typeset by Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited, Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW