

JULY 2025

Decisions of the District Court of New South Wales

LAWBOOK CO.'s
DISTRICT COURT
LAW REPORTS
(NSW)

2023-2024

EDITOR

DR RJ DESIATNIK

CONSULTING EDITOR

A M BLACKMORE SC, B LEG, LL.M

Acting Judge of the District Court of NSW

REPORTER

DR RJ DESIATNIK

The mode of citation of this part will be:
43 DCLR(NSW)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 3 — Pages 221-332

Acon Projects Pty Ltd; SafeWork NSW v	34
Agostini; R v	156
Allen (a pseudonym) (No 2); R v	1
Boland v SAS Trustee Corporation	119
Burton v State of New South Wales	51
C v S	124
Central Coast Council; Towns v	199
Communities and Justice, Department of; SNN v	87
CP (No 2); R v	112
Duncan v Department of Education	59
Education, Department of; Duncan v	59
Education, Department of; Giacchi v	244
Education, Department of; Zaksaw Pty Ltd v	244
Fortuna; R v	46
Gauci v Roo	161
Gayed; Reis v	11
Georges v Georges	285
Georges; Georges v	285
GGX; MCX v	269
Giacchi v Department of Education	244
Honey v Robert Sheridan Family Trust	59
Johnson; R v	171
King, The; McMartin v	221
King, The; Skapik v	181
King, The; Stenner-Wall v	133
Magnan v Upper Shire Council	59
Manning v Sydney Trains	59
McMartin v The King	221
MCX v GGX	269

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Naidenov (t/as in his capacity as Liquidator of Tyres for Less Pty Ltd (in liq)) v Unique Motor Group Pty Ltd	150
New South Wales, State of; Burton v	51
Ocwieja; VJ Ray Pty Ltd v	144
Owners – Strata Plan 11245 v Qasim	229
Qasim; Owners – Strata Plan 11245 v	229
R v Agostini	156
R v Allen (a pseudonym) (No 2)	1
R v CP (No 2)	112
R v Fortuna	46
R v Johnson	171
R v SLD (No 2)	304
R v SLD (No 3)	309
R v SLD	300
R v VK	207
Ramsay v Southern NSW Local Health District	59
Reis v Gayed	11
Robert Sheridan Family Trust; Honey v	59
Roo; Gauci v	161
S; C v	124
SafeWork NSW v Acon Projects Pty Ltd	34
SAS Trustee Corporation; Boland v	119
Skapik v The King	181
SLD (No 2); R v	304
SLD (No 3); R v	309
SLD; R v	300
SNN v Department of Communities and Justice	87
Southern NSW Local Health District; Ramsay v	59
Stenner-Wall v The King	133
Sydney Trains; Manning v	59
Towns v Central Coast Council	199
Unique Motor Group Pty Ltd; Naidenov (t/as in his capacity as Liquidator of Tyres for Less Pty Ltd (in liq)) v	150
Upper Shire Council; Magnan v	59
VJ Ray Pty Ltd v Ocwieja	144
VK; R v	207
Zaksaw Pty Ltd v Department of Education	244

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

INDEX

Part 3 — Pages 221-332

APPEAL

- Criminal appeal legislation — Determination of appeal to District Court against conviction of appellant in Local Court — Relevant principles — Crimes (Appeal and Review) Act 2001 (NSW), s 18.
McMartin v The King 221
- When appeal lies — Denial of natural justice — Whether this ground of appeal made out — Relevant factors — Whether credibility of party ascertainable from party's behaviour in court.
McMartin v The King 221

COSTS

- Indemnity costs — Unsuccessful motion by person served with subpoena to set it aside — Allegation that subpoena had no legitimate forensic purpose when some subpoenaed documents produced on ad hoc basis — Real reason for noncompliance with subpoena not its lack of proper purpose but compliance burdensome — Whether unreasonable conduct — Other relevant factors — Proper approach to compliance with subpoena — Whether noncompliance justified because only limited material would be produced — Determination as to whether conduct in response to subpoena reasonable — Relevant principles — Maintenance of unsustainable assertion that subpoena oppressive followed by withdrawal of assertion — Whether unfair practice — Difficulty in finding subpoenaed documents — Relevance — Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005 (NSW), r 33.4.
Georges v Georges 285

CRIMINAL LAW

- Appeal to District Court from conviction of appellants by Local Court — Relevant principles — Crimes (Appeal and Review) Act 2001 (NSW), ss 11, 18.
Zaksaw Pty Ltd v Department of Education 244
- Child welfare — Care and protection of children — Offences under s 167 of Children (Education and Care Services) National Law 2010 (NSW) — Whether offences of strict liability — Relevant principles — Children (Education and Care Services) National Law 2010 (NSW), ss 3, 5, 104, 162, 165, 167.
Zaksaw Pty Ltd v Department of Education 244
- Criminal liability — Strict liability — Particular offences — Breach of extended supervisory order — Whether offence of strict liability — Determining whether offence one of strict liability — Relevant principles — Crimes (High Risk Offenders) Act 2006 (NSW), ss 11, 12.
R v SLD (No 2) 304
- Extended supervision order imposed on person following his conviction for murder when aged 13 — Charge of breach of order — Whether prohibition of revelation of accused's name in connection to crime of murder extended to proceedings for breach of order — Crimes (High Risk Offenders) Act 2006 (NSW), s 15A — Children (Criminal Proceedings) Act 1987 (NSW), s 12.
R v SLD 300

INDEX

CRIMINAL LAW — *continued*

- Particular offences — Breach of Extended Supervision Order forbidding person from associating with child — Meaning of “associate” — Whether person breached order on three occasions — Relevant factors — Crimes (High Risk Offenders) Act 2006 (NSW), ss 5(b), 9(1)(a), 12.
R v SLD (No 3) 309

EVIDENCE

- Contemporaneous document — Evidentiary weight of such evidence — Whether contents of such document true because document contemporaneous with events.
McMartin v The King 221

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS

- Barristers — Advocate’s immunity — Grant of immunity — Relevant principles — Scope of immunity — Whether immunity extended to barrister seeking court’s consent to orders which would not finalise proceedings or where court not required to be satisfied of appropriateness of orders — Whether such suggested limits to immunity arguable.
MCX v GGX and Others 269

PRACTICE

- Pleadings — Application to strike out — Relevant principles — Application for leave to amend pleadings — Relevant principles — Civil Procedure Act 2005 (NSW), s 64 — Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005 (NSW), r 14.28.
MCX v GGX and Others 269

STRATA TITLES

- Owners corporation — Actions by owners corporation — Recovery of contributions owed by lot owner for non-payment of levies struck by resolutions passed at strata meetings — Failure to prove service of notices of such meetings — Whether resolutions passed at such meetings thereby invalid — Recovery action by owners corporation conditional on notice of such action first having been given to lot owner — Notice claimed greater sum than was ultimately recovered — Whether action thereby precluded — Allegations by lot owner that owners corporation in breach of its statutory duty to maintain common property — Relevance with respect to recovery action — Strata Schemes Management Act 2015 (NSW), ss 4, 24, 83, 85, 86, 106.
The Owners – Strata Plan 11245 v Qasim 229

WORDS AND PHRASES

- “Every reasonable precaution” — Children (Education and Care Services) National Law 2010 (NSW), ss 167(1), 167(2).
Zaksaw Pty Ltd v Department of Education 244

INDEX

WORDS AND PHRASES — *continued*

- “Must ensure” — Children (Education and Care Services) National Law 2010
(NSW), ss 167(1), 167(2).
Zaksaw Pty Ltd v Department of Education 244