Industrial Reports from the High Court, Federal Court, the State and Territory Supreme Courts, and Federal and State Industrial Courts and Tribunals

Incorporating the Authorised Reports of the Fair Work Commission, the Industrial Relations Commission of NSW, the Industrial Court of Queensland and the Queensland Industrial Relations Commission

INDUSTRIAL REPORTS

2024

CONSULTANTS

The Honourable JUSTICE ADAM HATCHER President of the Fair Work Commission

The Honourable JUSTICE INGMAR TAYLOR

President of the
Industrial Relations Commission of New South Wales

The Honourable LANCE WRIGHT KC former President of the Industrial Relations Commission of New South Wales

ALICIA ASH BA LLB (Hons)

MANAGING EDITOR

MAHREEN HASAN BCom (Hons) LLB, MPP

VOL 334 — PART 1

PAGES 1-124

The mode of citation of this part will be: 334 IR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-124

AA v Trustees, Roman Catholic Church, Diocese of	
Maitland-Newcastle (NSW Sup Ct)	70
Danaratna v Arunatilaka (Fed Ct of Aust)	52
TT v Diocese of Saint Maron, Sydney (No. 3) (NSW Sun Ct)	1

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2025 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited ABN 64 058 914 668 Lawbook Co.

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0728-8417

Part 1 — Pages 1-124

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE

EMI LOTER AND EMI LOTEE
Vicarious liability for intentional wrongdoing — Ambit of vicarious liability for intentional wrongdoing in non-employment relationship — Where now-deceased parish priest routinely invited certain teenage boys to presbytery — Where priest allegedly caused plaintiff to drink to unconsciousness and thereafter sexually assaulted him on six occasions — Where plaintiff subsequently led difficult and troubled life — Claim for damages brought alleging vicarious liability and negligence by defendant Diocese responsible for parish and priest in question — General and loss of earning capacity damages sought — Exemplary and aggravated damages also sought — Where Diocese contested fact of abuse and denied both direct and vicarious liability — Whether plaintiff able to discharge onus that parish priest did sexually assault him — Evidentiary discrepancies between plaintiff's account and that of another witness did not vitiate truth of plaintiff's account — Whether Diocese was vicariously liable for actions of parish priest — Where abuse occurred in connection with priest's functions and status within Church — Vicarious liability established in circumstances where both opportunity for abuse and priest's position of power over plaintiff were attributable to Diocese reposing authority in priest. **A v Trustees**, Roman Catholic Church, Diocese of**
Maitland-Newcastle (NSW Sup Ct)70
Vicarious liability — Vicarious liability for intentional wrongdoing — Ambit of vicarious liability for intentional wrongdoing in relationships other than employer and employee — Where second defendant was Deacon not employed by first defendant — Where second defendant volunteered as Deacon on full-time basis as part of progression to priesthood — Where second defendant did not have occupation separate to position as Deacon — Where second defendant significantly involved in local parish including in choir and youth groups — Where second defendant subject to direction from parish priest — Whether first defendant could be held vicariously liable for sexual abuse of second defendant despite second defendant not being employee — First defendant vicariously liable for acts of second defendant. TT v Diocese of Saint Maron, Sydney (No 3) (NSW Sup Ct)
EVIDENCE

Admissibility — Expert evidence — Basis for expert opinion — Where expert former parish priest gave evidence as to teaching and practices of Catholic Church at time of alleged abuse — Where qualifications of expert included extensive experience across various roles in Catholic Church — Where Diocese contested expert reports on grounds of insufficient basis for opinions stated — Expert opinion said to amount to bare "ipse dixit" — Whether evidence admissible as expert opinion — Expert opinions plainly based upon training, experience, and knowledge — No further explanation of basis of expert opinions required — Expert reports admissible — Evidence Act 1995 (NSW), s 79.

AA v Trustees, Roman Catholic Church, Diocese of Maitland-Newcastle (NSW Sup Ct)70

EVIDENCE — continued	
Admissibility — Tendency evidence — Where Diocese alleged evidence was inadmissible for want of significant probative value — Where evidence sought to establish tendencies of sexual interest and opportunistic behaviour on part of alleged abuser priest — Whether tendency evidence had capacity to affect fact in issue when taken at its highest — Tendency evidence did have such capacity — Evidence and allegations of plaintiff taken at their highest established significant probative value — Tendencies established — Evidence Act 1995 (NSW), s 97.	
AA v Trustees, Roman Catholic Church, Diocese of	
Maitland-Newcastle (NSW Sup Ct)	. 70
Competing evidence — Discharge of burden of proof — Where plaintiff and witness accounts differed in several respects — Plaintiff and witness childhood friends — Where both plaintiff and witness were invited to presbytery and plied with cigarettes and alcohol — Where only plaintiff was abused by parish priest — Where witness had no knowledge of and disbelieved that abuse had occurred — Where plaintiff and witness recollections affected by contemporary inebriation, passage of time, and effects of trauma — Whether plaintiff's account should be preferred despite inconsistencies — Plaintiff's account preferred — Trauma of alleged assaults made plaintiff's recollection of those details more likely — Aspects of witness evidence corroborated plaintiff's account as to opportunities for abuse — Plaintiff's account not vague, internally inconsistent, or unconvincing to preclude being accepted as truthful.	. 70
AA v Trustees, Roman Catholic Church, Diocese of Maitland-Newcastle (NSW Sup Ct)	. 70

