

NOVEMBER 2024

Decisions of the District Court of New South Wales

LAWBOOK CO.'s
DISTRICT COURT
LAW REPORTS
(NSW)

2023-2024

EDITOR

DR RJ DESIATNIK

CONSULTING EDITOR

A M BLACKMORE SC, B LEG, LL.M

Acting Judge of the District Court of NSW

REPORTER

DR RJ DESIATNIK

The mode of citation of this part will be:
42 DCLR(NSW)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-108

Bird v Redman	67
Chopra v NSW Health Service – South Western Sydney Local Health District (No 2)	99
Horan v Schieb	46
JH (No 2); R v	57
Kennedy Civil Contracting Pty Ltd (subject to a Deed of Company Arrangement) v Total Construction Pty Ltd	11
King, The; McGee v	92
Kucukakyuz; R v	1
Mamou (t/as De Novo Conveyancing) (No 2); Patterson v	78
McGee v The King	92
NSW Health Service – South Western Sydney Local Health District (No 2); Chopra v	99
Patterson v Mamou (t/as De Novo Conveyancing) (No 2)	78
R v JH (No 2)	57
R v Kucukakyuz	1
R v Thornton	83
Redman; Bird v	67
Schieb; Horan v	46
Schieb; Sommerville v	46
Sommerville v Schieb	46
Thornton; R v	83
Total Construction Pty Ltd; Kennedy Civil Contracting Pty Ltd (subject to a Deed of Company Arrangement) v	11

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2024 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1832-3235

INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-108

BUILDING AND ENGINEERING CONTRACTS

- Progress payments — Claim for — By subcontractor against contractor — Claim under statutory scheme — Payment claim under scheme — Construction of claim — Alleged difficulty of recipient to identify part of claim — Appropriate remedy — Whether claim invalid — Effect of misdescription or mischaracterisation — Earlier claim by subcontractor — Whether current claim thereby invalid — Relationship between claim for progress payment under statutory scheme and under contract between parties — Whether letter of demand had concurrent operation with statutory demand — Allegation of misleading and deceptive conduct — Whether allegation supported by isolation of statements made by alleged wrongdoer — Whether judgment for subcontractor should be stayed — Relevant factors — Building and Construction Industry Security of Payment Act 1999 (NSW), ss 5, 11, 13, 14, 15, 34, 112.
- Kennedy Civil Contracting Pty Ltd (subject to a Deed of Company Arrangement) v Total Construction Pty Ltd* 11

COSTS

- Departing from general rule — Offers of compromise by Calderbank offer and offer pursuant to rules of court — Amount recovered by successful offerees greater than amount offered in terms of monetary value — Whether other attributes of offers made should be taken into account — Whether such approach consistent with case management and relevant statutory provision — Whether proceedings ought to have been commenced or continued in Local Court — Whether any effect on costs order — Civil Procedure Act 2005 (NSW), ss 56-60, 98(1)(a) — Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005 (NSW), r 42.35.
- Patterson and Another v Mamou (t/as De Novo Conveyancing)*
(No 2) 78

CRIMINAL LAW

- Particular offences — Causing bodily harm by wanton or furious driving or “other misconduct” — Meaning of “other misconduct” — Time at which to ascertain meaning — Whether accused’s manner of driving constituted “other misconduct” — Crimes Act 1900 (NSW), s 53.
- R v Thornton* 83
- Particular offences — Perversion of the course of justice — Proof of such offence — Requirements — What need not be proved — Comparison with offence of hindering investigation of serious indictable offence — Crimes Act 1900 (NSW), ss 315(1)(a), 319.
- R v Kucukakyuz* 1

INDEX

CRIMINAL LAW — *continued*

- Practice and procedure — Application for annulment of convictions in Local Court following refusal of prior application for annulment of those convictions — Requirement for leave to make second application — Refusal of such leave — Whether requirement of leave a jurisdictional fact — Whether appeal then lay to District Court against second refusal to annul conviction — Crimes (Appeal and Review) Act 2001 (NSW), ss 4, 11A — Criminal Procedure Act 1986 (NSW), s 196.
McGee v The King 92

DEFAMATION

- Actions for — Damages — Whether personal injury compensable in damages in defamation case — Scope of personal injury — Concerns notice — Failure to provide heading or identified particulars of harm in notice — Effect — Failure to provide any particulars in concerns notice — Effect — Whether later provision of particulars remedied such omission — Defamation Act 2005 (NSW), ss 3, 10A, 12A, 12B, 23.
Sommerville v Schieb 46

DISTRICT COURT

- Practice — Whether court bound by previous decisions of District Court — Whether practice existed to abide by Court's own decisions unless those decisions clearly wrong.
McGee v The King 92

JUDGMENTS AND ORDERS

- Apprehended personal violence order — Stigma attached to such order — Whether qualitatively different to stigma attached to criminal conviction — Appeal against order — Whether new evidence permitted — Relevant principle — Requirements for apprehended violence order to be made — Approach to appeals against making of such order — Crimes (Domestic and Personal Violence) Act 2007 (NSW), ss 4, 7, 10, 19, 20, 84.
Bird v Redman 67

MENTAL HEALTH

- Criminal law — Imposition of penalty after special hearing — Relevant principles — Whether court had statutory power to detain defendant in aged care home — Mental Health and Cognitive Impairment Forensic Provisions Act 2020 (NSW), ss 3A, 63, 65(2).
R v JH (No 2) 57

STATUTES

- Construction — Rules of construction — Eiusdem generis rule — Application — Relevant principles.
R v Thornton 83

INDEX

WORDS AND PHRASES

“Application under s 4 for annulment” — Crimes (Appeal and Review) Act 2001 (NSW), s 11A(1).	
<i>McGee v The King</i>	92
“Course of justice” — Crimes Act 1900 (NSW), s 319.	
<i>R v Kucukakyuz</i>	1
“Detained” — Mental Health and Cognitive Impairment Forensic Provisions Act 2020 (NSW), s 65(2).	
<i>R v JH (No 2)</i>	57
“Other misconduct” — Crimes Act 1900 (NSW), s 53.	
<i>R v Thornton</i>	83
“Other place” — Mental Health and Cognitive Impairment Forensic Provisions Act 2020 (NSW), s 65(2).	
<i>R v JH (No 2)</i>	57
“Serious harm” — Defamation Act 2005 (NSW), s 10A(1).	
<i>Sommerville v Schieb</i>	46

WORKERS COMPENSATION

Award of damages to plaintiff — Claim for interest on damages — Statutory requirements for such award — Offers of settlement made by defendant — Award over 20% higher than any offer — Whether offer unreasonable in light of information available to defendant — Whether plaintiff’s obligation to provide such information — Type of information to be required — Whether any offer made unreasonable — Relevant factors — Workers Compensation Act 1987 (NSW), s 151M.	
<i>Chopra v NSW Health Service – South Western Sydney Local Health District (No 2)</i>	99