

APRIL 2025

Industrial Reports from the High Court, Federal Court, the State and Territory
Supreme Courts, and Federal and State Industrial Courts and Tribunals

Incorporating the Authorised Reports of the Fair Work
Commission, the Industrial Relations Commission of NSW,
the Industrial Court of Queensland and the
Queensland Industrial Relations Commission

INDUSTRIAL REPORTS

2024-2025

CONSULTANTS

The Honourable JUSTICE ADAM HATCHER
President of the Fair Work Commission

The Honourable JUSTICE INGMAR TAYLOR
*President of the
Industrial Relations Commission of New South Wales*

The Honourable LANCE WRIGHT KC
*former President of the
Industrial Relations Commission of New South Wales*

ALICIA ASH BA LLB (Hons)

MANAGING EDITOR

MAHREEN HASAN BCom (Hons) LLB, MPP

The mode of citation of this part will be:
336 IR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 3 — Pages 226-350

2nd Chapter Pty Ltd v Sealey (No 2) (Vic Sup Ct)	1
Australian Cabling Solutions Pty Ltd, Re (Fair Work Commission)	122
Australian Paramedics Association (NSW) v Health Secretary (NSW Indus Relations Commn)	165
Australian Rail Track Corp Ltd v Australian Rail, Tram and Bus Industry Union (Fed Ct of Aust)	328
Chin v Visual Thing Australia Pty Ltd (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 2))	243
Kurtev v KCB Australia Pty Ltd (Fair Work Commission)	226
Samad v Phosphate Resources Ltd (Fair Work Commission)	129
State Super Enterprise Agreement 2024-2027, Re (NSW Indus Relations Commn)	145
Sydney Trains v Australian Rail, Tram and Bus Industry Union (Fed Ct of Aust)	318
Trademax Australia Ltd v Huang (NSW Sup Ct)	205
Whitnall-Comfort, Re (Fair Work Commission)	126

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



INDEX

Part 3 — Pages 226-350

ADVERSE ACTION

Adverse action not involving dismissal — Claims of adverse action by cancellation of preapproved annual leave and targeted implementation of new rule — Additional claims of adverse action by issuing allegations of and investigating inappropriate workplace conduct and insubordination — Where respondents purported to cancel applicant's preapproved annual leave in light of impending deadlines — Where cancellation of leave followed applicant's refusal to work from home during COVID-19 lockdown — Where applicant thereafter informed that all requests for annual leave and personal appointments during working hours be notified within certain time to employer — Where new rule initially communicated only to applicant — Where applicant placed under investigation following workplace altercation with second respondent — Whether cancellation of applicant's preapproved leave constituted adverse action by injuring applicant in employment — Whether targeted implementation of new rule on annual leave and personal appointments constituted adverse action by discrimination — Whether allegations and investigation of workplace altercation constituted adverse action by injuring applicant in employment — Cancellation of applicant's preapproved annual leave constituted adverse action — Targeted implementation of new rule constituted adverse action — Issuance of allegations and investigation of inappropriate workplace conduct and insubordination not undertaken for proscribed reasons — Application allowed in part — Matter to proceed to penalty hearing — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 340, 341, 342, 360, 361.

Chin v Visual Thing Australia Pty Ltd (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 2)) 243

APPEAL

Application for permission to appeal and appeal — Refusal to allow further period within which to apply for Fair Work Commission to deal with dismissal dispute for want of exceptional circumstances — Where appellant's employment with first respondent allegedly terminated on ground of physical or mental disability — Where appellant diagnosed with Parkinson's disease following termination of employment — Where manifestation of symptoms of Parkinson's disease said to have formed basis of termination — Where diagnosis sought prior to application to Fair Work Commission — Where application lodged out of time shortly after diagnosis — Where appellant contended that reasons for delay included inability to allege discrimination prior to diagnosis — Where Commissioner construed appellant's contention as prioritising medical treatment over lodging application within time — Whether Commissioner thereby erred in considering whether exceptional circumstances warranted allowing further period — Whether favourable re-exercise of discretion called for — Commissioner misconstrued appellant's contention — Circumstances warranted favourable re-exercise of discretion — Permission to appeal granted — Appeal upheld — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 351(1), 365, 366, 368, 604.

