

THE AUSTRALIAN LAW JOURNAL

Volume 99, Number 1

January 2025

CURRENT ISSUES – Editor: Justice François Kunc

Witnesses and Privacy – Two Guest Contributions	7
Witness Preparation: A Survey of Australian Trial Judges	7
Do We Change How We Protect? Changes to the Privacy Act	11
The Curated Page	14

TECHNOLOGY AND THE LAW – Editors: Lyria Bennett Moses and Angelina Gomez

Recent Developments in Regulation for Cyber Security	15
--	----

NEW ZEALAND – Editor: Justice Matthew Palmer

Uber Drivers Are Employees – For Now: Raiser Operations BV v E Tū Inc [2024] NZCA 403	20
---	----

ARTICLES

Understanding and Measuring the Impact of Legal Scholarship – Lyria Bennett Moses and Catherine Renshaw

Legal scholarship has the potential to improve law and justice, including through supporting policy development, the development of the law in the courts, and legal practice. Government and universities increasingly recognise the importance of scholarship having real world impact and seek to measure and reward influence. That is a challenging task, particularly in the context of legal scholarship, and is sometimes made more difficult by other policies and practices. This article explains the reasons why it is important to understand and measure the diverse impacts of legal scholarship and how that might best be done, drawing on a survey of legal researchers across Australian universities. 26

Expert Evidence in Queensland: Disclosure of Draft Expert Reports Should Be Abolished – Liam Kelly KC and Dan Butler KC

This article considers r 212(2) of the *Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999* (Qld). This rule provides that a document consisting of a statement or report of an expert is not privileged from disclosure. It is the contention of the authors that the rule ought to be repealed. 42

NZYQ the Long and Winding Road: Al Masri Revisited – Sydney Tilmouth

The recent decision of the High Court of Australia in *NZYQ v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs*, unanimously holds that continued immigration detention is unlawful, when detention is not reasonably necessary for a legitimate and non-punitive purpose and when there is no real prospect of removal from Australia becoming practicable in the reasonably foreseeable future. This decision was preceded by a 28 period of lingering questions as to the correctness of the Court’s 2004 4-3 majority decisions in the cases of *Al-Kateb v Godwin* and *Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs v Al Khafaji*. 59

BOOK REVIEW – Editor: Angelina Gomez

Digital Currencies and Public Law: History, Constitutionalism and the Revolutionary Nature of Money, by Andrew Dahdal, Routledge 68

General Editors of the Australian Law Journal

1927 to 1946	– Mr (later Sir) Bernard Sugerman
1946 to 1958	– Mr (later Justice) Rae Else-Mitchell
1946 to 1961	– Mr (later Sir) Nigel Bowen
1958 to 1967	– Mr (later Justice) Russell Fox
1967 to 1973	– Mr (later Justice) Philip Jeffrey
1974 to 1992	– Professor J G Starke QC
1992 to 2016	– The Hon Justice P W Young AO
2016 to present	– The Hon Justice François Kunc

Australian Law Journal Reports

HIGH COURT REPORTS – Staff of Thomson Reuters

DECISIONS RECEIVED IN NOVEMBER/DECEMBER 2024

BIF23 v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs ([2024] HCA 44) (*Citizenship and Migration*) 75

Fuller v Lawrence ([2024] HCA 45) (*Administrative Law; Criminal Law*)..... 103

Home Affairs, Minister for v Pearson ([2024] HCA 46) (*Citizenship and Migration; High Court and Federal Court*) 110

Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs, Minister for v Tapiki ([2024] HCA 46) (<i>Citizenship and Migration; High Court and Federal Court</i>)	110
JZQQ v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs ([2024] HCA 46) (<i>Citizenship and Migration; High Court and Federal Court</i>)	110
Kramer v Stone ([2024] HCA 48) (<i>Estoppel</i>)	126
Pearson v Commonwealth ([2024] HCA 46) (<i>Citizenship and Migration; High Court and Federal Court</i>)	110
Tapiki v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs ([2024] HCA 46) (<i>Citizenship and Migration; High Court and Federal Court</i>)	110
YBFZ v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs ([2024] HCA 40) (<i>Citizenship and Migration; Constitutional Law; High Court and Federal Court</i>)	1