

MARCH 2025

---

The Authorised Reports of the Decisions of the  
Supreme Court of the Australian Capital Territory

---

THE  
AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL  
TERRITORY  
LAW REPORTS  
2024

EDITOR

WAYNE SHARWOOD

ASSISTANT EDITOR

RICHARD DAVIES

SENIOR REPORTER IN THIS PART

RICHARD DAVIES

REPORTERS IN THIS PART

DAVID ANTHONY PITTAVINO  
JB WOODYATT

PRODUCTION SUPERVISOR

MYUNG COLE

The mode of citation of this part will be:  
21 ACTLR

---

---

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 4 — Pages 240-328

Alexander v Bakes .....	27
<b>Australian Capital Territory; McIver v</b> .....	281
Bakes; Alexander v .....	27
<b>Bangura; Law Society (ACT) v</b> .....	268
Bourke v Styche .....	86
Calatzis v Jones .....	59
Campbell; Rutzou v .....	210
Cervo, Re Estate of .....	226
<b>Chatfield; Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT) v</b> .....	240
Collaery v The Queen (No 2) .....	1
Hicks (a pseudonym) v Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT) (No 2) .....	160
John (No 2); Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT) v .....	191
Jones; Calatzis v .....	59
<b>Law Society (ACT) v Bangura</b> .....	268
<b>McIver v Australian Capital Territory</b> .....	281
McLucas; Tui v .....	179
Porter v The Queen .....	122
Public Prosecutions (ACT) (No 2), Director of; Hicks (a pseudonym) v .....	160
<b>Public Prosecutions (ACT), Director of v Chatfield</b> .....	240
Public Prosecutions (ACT), Director of v John (No 2) .....	191
Rutzou v Campbell .....	210

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

---

---



© 2025 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1835-162X

#### TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Styche; Bourke v .....	86
Tui v McLucas .....	179

---

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

# INDEX

Part 4 — Pages 240-328

## APPEAL

Applications for leave to appeal — Leave to appeal against orders dismissing applications for extensions of time in which to institute proceedings pursuant to s 40C(2)(a) of Human Rights Act 2004 (ACT) — Where each proceeding instituted outside of time prescribed by s 40C(3) of Human Rights Act — Where legal substratum of appellants' statutory claims for compensation correctly rejected by primary judge — Where certain explanations as to delay in instituting proceedings inadequate — Whether primary judge erred in refusing to grant extensions of time to institute proceedings — Whether relief sought by appellants remained futile — Relevant grounds of appeal dismissed — Primary judge correctly refused extensions of time — Human Rights Act 2004 (ACT), ss 18, 19, 28, 40C — Corrections Management Act 2007 (ACT), ss 44, 45 — Corrections Management (Separate Confinement) Operating Procedure 2019 (ACT), cl 4.3 — Justice and Community Safety Legislation Amendment Act 2024 (ACT), s 11.

*McIver v Australian Capital Territory* ..... 281

Nature of appellate review — Standard of appellate review — Standard of review applicable to decisions not to grant extensions of time pursuant to s 40C(3) of Human Rights Act 2004 (ACT) — Whether such decisions reviewable on correctness standard or on grounds enumerated in *House v The King* (1936) 55 CLR 499 — Correctness standard inapplicable — Decisions involve exercise of discretion — Human Rights Act 2004 (ACT), s 40C(3).

*McIver v Australian Capital Territory* ..... 281

## CRIMINAL LAW

Sentencing — Aboriginal offender — Pilot Circle Sentencing List — Elders and Respected Persons Panel — Considerations relevant to process of Circle Sentencing — Supreme Court Practice Direction 1 of 2024.

*Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT) v Chatfield* ..... 240

## INDEX

### LEGAL PRACTITIONERS

- Misconduct and unfitness — Personal misconduct not in connection with legal practice — Fraudulent pursuit of damages and claimed inability to work following motor vehicle accident — False representations made to treating physicians and insurance assessors — Attempt to co-opt employer into corroborating false claims to insurer — Conviction and imprisonment by Local Court of New South Wales for attempting dishonestly to obtain financial advantage — Subsequent appeal to District Court and application for judicial review in Court of Appeal — Maintenance of innocence throughout — Claims that dishonesty stemmed from untreated mental health condition — Where dishonesty in pursuit of monetary gain prolonged and persistent — Where conduct outside legal practice indicator of unfitness to practise — Where evidence of candour and contrition limited and necessitated by circumstances — Where respondent's account of mental health treatment neither wholly accurate nor causally relevant to offending — Defect of character incompatible with membership of legal profession — Where no other order sufficient to fulfil protective role of the Supreme Court — Orders for striking off from Roll of Practitioners made.
- Law Society (ACT) v Bangura* ..... 268

### STATUTES

- Interpretation — Proper construction of s 18(7) of Human Rights Act 2004 (ACT) — Right to compensation for unlawful arrest or detention — Where appellants variously remanded in custody or serving sentences of imprisonment — Where multitude of breaches of human rights during appellants' detention alleged on part of respondent — Where respondent alleged unlawfully not to have segregated prisoners on remand from convicted prisoners — Where respondent further alleged unlawfully to have denied certain prisoners adequate access to open air and opportunities for exercise — Where appellants instituted separate proceedings seeking declaratory relief and compensation pursuant to s 18(7) of Human Rights Act — Where appellants framed claims for compensation as arising directly under s 18(7) of Human Rights Act as statutory cause of action — Where primary judge rejected existence of such statutory cause of action — Whether s 18(7) of Human Rights Act provides independent statutory cause of action for compensation — Whether primary judge's construction erroneous — Relevant grounds of appeal dismissed — No independent statutory cause of action created by section either as enacted or amended — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Art 2, cl 3; Art 9, cl 6 — New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 (NZ), s 3 — Legislation Act 2001 (ACT), ss 126, 132, 139, 141, 142 — Human Rights Bill 2003 (ACT), Pt 3 — Human Rights Act 2004 (ACT), ss 5, 7, 10, 18, 19, 23, 28, 30, 32, 37, 38, 40, 40A, 40B, 40C, 40D — Charter of Human Rights and Responsibilities Act 2006 (Vic), s 39 — Corrections Management Act 2007 (ACT), ss 12, 44, 45 — Human Rights Amendment Act 2008 (ACT).
- McIver v Australian Capital Territory* ..... 281

## INDEX

### WORDS AND PHRASES

“Unlawfully ... detained” — Human Rights Act 2004 (ACT), s 18(7).

*McIver v Australian Capital Territory* ..... 281