

FEBRUARY 2025

Criminal decisions from the High Court, Federal Court, and the
Supreme Courts of all Australian States and Territories

THE
AUSTRALIAN
CRIMINAL
REPORTS

2022-2024

EDITOR

MATTHEW GOODE, LLB (Hons), LLM
Solicitor

VOL 307 — PART 3

PAGES 295-454

Print Post Approved PP25500300363

Material No: 43306533

307 A Crim R 295-454 PART 3

THE AUSTRALIAN CRIMINAL REPORTS

2022-2024

The mode of citation of this part will be:
307 A Crim R

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 3 — Pages 296-454

BM v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	1
Fitzgerald; R v (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	101
Fleming; R v (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	101
Gamage v Riashi (NSW Sup Ct)	259
Henderson; R v (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	376
Hill; Director of Public Prosecutions (Vic) v (Vic Sup Ct (Ct of App))	273
Jayaweera; R v (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App))	296
Kennedy v The King (Vic Sup Ct (Ct of App))	355
Kola; Director of Public Prosecutions (Cth) v (High Ct of Aust)	133
Lanciana v The King (Vic Sup Ct (Ct of App))	185
LJ; R v (NT Sup Ct)	17
Mason v The King (Vic Sup Ct (Ct of App))	169
NDA v Western Australia (WA Sup Ct (Ct of App))	47
Nehme; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	40
Nehme; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	43
New South Wales; Stratton v (NSW Sup Ct)	413
Obian v The King (High Ct of Aust)	441
Price; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	40
Price; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	43
Public Prosecutions (ACT), Director of v Whittle (ACT Sup Ct)	62
Public Prosecutions (Cth), Director of v Kola (High Ct of Aust)	133

Case Citation of The Criminal Appeal Reports

From the first issue of 2001, cases published in Sweet and Maxwell's The Criminal Appeal Reports have been given a unique case number. Case citations take the form of, for example, [2001] 1 Cr App R 2 for the second case reported in Volume 1 of Cr App R in 2001. The Australian Criminal Reports has adopted this style of case citation.

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2025 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0159-6667

INDEX

Part 3 — Pages 296-454

APPEAL

- Conduct of defence counsel — Trial counsel took direct brief — Failure of trial counsel to take written instructions — Appellant advised by trial counsel not to give evidence at time when not all prosecution material received — Incompetence of counsel amounting to miscarriage of justice — Criminal Code (Qld), ss 229B(4)(c), 408C(1)(e), 567(3), 568(3), 624, 668E.
R v Jayaweera (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 296
- Miscarriage of justice — Particular circumstances amounting to miscarriage — Misdirection or non-direction — Appellant convicted of six counts of fraud — Impugned conduct involved 28 particular money transfers — Evidence admitted at trial on all counts without restriction as to use — Adequacy of instructions on mental element of dishonesty in particular by failing to identify knowledge, belief or intent said by prosecution to render relevant act dishonest — Miscarriage of justice.
R v Jayaweera (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 296

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

- Implied freedom of communication on governmental and political matters — COVID-19 restrictions on outdoor public gatherings — Restrictions valid — Public Health Act 2010 (NSW), ss 7, 10 — Public Health (COVID-19 Restrictions on Gathering and Movement) Order (No 4) 2020 — Public Health (COVID-19 Restrictions on Gathering and Movement) Order (No 5) 2020.
Stratton and Another v New South Wales and Another (NSW Sup Ct) 413

DISHONESTY OFFENCES

- Defences — Claim of right — Fraud — Allegation of dishonesty — Whether necessarily inconsistent with claim of right — Criminal Code (Qld), ss 22, 36, 408C, 488.
R v Robertson (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 327

PROCEDURE

- Trial — Right of reply — Prosecution calling evidence after close of case — Accused's evidence not reasonably foreseeable — Construction of statutory right — Criminal Procedure Act 2009 (Vic), ss 182, 183, 184, 189, 190, 224, 225, 226, 230, 231, 233.
Obian v The King (High Ct of Aust) 441

INDEX

SENTENCE

- Prosecution appeal against sentence — Permission to appeal — Aggravated dangerous driving causing death — Sentence manifestly inadequate — Intoxication — “Hoon” driving — Excessive speed — Sentence so inadequate as to affect public confidence in administration of justice — Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935 (SA), s 19A.
R v Henderson (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App)) 376

TRIAL

- Juries — Two jurors discharged — Whether jury should be discharged — Test to be applied — “High level of need” not necessarily required — Juries Act 2000 (Vic), ss 43, 44.
Kennedy v The King (Vic Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 355

WORDS AND PHRASES

- “Dishonesty”.
R v Robertson (Qld Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 327
“High level of need”.
Kennedy v The King (Vic Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 355