

JUNE 2025

Industrial Reports from the High Court, Federal Court, the State and Territory
Supreme Courts, and Federal and State Industrial Courts and Tribunals

Incorporating the Authorised Reports of the Fair Work
Commission, the Industrial Relations Commission of NSW,
the Industrial Court of Queensland and the
Queensland Industrial Relations Commission

INDUSTRIAL REPORTS

2025

CONSULTANTS

The Honourable JUSTICE ADAM HATCHER
President of the Fair Work Commission

The Honourable JUSTICE INGMAR TAYLOR
*President of the
Industrial Relations Commission of New South Wales*

The Honourable LANCE WRIGHT KC
*former President of the
Industrial Relations Commission of New South Wales*

ALICIA ASH BA LLB (Hons)

MANAGING EDITOR

MAHREEN HASAN BCom (Hons) LLB, MPP

The mode of citation of this part will be:
338 IR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 3 — Pages 227-311

Australian Competition and Consumer Commission v J Hutchinson Pty Ltd (High Ct of Aust)	193
Australian Rail, Tram and Bus Industry Union v Pacific National Executive Services Pty Ltd (Industrial Court of New South Wales)	168
Australian Workers Union v Cement Australia Pty Ltd (Fair Work Commission)	227
Bateup v Mornington Shire Council (Qld Indus Relations Commn)	256
Baya Casal v Deputy Commissioner of Taxation (Fed Ct of Aust)	264
Communications Electrical Electronic Energy Information Postal Plumbing and Allied Services Union of Australia v Sydney Trains (Fed Ct of Aust)	301
Communications, Electrical, Electronic, Energy, Information, Postal, Plumbing and Allied Services Union of Australia v Elecnor Australia Pty Ltd (Fed Ct of Aust)	58
Downer EDI Rail Pty Ltd v Communications, Electrical, Electronic, Energy, Information, Postal, Plumbing and Allied Services Union of Australia (Fair Work Commission)	249
Dupre v Excell Protective Group Pty Ltd (Fair Work Commission)	1
Infosys Technologies Ltd v Fox (Qld Ct of App)	97
Morrison v Tasmania (Tas Sup Ct)	288
Primerano v Schisan Investments Pty Ltd (Fed Ct of Aust)	29
United Firefighters Union of Australia v Fire Rescue Victoria (Fed Ct of Aust)	48
Witherden v DP World Sydney Ltd (Fair Work Commission)	115
Woolworths Group Ltd v United Workers Union (Fair Work Commission)	108

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2025 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0728-8417

INDEX

Part 3 — Pages 227-311

APPEAL

Commissioner of Taxation — Objection decisions — Appeal from objection decision by Deputy Commissioner of Taxation — Where facts available to court hearing appeal limited to those before Deputy Commissioner — Where court not permitted to make additional findings of fact — Whether inferences able to be drawn from certain documents referred to by Deputy Commissioner in decision under appeal — Where inferences only permissible where obvious in nature and to exclusion of any possible competing inferences — Whether and to what extent documents before Deputy Commissioner permitted to be used by court on appeal — Where documents permitted to be used to identify uncontroversial ways in which facts might better be construed or understood — Where documents not permitted to be used to supplement facts before Deputy Commissioner — Taxation Administration Act 1953 (Cth), s 14ZZ, Sch 1, s 359-65359-65.

Baya Casal v Deputy Commissioner of Taxation (Fed Ct of Aust) 264

Remittal of application to Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal — Whether appropriate — Where more convenient and appropriate to set aside orders of Tribunal and to make consequential orders that compensation payments be reinstated — Payment of compensation withheld in intervening time also ordered to be paid — Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act 2020 (Tas), ss 138(1)(c), 138(2).

Morrison v Tasmania (Tas Sup Ct) 288

CONTRACT OF EMPLOYMENT

Application to recover unpaid wages — Where employer paid for relocation expenses — Where employee resigned within probationary period — Where employer deducted relocation expenses from final payment — Whether employee consented to deduction — Where employee agreed to terms and conditions in employment letter — Where terms and conditions required employee to pay back relocation expenses — Where terms and conditions authorised employer to deduct debts employee owed to employer — Blanket acceptance of terms and conditions of employment did not reflect consent for specific deduction — Employer not lawfully entitled to withhold relocation expenses from final pay — Application granted — Industrial Relations Act 2016 (Qld), ss 371(4), 475(1)(a).

Bateup v Mornington Shire Council (Qld Indus Relations Commn) 256

INDEX

ENTERPRISE AGREEMENT

Application for Fair Work Commission to deal with dispute regarding personal and carer's leave entitlements under enterprise agreement — Where enterprise agreement provided for unlimited personal leave if genuine — Where employer could review whether payment would continue after three months of continuous personal leave — Where employer could determine whether payment would continue where employee takes high levels of personal leave — Where employee took excessive leave and informed his entitlement may cease in future — Where employee sought three months paid leave for surgery — Where employer only granted one day — Whether employer required to pay for personal leave for duration employee was recovering from surgery — Whether employees entitled to three months of personal leave and for payment to be reviewed only after three months passed — Employees counselled about high levels of absenteeism could have payments ceased for future absences — No requirement that leave must have commenced, and been ongoing for three months, to cease payments for employees who had been counselled — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), s 739.

