

FEBRUARY 2025

The Authorised Reports of Decisions of
the Federal Court of Australia

THE
FEDERAL COURT
REPORTS
2024

EDITOR
VICTOR KLINE

CONSULTING EDITORS
DR OREN BIGOS KC
DAVID ASH

REPORTERS IN THIS PART
FRANCIS CARDELL-OLIVER
SAMUEL CUMMINGS
VICTOR KLINE

PRODUCTION EDITOR
MYUNG COLE

VOL 306 — PART 1

PAGES 1-155

The mode of citation of this part will be:
306 FCR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-155

Alumina & Bauxite Company Ltd v Queensland Alumina Ltd	86
Boehringer Ingelheim Animal Health USA Inc; Zoetis Services LLC v	19
Campbell-Smith v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs	1
Climate Change and Energy, Minister for; Seadragon Offshore Wind Pty Ltd v	69
Communications, Minister for; Gaynor v	145
Gaynor v Minister for Communications	145
Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs, Minister for; Campbell-Smith v	1
Patrix Prestige Pty Ltd; Federal Commissioner of Taxation v	56
Queensland Alumina Ltd; Alumina & Bauxite Company Ltd v	86
SARB Management Group Pty Ltd (Costs); Vehicle Monitoring Systems Pty Ltd v	13
Seadragon Offshore Wind Pty Ltd v Minister for Climate Change and Energy	69
Taxation, Federal Commissioner of v Patrix Prestige Pty Ltd	56
Vehicle Monitoring Systems Pty Ltd v SARB Management Group Pty Ltd (Costs)	13
Zoetis Services LLC v Boehringer Ingelheim Animal Health USA Inc	19

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2025 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0813-7803

INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-155

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

- Classification and censorship — Publications — Where Classification Review Board described public submissions as being “overwhelmingly anti-LGBTQIA+” — Where only 66 of the 576 public submissions could be rationally treated as “broadly anti-LGBTQIA+” — Whether Board ignored, overlooked or misunderstood the public submissions — Classification (Publications, Films and Computer Games) Act 1995 (Cth).
Gaynor v Minister for Communications and Another 145
- Sanctions — Prohibition against the making of a sanctioned supply — Whether a sanctioned supply could be made by conduct involving more than one transaction — Meaning of “benefit” — Meaning of “direct or indirect result” — Autonomous Sanctions Regulations 2011 (Cth), regs 4(1), 12.
Alumina & Bauxite Company Ltd and Others v Queensland Alumina Ltd and Others 86

COURTS AND JUDGES

- Federal Court — Intermediate appellate court — Reasons — Judicial responsibility to give reasons — Limited reasons — Whether may be appropriate — Circumstances in which may be appropriate — “Judicial economy”.
Vehicle Monitoring Systems Pty Ltd v SARB Management Group Pty Ltd and Others (Costs) 13

ENERGY

- Electricity — Offshore electricity — Feasibility licences — Whether Minister could grant a feasibility licence over an area reduced in size from the area in respect of which the application for the licence was made — Offshore Electricity Infrastructure Act 2021 (Cth), s 33(1).
Seadragon Offshore Wind Pty Ltd v Minister for Climate Change and Energy 69

IMMIGRATION

- Visas — Cancellation — Character test — Revocation of cancellation — Another reason — Relevant factors — Ministerial directions — Direction 99 — Formative years spent in Australia — Weight to be attributed to factor — Considerable weight — Meaning of — Time when offending commenced — Nature of offending — Whether relevant in determining weight to be given to formative years factor — Migration Act 1958 (Cth), ss 499(2A), 501CA(4)(b)(ii).
Campbell-Smith v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs and Another 1

INDEX

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

- Patents — Best method requirement — Whether the form of the claims is determinative when deciding whether best method requirement has been satisfied — Whether best method requirement can be satisfied by provision of a range from within which the best method may be found — Whether best method requirement imposes a good faith obligation — Patents Act 1990 (Cth), s 40(2)(aa).
Zoetis Services LLC v Boehringer Ingelheim Animal Health USA Inc 19

TAXATION

- Goods and services tax — Anti-avoidance — Where anti-avoidance provisions enabled Commissioner to negate GST benefit derived from a scheme — Where provisions did not apply where GST benefit was attributable to the making of a choice or election provided for by luxury car tax law — Whether the entitlement to quote an Australian Business Number in relation to the supply of a luxury car was a choice or election which was expressly provided for by the luxury car tax law — A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Act 1999 (Cth), Div 165, s 165-5(1)(b).
Federal Commissioner of Taxation v Patrix Prestige Pty Ltd 56
- Luxury car tax — Where a person could quote their Australian Business Number (ABN) in relation to the supply of a luxury car and thereby avoid luxury car tax where at the time of quoting the person had the intention of using the car as trading stock — Whether a supplier was obliged to accept a quote from a person who had an entitlement to quote their ABN in relation to the supply of a luxury car — Where a person who was supplied with a luxury car could claim a decreasing luxury car tax adjustment in certain circumstances — Whether a change of use was required in order for a decreasing luxury car tax adjustment to arise — A New Tax System (Luxury Car Tax) Act 1999 (Cth), ss 5-5, 5-10(1)(a), 9-5, 15-30.
Federal Commissioner of Taxation v Patrix Prestige Pty Ltd 56