Kurtev v KCB Australia Pty Ltd (Fair Work Commission) 226

INDEX

FAIR WORK COMMISSION

- Jurisdiction — Judicial review of decision of Full Bench of Fair Work Commission — Bargaining representatives failed to come to agreement on extant issues for proposed enterprise agreement — Proposed enterprise agreement was successfully put to vote by employees and approved by Fair Work Commission at protestations of union and employee bargaining representatives — Unions applied for workplace determination on basis that there remained unresolved matters between bargaining representatives — Where Full Bench of Fair Work Commission refused application to dismiss proceedings for want of jurisdiction — Whether Full Bench of Fair Work Commission fell into jurisdictional error — Application allowed and prerogative relief issued — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 266, 278(1A).
Australian Rail Track Corp Ltd v Australian Rail, Tram and Bus Industry Union (Fed Ct of Aust) 328

INDUSTRIAL LAW

- Accessorial liability — Determined contraventions of Award and Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) — Whether second and third respondents knowingly involved in first respondent's cancellation of applicant's preapproved annual leave — Whether second and third respondents knowingly involved in first respondent's failure to pay applicant at overtime rates for overtime — Whether second and third respondents knowingly involved in first respondent's targeted implementation of new rule governing annual leave and personal appointments — Second and third respondents accessorially liable for contraventions of Award and s 340 of Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) — Second and third respondents not accessorially liable for contraventions of Award and s 45 of Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) by failing to pay applicant overtime rates for overtime — Application allowed in part — Matter to proceed to penalty hearing — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 62, 340, 550.
Chin v Visual Thing Australia Pty Ltd (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 2)) 243
- Terms and conditions of employment — Alleged concurrent breaches of Award and s 45 of Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) by failure to pay overtime at overtime rates — Cross-claim asserting right either to set off outstanding award entitlements against applicant's salary or to restitution for common mistake — Where applicant contractually required to work beyond maximum weekly hours of work — Where respondents failed to remunerate applicant at overtime rates for additional hours worked per week — Where respondents claim right to set off unpaid overtime against applicant's above-award salary — Where respondents further claim right to restitution of proportion of applicant's salary on basis of common mistake as to maximum hours workable per week — Whether respondents designated above-award payments for purpose of remunerating overtime entitlements — Whether above-award salary paid on basis of common mistake — Contravention of Award and s 45 of Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) established — Above-award payments not designated for remuneration of overtime — No common mistake operative — Cross-claim dismissed — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 45, 62.
Chin v Visual Thing Australia Pty Ltd (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 2)) 243

INDEX

INDUSTRIAL LAW — *continued*

- Terms and conditions of employment — Alleged concurrent breaches of Award and s 62(1)(a) of Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) — Where contract of employment stipulated that 40 hours per week were standard hours of work — Where stipulated hours accordingly exceeded maximum weekly hours of work specified in Award and s 62(1)(a) of Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) by two hours — Where respondents claim that such hours conform to usual patterns of work in advertising industry — Whether request or requirement that applicant work two additional hours per week reasonable in circumstances — Whether respondents discharged onus to establish reasonableness of requirement — Onus undischarged — Contravention of Award and s 62(1)(a) of Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) established — Matter to proceed to penalty hearing — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 44, 45, 62, 63.
- Chin v Visual Thing Australia Pty Ltd (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 2))* 243

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- Interlocutory application for injunctive relief — Where unions undertaking industrial action on applicants' rail networks — Where injunctions sought to restrain respondent unions from continuing industrial action — Whether there was prima facie case — Whether industrial action was "protected industrial action" after related employers were no longer authorised to enter into proposed single enterprise agreement — Whether industrial action ceased to be "protected industrial action" pursuant to Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) — Balance of convenience — Where respondents would lose bargaining position — Where proposed industrial action would have caused significant disruption to operation and integrity of applicants' rail system — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 12, 172, 173, 409, 415, 437, 443, 459.
- Sydney Trains v Australian Rail, Tram and Bus Industry Union (Fed Ct of Aust)* 318

WORDS AND PHRASES

- "Discriminates between" — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), s 342(1).
- Chin v Visual Thing Australia Pty Ltd (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 2))* 243
- "Exceptional circumstances" — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), s 366(2).
- Kurtev v KCB Australia Pty Ltd (Fair Work Commission)* 226
- "Injury in his or her employment" — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), s 342(1).
- Chin v Visual Thing Australia Pty Ltd (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 2))* 243
- "Prejudicial alteration" — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), s 342(1).
- Chin v Visual Thing Australia Pty Ltd (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 2))* 243
- "The bargaining representatives for the agreement have not settled all of the matters that were at issue during bargaining for the agreement" — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), s 266(1)(c).
- Australian Rail Track Corp Ltd v Australian Rail, Tram and Bus Industry Union (Fed Ct of Aust)* 328