INDUSTRIAL LAW

Terms and conditions of employment — Alleged multiplicity of breaches of Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) and Miscellaneous Award 2010 — Where respondent solicited employment of applicant in Sri Lanka prior to diplomatic posting to Australia — Where successive employment contracts entered into required applicant to work as personal servant to respondent at respondent's personal residence in Australia for monthly salary with allowances — Where applicant conveyed to personal residence of respondent on arrival in Australia and made to work without interruption from that time — Where working days averaged between 16 and 20 hours — Where no leave taken by applicant save for two days following kitchen accident — Where neither full salary nor allowances paid to applicant by respondent at any time — Where payment effected sporadically and without payslips — Proceedings commenced in Federal Court of Australia seeking declaratory relief, compensation, and orders for pecuniary penalties against respondent — Where breaches of Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) and Miscellaneous Award 2010 established — Declaratory relief granted and compensation ordered — Penalty hearing timetabled — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 44, 45, 90(2), 323(1), 536(1) — Miscellaneous Award 2010, cll 10.2, 20.1, 20.2, 21, 22.1, 22.2(e), 22.3(e), 23.3.

Danaratna v Arunatilaka (Fed Ct of Aust) ______52

NEGLIGENCE

Duty of care — Existence and extent of duty of care — Whether plaintiff suffered harm which was reasonably foreseeable and not insignificant — Expert evidence established knowledge on part of Church as to risks posed by priests being alone with children — No steps taken by Diocese to address these risks — Harm both reasonably foreseeable and significant — Whether Diocese negligent for allowing harm to plaintiff — Duty of care owed by Diocese particularly given its greater knowledge of risks posed by priests being alone with children than general public at that time — Diocese failed to fulfil duty of care and was thus causally responsible for abuse suffered by plaintiff — Whether abuse constituted "sliding doors" moment without which plaintiff's later life difficulties would not have occurred — Expert psychological evidence indicated other compounding factors — "Sliding doors" claim not accepted — Whether abuse nonetheless warranted damages — Gravity of abuse warranted general and aggravated damages of \$260,000 — Claim for exemplary damages not made out — Threshold for exemplary damages not met in respect of Diocese — Exemplary damages would only have been appropriate as against deceased priest given his contumelious disregard for plaintiff's rights — Whether damages for past economic loss attributable to abuse — Abuse not sole cause of lifetime economic outcomes — 40% contribution calculated — Parties ordered to calculate loss.	
AA v Trustees, Roman Catholic Church, Diocese of Maitland-Newcastle (NSW Sup Ct)	0
Duty of care — Where first defendant engaged second defendant as Deacon without performing background or working with children checks — Where plaintiff claimed that first defendant owed plaintiff duty to take reasonable care for safety and welfare of plaintiff when plaintiff engaged in church activities — Whether first defendant failed to take reasonable care by not performing background or working with children checks on second defendant and thereby subjected plaintiff to risk of being sexually assaulted by second defendant — No evidence of what background checks might have revealed about second defendant — Negligence not established. TT v Diocese of Saint Maron, Sydney (No 3) (NSW Sup Ct)	1
PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE	
Federal Court of Australia — Jurisdiction — Whether respondent "national system employer" and applicant "national system employee" — Where respondent employed applicant in personal household in Australian Capital Territory — Jurisdiction established — Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth), ss 13, 14(1)(f), 562.	
Danaratna v Arunatilaka (Fed Ct of Aust)5	2
Federal Court of Australia — Whether proceedings should continue in absence of respondent — Where all relevant material served on respondent — Where failure to participate choice by respondent — Where orders to proceed appropriate in all circumstances — Federal Court Rules 2011 (Cth), r 30.21(1)(b)(i).	
Danaratna v Arunatilaka (Fed Ct of Aust)5	2

PUBLIC	INTERNATIONAL L	AW
---------------	-----------------	----

Immunities from suit — Where potential foreign state and diplomatic immunity
raised — Whether immunity attached to respondent as Sri Lankan Deputy High
Commissioner to Australia at relevant times — Where applicant employed by
respondent in purely personal capacity — No basis to conclude that applicant
was employed by foreign state — Foreign state immunity not applicable —
Where employment of applicant in personal capacity took such employment
beyond immunity attaching to official diplomatic functions — Where respondent
otherwise no longer beneficiary of diplomatic immunity in Australia —
Diplomatic immunity not applicable — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic
Relations, Art 39(2) — Diplomatic Privileges and Immunities Act 1967 (Cth),
s 7.

TORT

Intentional tort — Battery — Sexual abuse — Where plaintiff and family parishioners of local Maronite Catholic Church — Where second defendant Deacon of that church — Where plaintiff claimed that second defendant groomed him over several years and sexually abused him in second defendant's parked car while plaintiff was driven home from church — Where plaintiff complained of sexual abuse to numerous friends and family after occurrence — Whether evidence established that second defendant groomed plaintiff — Whether evidence established that second defendant sexually abused plaintiff — Grooming not established — Sexual abuse established.