MARCH 2025

Reports of the Administrative Appeals Tribunal and Administrative Appeals Tribunal decisions on appeal in the Federal Court and High Court

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS REPORTS

2021

EDITOR KIM ROSS

REPORTER AARON MOSS

VOL 85 — PART 3

PAGES 194-288

The mode of citation of this part will be: 85 AAR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 3 — Pages 194-288

AAM17; Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs v (High Ct of Aust)
Administrative Appeals Tribunal; Gadzikwa v (Fed Ct of Aust)
Board of Professional Engineers of Queensland v Gardner (Fed Ct of
Aust)
Commissioner of Taxation v Ross (Fed Ct of Aust) 194
DCP17 v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and
Multicultural Affairs (Fed Ct of Aust) 48
Gadzikwa v Administrative Appeals Tribunal (Fed Ct of Aust) 1
Gardner; Board of Professional Engineers of Queensland v (Fed Ct of
Aust) 179
Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs,
Minister for; DCP17 v (Fed Ct of Aust) 48
Le v Commissioner of Taxation (Fed Ct of Aust) 69
MDCT v National Disability Insurance Agency (Cth AAT) 32
Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Commission; Palmer and
(Cth AAT) 160
Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and
Multicultural Affairs v AAM17 (High Ct of Aust) 13
National Disability Insurance Agency; MDCT v (Cth AAT) 32
Palmer and Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Commission
(Cth AAT) 160
Ross; Taxation, Commissioner of v (Fed Ct of Aust) 194
SDCV v Director-General of Security (Fed Ct of Aust) 97
Security, Director-General of; SDCV v (Fed Ct of Aust) 97
Taxation, Commissioner of; Le v (Fed Ct of Aust) 69

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

🔅 Thomson Reuters™

© 2025 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited ABN 64 058 914 668 Lawbook Co.

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0813-779X

INDEX

Part 3 — Pages 194-288

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS TRIBUNAL

 Appeal from — Cross-appeal from — Both appeal and cross-appeal successful — Whether Federal Court should exercise remittal powers or power to finally resolve taxpayer's applications — Appropriate circumstances for exercise of such powers — Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975 (Cth) ss 43, 44. <i>Commissioner of Taxation v Ross (Fed Ct of Aust)</i>
 Procedural fairness — Substantial delay between hearing and decision — Whether such delay resulted in unacceptable risk of impaired capacity to assess evidence. <i>Commissioner of Taxation v Ross (Fed Ct of Aust)</i>
Procedural fairness — Whether consideration of matter raised after conclusion of hearing was breach of principles of procedural fairness — Appeal from — Cross-appeal from — Both appeal and cross-appeal successful — Whether Federal Court ought to exercise power to finally resolve taxpayer's applications to the Tribunal — Circumstances in which exercise of such power is appropriate — Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975 (Cth) ss 43, 44.
Commissioner of Taxation v Ross (Fed Ct of Aust) 194

TAXATION

Objections — Onus of proof — Where assessments calculated using asset	
betterment method — Whether Administrative Appeals Tribunal erred in	
interpretation and application of taxpayers' onus of proof — Whether Tribunal	
applied correct standard of proof - Whether sufficient for taxpayers to	
challenge specific items in asset betterment statement — Taxation Administra-	
tion Act 1953 (Cth) s 14ZZK(b)(i).	
Commissioner of Taxation v Ross (Fed Ct of Aust)	194

Objections — Penalties — Uplift penalties — Operation of provision — Whether liability for uplift penalties requires repetition of conduct after imposition of former penalty — Whether uplift penalties may be imposed where multiple assessments issued on same day — Taxation Administration Act 1953 (Cth) Sch 1, s 284-220.

Commissioner of Taxation v Ross (Fed Ct of Aust) 194