

APRIL 2025

Criminal decisions from the High Court, Federal Court, and the
Supreme Courts of all Australian States and Territories

THE
AUSTRALIAN
CRIMINAL
REPORTS

2023-2024

EDITOR
MATTHEW GOODE, LLB (Hons), LLM
Solicitor

VOL 308 — PART 3

PAGES 297-451

The mode of citation of this part will be:
308 A Crim R

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 3 — Pages 298-451

AD v New South Wales (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of App))	367
BEB; R v (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App))	176
BQ v The King (High Ct of Aust)	435
Camilleri v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	52
Cook v The King (High Ct of Aust)	268
Davis; R v (Qld Sup Ct)	156
Dietman v Karpany (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	316
Earle (No 2); Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT) v (ACT Sup Ct)	426
Gould v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	14
Hatahet; R v (High Ct of Aust)	135
HCM; R v (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App))	1
Kakule v The King (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	344
Karpany; Dietman v (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	316
New South Wales; AD v (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of App))	367
Perre v The King (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	355
Person Summoned for Jury Service for Support, Re Application by (ACT Sup Ct)	6
PJ v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	102
Police (NSW), Commissioner of v Walker (NSW Sup Ct)	298
Public Prosecutions (ACT), Director of v Earle (No 2) (ACT Sup Ct)	426

Case Citation of The Criminal Appeal Reports

From the first issue of 2001, cases published in Sweet and Maxwell's The Criminal Appeal Reports have been given a unique case number. Case citations take the form of, for example, [2001] 1 Cr App R 2 for the second case reported in Volume 1 of Cr App R in 2001. The Australian Criminal Reports has adopted this style of case citation.

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2025 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0159-6667

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

R v BEB (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App))	176
R v Davis (Qld Sup Ct)	156
R v Hatahet (High Ct of Aust)	135
R v HCM (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App))	1
R v YF (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App))	400
Rusiecki v Western Australia (WA Sup Ct (Ct of App))	235
Slattery v The King (No 2) (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	256
Slattery v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	196
Walker; Commissioner of Police (NSW) v (NSW Sup Ct)	298
Western Australia; Rusiecki v (WA Sup Ct (Ct of App))	235
YF; R v (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App))	400

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

INDEX

Part 3 — Pages 298-451

APPEAL

- Leave to appeal — Appeal against conviction — Jurisdiction — Death of applicant
— No jurisdiction to determine appeal — Appeal rights personal to “the convicted person” — Appeal rights abated on death of applicant — Criminal Procedure Act 1921 (SA), s 153(1).
- Perre v The King (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))* 355

CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION

- Arrest — Grounds for arrest — Domestic disturbance — Incidental powers — Removal of children — Law Enforcement (Powers and Responsibilities) Act 2002 (NSW), ss 3, 4, 7, 99, 186, 230.
- AD v New South Wales and Another (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of App))* 367
- Arrest — Grounds for arrest — Domestic disturbance — State of satisfaction of police — Law Enforcement (Powers and Responsibilities) Act 2002 (NSW), ss 3, 4, 7, 99, 186, 230.
- AD v New South Wales and Another (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of App))* 367

DEFENCES

- Fisheries offences — Native title — Onus of proof — Fisheries Management Act 2007 (SA), s 72 — Native Title Act 1993 (Cth), s 211.
- Dietman v Karpany (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))* 316

EVIDENCE

- Admissibility — Cross-admissibility — Propensity evidence — Evidence of sexual interest — Three counts of sexual offending against two complainant sisters — General principles — Evidence admissible.
- R v YF (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App))* 400
- Admissibility — Opinion evidence — Credibility evidence — Expert evidence — Evidence of typical behavioural patterns of perpetrators of child sexual abuse — Scope of expertise — Impermissible jury reasoning unlikely — Evidence Act 1995 (NSW), ss 79, 108C.
- BQ v The King (High Ct of Aust)* 435
- Admissibility — Sexual offence proceedings — Evidence of sexual activity of complainant — Specific sexual activities of complainant with accused person in proceeding — Evidence (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1991 (ACT), s 76.
- Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT) v Earle (No 2) (ACT Sup Ct)* 426
- Directions to jury — Complaint evidence — Sexual offence — Evidence of initial complaint — Required direction — Evidence Act 1929 (SA), s 34M.
- Kakule v The King (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))* 344

INDEX

FISHERIES OFFENCES

Unauthorised possession of aquatic resource of prescribed class — Exceptions and provisos — Exercise or enjoyment of native title rights and interests in relation to land or waters — Onus of proof regarding operation of exception for native title right to fish under Native Title Act 1993 (Cth) — Exception to offence — Defendant bears onus of proof on balance of probabilities — Fisheries Management Act 2007 (SA), s 72 — Native Title Act 1993 (Cth), s 211.
Dietman v Karpany (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App)) 316

PROCEDURE

Comittal hearing — Service of brief of evidence — Commissioner of Police sought restricted retention order — Whether Magistrates Court had jurisdiction to grant order — Implied powers of Magistrates Court — Construction of Criminal Procedure Act — Criminal Procedure Act 1986 (NSW), Ch 6, Pts 2, 2A, 2B, ss 3, 55, 56, 61, 64, 72, 91 — Interpretation Act 1987 (NSW), ss 33, 34 — Local Court Act 2007 (NSW), ss 9, 28.
Commissioner of Police (NSW) v Walker and Another (NSW Sup Ct) 298

Trial — Joinder of charges — Application to sever trials — Propensity evidence — Evidence of sexual interest — General principles — Three counts of sexual offending against two complainant sisters — Joinder correct.
R v YF (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 400

WORDS AND PHRASES

“Obligation”.
Commissioner of Police (NSW) v Walker and Another (NSW Sup Ct) 298