

APRIL 2025

The Authorised Reports of Decisions of the
High Court of Australia

THE
COMMONWEALTH
LAW REPORTS
2023

EDITORS

P T VOUT, KC

P G WILLIS, SC

REPORTERS

R CHAILE
B D KAPLAN
J A G McCOMISH
W J NEWLAND
J P PATELA
S D PUTTICK

D J REYNOLDS
A F SOLOMON-BRIDGE
A TERZIC
J R WANG
M J WELLS
R WITHANA

PRODUCTION SUPERVISOR
CAROLYN MAY

VOL 279 — PART 2

PAGES 145-332

**The mode of citation of this volume of
the Commonwealth Law Reports will be as follows:
279 CLR**

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-147; Part 2 — Pages 148-332

BQ v The King	124
Davis v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migration Services and Multicultural Affairs	1
DCM20 v Secretary of Department of Home Affairs	1
Public Prosecutions (Cth), Director of v Kola	104
* QYFM v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs	148
* Vunilagi v The Queen	259



© 2025 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited
Lawbook Co. ABN 64 058 914 668 Published in Sydney
ISSN 0069-7133

INDEX

Part 2 — Pages 148-332

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW (CTH)

Judicial power of the Commonwealth — Territories — Jurisdiction vested in Territory courts — Trial by judge alone — Trial by judge alone on indictable offences against Territory law — Whether power to permit trial by judge alone incompatible with position of Territory court as repository of federal jurisdiction — Commonwealth Constitution, Ch III, s 122 — Supreme Court Act 1933 (ACT), s 68BA.

Vunilagi v The Queen 259

Trial by jury — Territories — Trial on indictable offences against Territory law — Trial by judge alone — Whether miscarriage of justice as trial not by jury contrary to s 80 of Constitution — Commonwealth Constitution, s 80 — Crimes Act 1900 (ACT), ss 54, 60.

Vunilagi v The Queen 259

COURTS

Judges — Bias — Reasonable apprehension of bias — Multi-member benches — Issue of reasonable apprehension of bias arose in relation to one member of three-member bench — Judge previously appeared as counsel for Crown in opposition to appellant's appeal against conviction — Conviction led to cancellation of appellant's visa and non-revocation of cancellation — Appeal from decision determining application for judicial review of non-revocation decision — Whether fair-minded lay observer might reasonably apprehend that judge might not bring an impartial mind to resolution of that appeal — Whether application to disqualify judge for bias should be determined at first instance by challenged judge alone or by all members of court as constituted.

QYFM v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs 148