

MARCH 2025

Reports of the District Court and State Administrative Tribunal of
Western Australia

THE STATE REPORTS (WESTERN AUSTRALIA) 2024

EDITORS

HIS HONOUR JUDGE M FLYNN
Judge of the District Court of Western Australia

DR B DE VILLIERS, BA, LLB, LL.D
*Member of the State Administrative Tribunal of Western Australia
Adjunct Professor: School of Law, Curtin University (WA)*

CONSULTING EDITORS

PW NICHOLS, RFD, BA, LL.M, M CRIM JUST
Barrister-at-Law

DR RJ DESIATNIK, BA, LL.M (Hons), SJD

REPORTER

DR RJ DESIATNIK

The mode of citation of this part will be:
115 SR(WA)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 2 — Pages 79-134

ASC Pty Ltd, Re	1
Busselton, City of; Happ v	110
Carinya Court Rockingham Strata Scheme 25819, Owners; Vane v	19
Carnarvon, Shire of; Sullivan v	79
Happ v City of Busselton	110
Medical Board of Australia; Sivathasan v	45
Sivathasan v Medical Board of Australia	45
Sullivan v Shire of Carnarvon	79
Vane v Owners, Carinya Court Rockingham Strata Scheme 25819	19

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2025 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0158-1996

INDEX

Part 2 — Pages 79-134

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

- Development control — Issue of order by council to demolish shacks on ground of its belief they were in “dangerous state” — Whether shacks in such state — Repairs ordered for those shacks found to be in dangerous state — Whether building permit required to carry out repairs — Relevant factors — Building Act 2011 (WA), ss 3, 5, 6, 9, 110, 111, 112, 115, 117, 122 — Building Regulations 2012 (WA), reg 41, Sch 4, cl 1, 2.
Sullivan v Shire of Carnarvon 79
- Development control — Property in Rural Residential zoning — City council’s refusal to approve erection of chalets and clearing of vegetation on property — Issue by city council of notices to owner to remove unauthorised development and to stop and not recommence development — Application to State Administrative Tribunal to set aside decisions as to such refusal and issue of notices — Relevant factors — Scope of concept of “landscape value” — Cost to owners of removing chalets and restoring site — Whether factor to grant application — Whether setback provisions of local planning policy consistent with such provisions in local planning scheme — Lack of expert evidence where case involved technical issues — Whether deficiency — Exercise of Tribunal’s power to affirm, vary or set aside city council’s decisions to give notices — Relevant factors — Planning and Development Act 2005 (WA), s 214 — State Planning Policy 6.1 — Leeuwin-Naturaliste Ridge (WA), PS 2.2 — State Planning Policy 3.7 — Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas (WA) — City of Busselton Local Planning Policy 2.4 — Rural Tourist Accommodation — City of Busselton Local Planning Scheme No 21 (WA).
Happ v City of Busselton 110

WORDS AND PHRASES

- “In a dangerous state” — Building Act 2011 (WA), s 112(2)(g).
Sullivan v Shire of Carnarvon 79