

JANUARY 2025

---

Reports of the Supreme Courts of the Australian States when exercising Federal Jurisdiction, the Supreme Courts of the Northern Territory and Australian Capital Territory, the Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia and Federal Tribunals

---

# THE FEDERAL LAW REPORTS 2024

EDITOR

VICTOR KLINE

CONSULTING EDITORS

DR OREN BIGOS KC  
NICHOLAS POYNDER

SENIOR REPORTERS

SAMUEL CUMMINGS  
VICTOR KLINE

REPORTER

BERNARD ANDARY

VOL 385 — PART 2

PAGES 125-254

The mode of citation of this part will be:  
**385 FLR**

---

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 2 — Pages 125-254

Australian Federal Police, Commissioner of the v Hills Greenery Pty Ltd (NSW Sup Ct) .....	114
Bigatton v The King (NSW Ct of Cr App) .....	34
Calatzis v Jones (ACT Sup Ct) .....	70
Chen v Chen (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 1)) .....	NaN
<b>Chung (No 3); Vang v (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 1))</b> .....	125
Hills Greenery Pty Ltd; Commissioner of the Australian Federal Police v (NSW Sup Ct) .....	114
Jones; Calatzis v (ACT Sup Ct) .....	70
<b>Khail v RTA Gove Pty Ltd (NT Sup Ct)</b> .....	148
<b>Khanat v The King (NSW Ct of Cr App)</b> .....	234
King, The; Bigatton v (NSW Ct of Cr App) .....	34
King, The; Khanat v (NSW Ct of Cr App) .....	234
<b>Leena v Leena (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 1))</b> .....	182
Okwechime (No 2); Director of Public Prosecutions v (ACT Sup Ct) .....	1
Public Prosecutions, Director of v Okwechime (No 2) (ACT Sup Ct) .....	1
<b>RTA Gove Pty Ltd; Khail v (NT Sup Ct)</b> .....	148
<b>Vang v Chung (No 3) (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 1))</b> .....	125
<b>Velichkov v Velichkov (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 1))</b> .....	195

---

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

---



© 2025 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0085-0462

# INDEX

Part 2 — Pages 125-254

## **CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE**

- Sentencing — Intensive corrections order (ICO) — Sentencing judge finding risk of offending best addressed via ICO — Whether ICO thus mandated — Whether sentencing judge erred by subordinating community safety to general deterrence — Customs Act 1901 (Cth), s 233BABAD(2B) — Crimes (Sentencing Procedure) Act 1999 (NSW), Pt V, Div 2.  
*Khanat v The King (NSW Ct of Cr App)* ..... 234

## **FAMILY LAW AND CHILD WELFARE**

- De facto relationship — How determined — Whether parties need to live together full time or at all to be in a de facto relationship — Family Law Act 1975 (Cth), s 4AA.  
*Velichkov v Velichkov (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 1))* ..... 195
- Embryos — Succumbed embryos — Disposal of — Dispute between the parties as to who should dispose — Consideration of the nature of succumbed embryos.  
*Leena v Leena (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 1))* ..... 182
- Embryos — Succumbed embryos — Whether “property” within the meaning of the Family Law Act 1975 (Cth), s 79.  
*Leena v Leena (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 1))* ..... 182
- Spouse maintenance — Where applicant initiated proceedings in Division 2 Court which were then transferred to Division 1 Court — Where applicant amended her application after the transfer to seek an order for leave to apply for spouse maintenance orders — Whether Division 1 Court had jurisdiction to determine applicant’s application for leave — Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia Act 2021 (Cth), s 50, 50(1), 50(2) — Family Law Act 1975 (Cth), s 44(3).  
*Vang v Chung (No 3) (Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (Division 1))* ..... 125

## **WORKERS’ COMPENSATION**

- Compensation claim — Payments made pursuant to — Cancellation of — Where appellant claimed injury — Where respondent accepted injury — Where respondent cancelled compensation — Whether respondent could deny liability previously accepted — Whether respondent’s cancellation notice valid — Whether invalidity of notice required payment of compensation until court ordered cancellation — Return to Work Act 1986 (NT), ss 65, 69, 85, 89, 116.  
*Khail v RTA Gove Pty Ltd and Another (NT Sup Ct)* ..... 148