AUSTRALIAN BUSINESS LAW REVIEW

Volume 52, Number 6

2024

4		
EDITORIAL – General Editor: Michael Terceiro Special Guest Editor: Rob Nicholls		
Fifty Years of Competition Law – Rob Nicholls	335	
ARTICLES		
It's Time – The Trade Practices Act 1974 Then and Now – IS Wylie		
The <i>Trade Practices Act 1974</i> (now the <i>Competition and Consumer Act 2010</i>) had its 50th Birthday on 24 August 2024. This article revisits its legal and political origins, and its legal significance and economic impact at the time. It goes on to trace what remains of the original Act, to evaluate its ongoing application and the utility of those provisions, and to make some quantitative and qualitative observations as to its form and effect	338	
Transforming Australian Business in 23 Words: The First 50 Years of the Prohibition of Misleading or Deceptive Conduct – <i>Andrew Terry</i>		
The prohibition of misleading or deceptive conduct, first introduced in s 52 of the <i>Trade Practices Act 1974</i> (Cth) but now enshrined in s 18 of the <i>Australian Consumer Law</i> , has had a massive impact on the conduct of business in Australia. The section has had an influence far beyond its assumed residual consumer protection role as a provision complementing the specific provisions prohibiting particular examples of misleading conduct. It has evolved into a general norm of conduct for misleading conduct in a range of circumstances far removed from any traditional notions of consumer protection and has become a staple of commercial litigation. This article traces the development of s 18 and its increasing impact on Australian business.	350	
Public Benefit in Mergers: A View on Authorisation 50 Years On – Deborah Healey and Rhonda L Smith		
The Australian competition law has always allowed specific exemptions for particular conduct and nominated parties based on tests around public benefit under its authorisation		

The Australian competition law has always allowed specific exemptions for particular conduct and nominated parties based on tests around public benefit under its authorisation process. This process has stood the test of time and has been expanded in more recent years till it covers all Pt IV conduct. This recognises that competition is a means to an end and may need to be modified in some particular cases where public policy demands a different market solution. This article considers the history of authorisation through the lens of mergers on the 50th anniversary of competition law in Australia. It is also a time when the merger process has been under review. It examines the impact of public benefit considerations in the Australian merger authorisation process to determine whether the process has been effective and how public benefit should be interpreted going forward. It reflects on how past experience might inform any new approach to public benefit in mergers. It concludes that there is little evidence that consideration of public benefits to date has been superfluous, flawed or should be abolished. It finds that public benefit analysis is, however, subject to the issue common to all competition law analysis – the

(2024) 52 ABLR 333 333

degree of certain the new merger of the authorisa provides an unu	f the "future with and without" and likely public benefit arising — with any aty. It considers whether further guidance should be included in the CCA in provisions about the ambit of public benefit. It also finds that the positives tion process far outweigh the negatives and confirms that authorisation sual though really useful tool for strengthening markets under Australian both in respect of mergers and other conduct.	375
The Forgotten S	Story: The ACCC's Role in the Waterfront Dispute – Michael Terceiro	
over the last 50 one area where discussed. In the Commission's (boycott provision central (and son Advocate conclusion willing to exit stof the Maritime	Id be no debate that the impact of the <i>Trade Practices Act 1974</i> (Cth) (TPA) years on all aspects of business behaviour has been extraordinary, there is the TPA was used to achieve extraordinary results which has rarely been is article I will be discussing the Australian Competition and Consumer ACCC) role in the Waterfront Dispute and how it used the secondary ons to combat global and domestic boycott conduct. The ACCC played a newhat unwilling) role in the dispute after the Office of the Employment aded it did not have jurisdiction. Once the ACCC entered the fray it was not age left without obtaining some meaningful remedies much to the chagrin Union of Australia, Patrick Stevedores Holdings Pty Ltd, the Australian e Unions and the Howard Government.	392
Emerging Com	petition Issues in the Age of Artificial Intelligence – Arieh Herszberg	
establishing a c protecting consulated (AI). This article CCA, and the in how AI-driven barriers to entry, the Australian To the adequacy of reforms to safeg	omprehensive framework for regulating anti-competitive behaviour and timer rights. This legacy, continued through the <i>Competition and Consumer</i> CCA), faces unprecedented challenges with the rise of artificial intelligence e examines the transformative impact of the TPA, its evolution into the applications of AI for Australia's competition regime. The article highlights technologies disrupt markets, facilitate tacit collusion, and exacerbate raising concerns about transparency, adaptability, and liability. Drawing on reasury's inquiry into AI and consumer law, this piece critically evaluates of the current regulatory framework. The article argues for AI-specific guard consumer rights and ensure competitive fairness in an increasingly marketplace.	413
VOLUME 52 – 2024		
Table of Author	s – Articles	423
Table of Authors	s – Sections	425
Table of Cases		427
Index		437

334 (2024) 52 ABLR 333