

MARCH 2025

Criminal decisions from the High Court, Federal Court, and the
Supreme Courts of all Australian States and Territories

THE
AUSTRALIAN
CRIMINAL
REPORTS

2023-2024

EDITOR

MATTHEW GOODE, LLB (Hons), LLM
Solicitor

VOL 308 — PART 1

PAGES 1-155

The mode of citation of this part will be:
308 A Crim R

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-155

Camilleri v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	52
Gould v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	14
Hatahet; R v (High Ct of Aust)	135
HCM; R v (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App))	1
Person Summoned for Jury Service for Support, Re Application by (ACT Sup Ct)	6
PJ v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	102
R v Hatahet (High Ct of Aust)	135
R v HCM (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App))	1

Case Citation of The Criminal Appeal Reports

From the first issue of 2001, cases published in Sweet and Maxwell's The Criminal Appeal Reports have been given a unique case number. Case citations take the form of, for example, [2001] 1 Cr App R 2 for the second case reported in Volume 1 of Cr App R in 2001. The Australian Criminal Reports has adopted this style of case citation.

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2025 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0159-6667

INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-155

APPEAL

- Interlocutory appeal — Jurisdiction — Refusal of leave to recall witnesses — Whether interlocutory judgment or order — Assessment of grounds of appeal — Criminal Appeal Act 1912 (NSW), s 5F.
PJ v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App)) 102
- Jurisdiction to appeal — Second application for leave to appeal to Court of Criminal Appeal — Whether second application allowed — Assessment of grounds for application — Criminal Appeal Act 1912 (NSW), ss 5(1)(b), 10(1)(b) — Supreme Court (Criminal Appeal) Rules 2021 (NSW), rr 3.5(1)(b), 4, 4.15.
Gould v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App)) 14

EVIDENCE

- Admissibility — Child sexual abuse charges — Reaction of appellant when allegations first put to him in pretext call — Evidence rightly excluded.
R v HCM (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 1

SENTENCE

- Mitigating factors — Assistance to authorities — Utilitarian discount — Exception to discount for extreme cases — Extent to which applicable — Crimes (Sentencing Procedure) Act 1999 (NSW), ss 22A, 25A(2), 25E, 25F.
Camilleri v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App)) 52
- Mitigating factors — Parole — Refusal of parole — Likelihood of refusal — Whether to be taken into account at sentence — Confusion of judicial and executive functions — Crimes Act 1914 (Cth), ss 16A, 19AB, 19ALB.
R v Hatahet (High Ct of Aust) 135
- Mitigating factors — Substantial mental impairment — Convicted of manslaughter by diminished responsibility — Whether substantial impairment relevant at sentence — Consideration of dangerousness — Error in assessment of objective gravity.
Camilleri v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App)) 52

TRIAL

- Procedure — Jury — Application for support for person summoned for jury service — Consideration of whether support for hearing impairment can reasonably be given — Juries Act 1967 (ACT), s 16 — Juries Regulation 2018 (ACT), Pt 1.4.
Re Application by Person Summoned for Jury Service for Support (ACT Sup Ct) 6