

MAY 2025

---

Industrial Reports from the High Court, Federal Court, the State and Territory  
Supreme Courts, and Federal and State Industrial Courts and Tribunals

Incorporating the Authorised Reports of the Fair Work  
Commission, the Industrial Relations Commission of NSW,  
the Industrial Court of Queensland and the  
Queensland Industrial Relations Commission

---

# INDUSTRIAL REPORTS

## 2024

### CONSULTANTS

The Honourable JUSTICE ADAM HATCHER  
*President of the Fair Work Commission*

The Honourable JUSTICE INGMAR TAYLOR  
*President of the  
Industrial Relations Commission of New South Wales*

The Honourable LANCE WRIGHT KC  
*former President of the  
Industrial Relations Commission of New South Wales*

ALICIA ASH BA LLB (Hons)

### MANAGING EDITOR

MAHREEN HASAN BCom (Hons) LLB, MPP

**VOL 337 — PART 2**

**PAGES 111-226**

The mode of citation of this part will be:  
337 IR

---

---

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 2 — Pages 111-226

Dixon v United Workers Union (Fair Work Commission) .....	1
Hawken v Patrick Stevedores Holdings Pty Ltd (Fair Work Commission) .....	11
Lederer Group Pty Ltd v Hodson (NSW Ct of App) .....	55
<b>State Wage Case 2024 (No 3), Re (NSW Indus Relations Commn)</b> .....	111
<b>State Wage Case 2024 (No 4), Re (NSW Indus Relations Commn)</b> .....	182
Virgin Airlines Australia Pty Ltd v Macnish (Fair Work Commission) .....	32
<b>Visscher v Fripp (NSW Indus Relations Commn)</b> .....	202

---

---

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

---

---



# INDEX

Part 2 — Pages 111-226

## AWARDS

- Industrial Relations Commission (NSW) — Commission’s wide discretion to set conditions of employment — Exercise of discretion — Need for guiding set of principles — “Award Making Principles” better description than “Wage Fixing Principles” — Benefits from principles — Limits to application of principles — Whether code providing all principles of application — Whether rebuttable presumption that existing principles were fair and reasonable — Whether need for principles to set their purposes — Principle addressing cost of living changes — Whether appropriate — Scope of such principle — Approach to claims outside principles — Relevant exceptions — Whether change in Value of Money Principle currently needed — Retention of Award Review Classification Rate principle — Future consideration of Structural Efficiency Principle — Retention of Minimum Wage for Award/Agreement Free Employees principle — Retention of Productivity and Efficiency Principle in amended form — Satisfaction of principle — Whether examples of how principle met and not met should be included in principle — Marginal efficiency or productivity claims — Whether Commission should hear such claims — Application of principle to local government awards — Retention of Special Case Principle — Scope of principle — Removal of principle dealing with certain claims for wage increases — Amendments to Negotiating Principle — Whether need to include model “no extra claims” clause in principles — Whether Superannuation Principle still fit for purpose — Whether Equal Remuneration Principle should be retained — Whether Economic Incapacity Principle should be retained — Whether Transitional Provisions Principle should be deleted — Industrial Relations Act 1996 (NSW), ss 21, 51.
- Re State Wage Case 2024 (No 3) (NSW Indus Relations Commn)* ..... 111
- State Wage Case (NSW) — Award Making Principles — Where Industrial Relations Commission (NSW) published new set of Award Making Principles in draft form — Where Commission sought and received submissions regarding terms of draft form of Award Making Principles — Award Making Principles amended and published in final form as Annexure A to decision — Industrial Relations Act 1996 (NSW), s 51(1).
- Re State Wage Case 2024 (No 4) (NSW Indus Relations Commn)* ..... 182

## COURTS AND JUDGES

- Supreme Court — Whether Supreme Court (NSW) (and consequently Industrial Relations Commission (NSW)) has power under inherent jurisdiction or s 23 of Supreme Court Act 1970 (NSW) to direct that Director of Public Prosecutions prosecute person for perjury — Supreme Court Act 1970 (NSW), s 23 — Crimes Act 1900 (NSW), ss 327, 338.
- Visscher v Fripp (NSW Indus Relations Commn)* ..... 202

## INDEX

### INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS COMMISSION (NSW)

- Jurisdiction — Prosecution for perjury — Whether Commission has power pursuant to s 164(1)(d) of Industrial Relations Act 1996 (NSW) to direct that Director of Public Prosecutions prosecute person for perjury — Commission has no such power — Industrial Relations Act 1996 (NSW), s 164(1)(d).  
*Visscher v Fripp (NSW Indus Relations Commn)* ..... 202

### STATUTES

- Interpretation — Principles — Presumption against surplusage — No surplusage where s 164(1)(d) of Industrial Relations Act 1996 (NSW) by its terms at present simply has no work to do, but scaffolding still exists — Legislative history of Pt 7 of Crimes Act 1900 (NSW) and Industrial Relations Act 1996 (NSW) and its predecessors otherwise provides good reason to displace presumption.  
*Visscher v Fripp (NSW Indus Relations Commn)* ..... 202

### WORK HEALTH AND SAFETY

- Where applicant accused SafeWork NSW inspector of having committed perjury when giving evidence before Industrial Relations Commission (NSW) in external review proceedings regarding SafeWork NSW's internal review of prohibition notice issued to applicant — Work Health and Safety Act 2011 (NSW), ss 195, 229.  
*Visscher v Fripp (NSW Indus Relations Commn)* ..... 202