

JUNE 2025

Industrial Reports from the High Court, Federal Court, the State and Territory
Supreme Courts, and Federal and State Industrial Courts and Tribunals

Incorporating the Authorised Reports of the Fair Work
Commission, the Industrial Relations Commission of NSW,
the Industrial Court of Queensland and the
Queensland Industrial Relations Commission

INDUSTRIAL REPORTS

2024-2025

CONSULTANTS

The Honourable JUSTICE ADAM HATCHER
President of the Fair Work Commission

The Honourable JUSTICE INGMAR TAYLOR
*President of the
Industrial Relations Commission of New South Wales*

The Honourable LANCE WRIGHT KC
*former President of the
Industrial Relations Commission of New South Wales*

ALICIA ASH BA LLB (Hons)

MANAGING EDITOR

MAHREEN HASAN BCom (Hons) LLB, MPP

The mode of citation of this part will be:
338 IR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-107

| | |
|--|----|
| Communications, Electrical, Electronic, Energy, Information, Postal, Plumbing and Allied Services Union of Australia v Elecnor Australia Pty Ltd (Fed Ct of Aust) | 58 |
| Dupre v Excell Protective Group Pty Ltd (Fair Work Commission) | 1 |
| Infosys Technologies Ltd v Fox (Qld Ct of App) | 97 |
| Primerano v Schisan Investments Pty Ltd (Fed Ct of Aust) | 29 |
| United Firefighters Union of Australia v Fire Rescue Victoria (Fed Ct of Aust) | 48 |

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-107

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE

Whether applicant was national system employee within meaning of Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) or independent contractor — Where contract between parties not recorded in writing and applicant paid on cash basis — Relevance of parties' legal rights and obligations — Consideration of parties' subsequent conduct — Payment of fixed weekly amount, requirement to seek approval for reimbursement of expenses, working essentially on full time basis, absence of right to delegate and needing consent to work from home among factors indicating applicant was employee — Primary judge erred in finding applicant was independent contractor — Appeal allowed — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 13, 14 — Federal Court of Australia Act 1976 (Cth), s 24(1A).

Primerano v Schisan Investments Pty Ltd (Fed Ct of Aust) 29

ENTERPRISE AGREEMENT

Where parties failed to agree upon terms of enterprise agreement — Where union applied for intractable bargaining declaration — Where Full Bench of Fair Work Commission granted application — Where Commission required to make intractable bargaining workplace determination after declaration — Where preliminary issue as to what agreed terms to include in workplace determination — Where Full Bench held no agreed terms — Where legislation providing test for agreed terms amended before workplace determination — Application for writ of certiorari to quash decision of Full Bench that there were no agreed terms and for writ of mandamus requiring Commission to determine agreed terms — Decision challenged was Commission's reasons for reaching opinion that there were no agreed terms — Impugned decision had no operative legal effect — No decision amenable to certiorari — No entitlement to mandamus as it was sought on supposition that decision would be quashed — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 269, 270(2), 274(3) — Fair Work Legislation Amendment (Closing Loopholes No 2) Act 2024 (Cth), Sch 1, Pt 5A.

United Firefighters' Union of Australia v Fire Rescue Victoria (Fed Ct of Aust) 48

INDUSTRIAL LAW

Alleged contravention by employer of s 501 of Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) — Statutory provision permitting permit holder right of entry to employer's premises — Application of provision — Relevant factors — Scope of employer's premises — Meaning of "linesman" or "lineworker" — Union's capacity to represent workers — Relevant factors — Whether company in breach of s 501 — Construction of union's rules — Relevant principles — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 484, 487, 490, 492, 492A, 501, 512, 518.

Communications, Electrical, Electronic, Energy, Information, Postal, Plumbing and Allied Services Union of Australia v Elecnor Australia Pty Ltd (Fed Ct of Aust) 58

INDEX

LONG SERVICE LEAVE

- Long service leave entitlements — Where employee initially employed by appellant in India — Where appellant deployed employee to work in Melbourne — Where employee transferred to Brisbane — Where employee resigned and only worked 18 days in Brisbane — Where employee completed more than 10 years' continuous service with appellant — Where employee claimed entitlement for long service leave for continuous service with appellant partly in and partly outside Queensland under s 95 of Industrial Relations Act 2016 (Qld) — Whether employee entitled to payment of long service leave — Employee's service or employment located in Brisbane during period working there — Employee therefore entitled to payment of long service leave — Industrial Relations Act 2016 (Qld), ss 93, 95.
Infosys Technologies Ltd v Fox (Qld Ct of App) 97

TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT

- Unfair dismissal — Actions of employer — Longstanding employee received new employment documents and sought to discuss their terms — Respondent employer subsequently sought to transfer applicant and others to new company — Where contested terms had not been addressed — Where respondent employed pressure tactics to procure agreement to new employment documents and their terms — Where events culminated in meeting between applicant and respondent's owner and senior human resources manager — Where applicant contended he was dismissed at meeting — Where respondent's owner and senior human resources manager each disputed applicant's account of meeting and surrounding events and contended that applicant had resigned — Where applicant subsequently unemployed for several months prior to finding new work — Whether respondent had dismissed applicant — Respondent had dismissed applicant — Whether applicant's dismissal was unfair — Where no valid reason for dismissal — Where dismissal procedurally unfair — Where dismissal effected harshness on applicant by reason of rendering him unemployed and by associated withholding of certain employment entitlements on grounds of retention of company property — Where such retention no basis for withholding entitlements — Applicant's dismissal unfair — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 386(1)(a), 387(a), 387(b), 387(c), 387(g), 387(h), 394(2), 396.
Dupre v Excell Protective Group Pty Ltd (Fair Work Commission) 1
- Unfair dismissal — Remedies — Award of compensation — Quantification of compensation — Relevant factors — Where applicant had mitigated losses by subsequently finding new employment — Order of compensation varied in accordance with "Sprigg formula" — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 390(3), 392(2)(a), 392(2)(b), 392(2)(c), 392(2)(d), 392(2)(g), 392(3), 392(5), 392(6).
Dupre v Excell Protective Group Pty Ltd (Fair Work Commission) 1

WORDS AND PHRASES

- "Employee's continuous service with the same employer, whether ... partly in and partly outside the State" — Industrial Relations Act 2016 (Qld), s 93.
Infosys Technologies Ltd v Fox (Qld Ct of App) 97
- "Linesman".
Communications, Electrical, Electronic, Energy, Information, Postal, Plumbing and Allied Services Union of Australia v Elecnor Australia Pty Ltd (Fed Ct of Aust) 58