

MARCH 2016

The Authorised Reports of Decisions of the
Supreme Court of South Australia

THE
SOUTH
AUSTRALIAN
STATE REPORTS
2015

GENERAL EDITOR
DANIEL LORBEER

ASSISTANT EDITOR
EMILY SIMS

CONSULTANT EDITOR
KELVYN PRESCOTT DCJ

REPORTERS IN THIS PART

ENZO BELPERIO
ANNA BULMAN
ALEXIS HENRY-COMLEY

KATE HIGGINS
SALLY GILES
ALEXANDER VIAL

VOL 124 — PART 1

PAGES 1-151

The mode of citation of this part will be:
124 SASR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-151

Chief Executive, Department of Premier and Cabinet (SA); Oakes v	56
Coroner's Court (SA); Chief Executive, Department for Health and Ageing v	132
Health and Ageing, Chief Executive, Department for v Coroner's Court (SA)	132
Ioannidis; R v	86
Manning v South Australia	76
Muir; R v	71
Oakes v Chief Executive, Department of Premier and Cabinet (SA)	56
R v Ioannidis	86
R v Muir	71
R v Western	38
South Australia; Manning v	76
State Taxation, Commissioner of; T & S Liapis Pty Ltd v	1
T & S Liapis Pty Ltd v Commissioner of State Taxation	1
Western; R v	38

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2016 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited
ABN 64 058 914 668

Lawbook Co.

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0049-1470

INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-151

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

- Non-judicial organs of government — Legislature — Legislation and legislative powers — Legislative powers — Power to legislate retrospectively — Legislation enacted following High Court decision holding that acts previously done unlawful — Enacted while litigation on foot concerning effect of unlawful acts — Whether valid.
Oakes v Chief Executive, Department of the Premier and Cabinet (SA) and Another 56

CRIMINAL LAW

- Evidence — Propensity, tendency and co-incidence — Admissibility and relevancy — For particular purpose — Sexual offences — Evidence disclosing a sexual attraction to a particular class of child and willingness to act on that attraction — Whether admissible.
R v Western 38
- Evidence — Propensity, tendency and co-incidence — Admissibility and relevancy — Propensity evidence — Evidence of uncharged acts — Discreditable conduct — Evidence that demonstrates embedded behavioural proclivity to engage in conduct of the kind charged — Whether admissible — Evidence Act 1929 (SA), s 34P.
R v Western 38
- Procedure — Warrants, arrest, search, seizure and incidental powers — Search and seizure — Subject of a firearms prohibition order — Officer need not suspect that there has been a contravention of the order to enliven the power — Firearms Act 1977 (SA), s 32(3a).
R v Ioannidis 86
- Sentence — Post-custodial orders — Parole — Eligibility and release — Automatic parole — Exceptions — Offence of personal violence — “Home invasion” — Includes offence of serious criminal trespass in a place of residence — Offence need not involve any element of violence — Correctional Services Act 1992 (SA), s 66(2)(ab), (3)(c).
Manning v South Australia 76
- Sentence — Sentencing orders — Non-custodial orders — Suspended sentence of imprisonment — Breach of conditions of suspension and sentence following breach — Sentence of imprisonment for breaching offence — Court to determine head sentence for breaching offence, then decide whether to revoke suspension of earlier sentence, then fix non-parole period for combined sentence — Criminal Law (Sentencing) Act 1988 (SA), ss 32(1)(a), 58(4)(c).
R v Muir 71
- Sentence — Sentencing orders — Non-parole period or minimum term — On sentencing for offence constituting breach of suspended sentence bond — Court to determine head sentence for breaching offence, then decide whether to revoke suspension of earlier sentence, then fix non-parole period for combined sentence.
R v Muir 71

INDEX

HEALTH LAW

Administration of public health system — Health records and disclosure of medical information — Statutory prohibitions against making records and disclosing or making use of relevant information — Whether summons can be issued requiring production of documents subject to prohibition — Health Care Act 2008 (SA), s 66.

Chief Executive, Department for Health and Ageing v Coroner's Court of South Australia 132

STATUTES

Acts of Parliament — Operation and effect of Acts — Retrospective operation — Declaratory and validating Acts — Following High Court decision holding that acts previously done unlawful — Enacted while litigation on foot concerning effect of unlawful acts — Whether valid — Budget Measures Act 2014 (SA), Sch 1, Pt 1 — Education Act 1972 (SA), s 22A.

Oakes v Chief Executive, Department of the Premier and Cabinet (SA) and Another 56

TAXES AND DUTIES

Land tax — Objection and appeal — Right of objection to and appeal against tax assessment is limited to assessments at law and does not include purported assessments — Taxation Administration Act 1996 (SA), ss 82, 92.

T & S Liapis Pty Ltd v Commissioner of State Taxation 1