Australian Workers' Union v Cement Australia Pty Ltd (Fair Work Commission) 227

Interpretation of enterprise agreement — Clause requiring notice to employees if company was "no longer required to provide labour" — Whether obligation on employer arose if there was partial reduction in labour — Whether failure to give notice implied right to payment — Appeal — Permission to appeal granted — Appeal allowed — Matter re-determined — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 604, 739.

Downer EDI Rail Pty Ltd v Communications, Electrical, Electronic, Energy, Information, Postal, Plumbing and Allied Services Union of Australia (Fair Work Commission) 249

JUDICIAL REVIEW

Application for judicial review — Where unions involved in dispute with rail agencies regarding negotiations for new enterprise agreement — Where negotiations collapsed — Where rail agencies applied for cooling off orders suspending unions' protected industrial action — Where Fair Work Commission made cooling off orders under s 425 of Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) — Where applicant union alleged that Commission's decision affected by jurisdictional error — Whether decision affected by jurisdictional error — Decision not affected by jurisdictional error — Application dismissed — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), s 425(1).

Communications Electrical Electronic Energy Information Postal Plumbing and Allied Services Union of Australia v Sydney Trains (Fed Ct of Aust) 301

INDEX

STATUTES

- Interpretation — Proper construction of s 25(1A)(c) of Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Tas) — Where decision to readmit certain violent students communicated to appellant as fait accompli — Where claim that appellant's concerns had been addressed by taking of all reasonable steps not substantiated by respondent — Whether action either reasonable or taken in reasonable manner — Action unreasonable given demonstrated history of student violence — No evidence that decision was taken reasonably — Positive evidence to the contrary before Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal — Appeal ground established — Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Tas), s 25(1A)(c).
Morrison v Tasmania (Tas Sup Ct) 288

TAXATION

- Income tax — Genuine redundancy payments — Applicant employed as part-time early learning centre assistant — Applicant terminated following employer restructure — Applicant offered choice of three new roles with fewer hours and less pay than pre-restructure position — Applicant received payment from employer upon termination — Payment taxed as employment termination payment — Where applicant applied to respondent for private ruling on whether payment should be taxed as employment termination payment or genuine redundancy payment — Where applicant's payment would be effectively tax free if considered genuine redundancy payment — Respondent ruled that payment was employment termination payment — Where applicant objected to ruling — Ruling confirmed by respondent in objection decision — Where applicant appealed objection decision to Federal Court of Australia — Whether applicant's position in fact genuinely redundant for purposes of taxation law — Where hours and pay of proposed new positions materially lower than pre-termination position — Where position genuinely redundant in having been so changed as to effectively no longer exist — Appeal allowed — Income Tax Assessment Act 1997 (Cth), ss 6-15(3), 82-135, 83-130, 83-170(1), 83-170(3), 83-175(1), 83-175(2).
Baya Casal v Deputy Commissioner of Taxation (Fed Ct of Aust) 264

WORDS AND PHRASES

- “Administrative action” — Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Tas), s 25(1A)(c).
Morrison v Tasmania (Tas Sup Ct) 288
- “Genuinely redundant” — Income Tax Assessment Act 1997 (Cth), s 83-175(1).
Baya Casal v Deputy Commissioner of Taxation (Fed Ct of Aust) 264
- “In connection with” — Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Tas), s 25(1A)(c).
Morrison v Tasmania (Tas Sup Ct) 288
- “No longer required to provide labour”.
Downer EDI Rail Pty Ltd v Communications, Electrical, Electronic, Energy, Information, Postal, Plumbing and Allied Services Union of Australia (Fair Work Commission) 249

INDEX

WORKERS COMPENSATION

- Claim for compensation by teacher for psychological injury — Where appellant employed as teacher — Where appellant taught at secondary school campus for students ill-suited to conventional schooling — Where appellant had experienced several violent incidents before and during employment with respondent — Where appellant exposed to violent student fight and requested those students be excluded on safety grounds — Students permitted to return over appellant's protestations — Where appellant expressed concern as to safety measures in workplace attending risk of violence — No explanation given other than that issues had been addressed in keeping with protocol — Where appellant subsequently left employment — Appellant diagnosed with mixed anxiety and depression triggered by decision to readmit violent students — Where appellant applied for weekly workers compensation payments — Where respondent contested payments before Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal — Where Tribunal determined respondent's decision was reasonable administrative action taken in reasonable manner — Whether Tribunal erred in law by determining appellant's illness arose substantially from reasonable administrative action taken in reasonable manner by employer — No evidence before Tribunal to substantiate such finding — Tribunal erred in failing to consider whether administrative action was reasonable and taken in reasonable manner — Tribunal erred by failing to consider all three elements of s 25(1A)(c) of Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Tas) — Whether reasonably arguable case that appellant's claim would be rejected at contested hearing — No such case apparent — Appeal allowed — Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Tas), ss 25(1A)(c), 81A(1)(c).
- Morrison v Tasmania (Tas Sup Ct)* 288