Reports of the Supreme Courts of the Australian States when exercising Federal Jurisdiction, the Supreme Courts of the Northern Territory and Australian Capital Territory, the Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia and Federal Tribunals

# THE FEDERAL LAW REPORTS 2024

**EDITOR** 

**VICTOR KLINE** 

**CONSULTING EDITORS** 

DR OREN BIGOS KC SAMUEL CUMMINGS

**SENIOR REPORTER** 

VICTOR KLINE

### **REPORTERS**

BERNARD ANDARY ANDREW BELL MITCHELL BRUNKER TOM MANOUSARIDIS

# The mode of citation of this part will be: $386\ FLR$

# TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-128

Australian Capital Territory; Deng v (ACT Ct of App)	19
Deng v Australian Capital Territory (ACT Ct of App)	19
Georges (No 2); Hoser v (Federal Circuit and Family Court of	
Australia (Division 2))	37
Gibson v Northern Territory of Australia (NT Sup Ct)	70
Hoser v Georges (No 2) (Federal Circuit and Family Court of	
Australia (Division 2))	37
Northern Land Council, Ex parte (NT Sup Ct)	56
Northern Territory of Australia; Gibson v (NT Sup Ct)	70
Suess v Suess (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia	
(Division 1))	100
Tran v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural	
Affairs (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia	
(Division 2))	1

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2025 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited
Lawbook Co. ABN 64 058 914 668 Published in Sydney

ISSN 0085-0462

# **INDEX**

Part 1 — Pages 1-128

## COURTS AND JUDGES

Jurisdiction — Criminal jurisdiction — Remand and bail — Where accused detained pending criminal charges — Where issue fatal to success of charges not drawn to Court's attention and charge ultimately dismissed — Whether Magistrates Court had jurisdiction to make remand order — Whether detention unlawful — Family Violence Act 2016 (ACT), s 43.
Deng v Australian Capital Territory and Others (ACT Ct of App)1
FAMILY LAW AND CHILD WELFARE
Financial agreement — Binding nature of — Requirement that parties obtain independent legal advice — What constitutes — Whether cursory or tangentially relevant legal advice sufficient — Family Law Act 1975 (Cth), s 90G(1)(b).
Suess v Suess (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 1))
FIRST NATIONS PEOPLES
Land councils — Statutory trusts — Where interest is unable to be paid to the body or persons to whom the principal money was paid — Where the interest is paid in an alternative manner — Whether the approval of the Minister is required — Aboriginal Land Rights (Northern Territory) Act 1976 (Cth), ss 35, 36.
Ex parte Northern Land Council (NT Sup Ct)50
Land councils — Statutory trusts — Where interest is unable to be paid to the body or persons to whom the principal money was paid — Whether an alternative use of the money is prohibited — Aboriginal Land Rights (Northern Territory) Act 1976 (Cth), s 35.
Ex parte Northern Land Council (NT Sup Ct)50
Land councils — Statutory trusts — Whether approval of the Minister is required for monies received under the Native Title Act 1993 (Cth) — Aboriginal Land Rights (Northern Territory) Act 1976 (Cth), s 36.  Ex parte Northern Land Council (NT Sup Ct)
Ex parte Normeri Lana Councii (N1 Sup Ci)
Native Title — Land councils — Receipt of monies under legislation — Whether a land council is subject to a trust-like obligation in relation to monies received.
Ex parte Northern Land Council (NT Sup Ct)50

### **INDEX**

HUMAN RIGHTS
Discrimination — Special needs — Where obligation to reasonably accommodate a special need applied to goods, services and facilities — Where appellant in youth detention — Where appellant had special need — Where appellant transferred to higher security detention centre on account of behaviour — Where transfer to lower security detention centre to accommodate special need refused — Whether transfer constituted conduct in the areas of goods, services and facilities — Whether transfer to and detention in higher security detention centre failed to reasonably accommodate appellant's special need — Anti-Discrimination Act 1992 (NT), ss 19, 24, 28, 41, 53, 91, 106, 107 — Youth Justice Act 2005 (NT), s 168A.
Gibson v Northern Territory of Australia and Another (NT Sup Ct)70
Unlawful detention — Remedies — Detention prior to criminal charges which were ultimately dismissed — Whether detention arbitrary — Human Rights Act 2004 (ACT), s 18.
Deng v Australian Capital Territory and Others (ACT Ct of App)
IMMIGRATION  Visas — Business Innovation and Investment visa — Where applicants required to make designated investment — Where Minister required evidence of investment — Where applicants deposited funds but did not provide evidence to Minister — Whether applicants were required to provide evidence to Minister — Migration Regulations 1994 (Cth), reg 5.19A, Sch 2, cl 188.246(1).
Tran and Others v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs (Federal Circuit and Family Court of
Multicultural Affairs (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 2))1
Multicultural Affairs (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 2))
Multicultural Affairs (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 2))