

DECEMBER 2024

The Authorised Law Reports of the
Supreme Court of Western Australia

THE
WESTERN
AUSTRALIAN
REPORTS
2022

EDITOR

ERIC HEENAN SC

REPORTER IN THIS PART

HUGH KOPSEN

VOL 59 — PART 6

PAGES 391-480

The mode of citation of this part will be:
59 WAR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 6 — Pages 391-480

Allen (No 2); Metalicity Ltd v	167
DFD Rhodes Pty Ltd v Hancock Prospecting Pty Ltd	316
Electricity Networks Corporation (t/as Western Power); Herridge Parties v	69
Gold Valley Iron Pty Ltd (in liq) v OPS Screening & Crushing Equipment Pty Ltd	232
Hancock Prospecting Pty Ltd; DFD Rhodes Pty Ltd v	316
Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation (t/as Western Power)	69
HES v Western Australia	391
JHN; Western Australia v	143
Legal Profession Complaints Committee; Young v	1
Metalicity Ltd v Allen (No 2)	167
OPS Screening & Crushing Equipment Pty Ltd; Gold Valley Iron Pty Ltd (in liq) v	232
Western Australia v JHN	143
Western Australia; HES v	391
Young v Legal Profession Complaints Committee	1

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

© State of Western Australia 2024
This publication is copyright. Except as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part
of this publication may be reproduced or communicated by any process without the prior
written permission of the Attorney General of Western Australia.



THOMSON REUTERS

2024 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0083-8764

INDEX

Part 6 — Pages 391-480

CRIMINAL LAW

- Appeal against conviction — Appellant tried on charges of indecent assault and sexual penetration without consent — Where the appellant allegedly represented himself to be an Aboriginal elder who fraudulently represented the charged sexual acts were for the purpose of traditional Aboriginal cultural practices — Where the State alleged that consent, as defined by s 319(2)(a) of the Criminal Code (WA), was obtained by “deceit, or any fraudulent means” constituted by a partly implicit representation — Whether the trial judge made a wrong decision on a question of law by failing to properly direct the jury as to what the State had to prove to establish that the consent was obtained by “deceit, or any fraudulent means” in accordance with s 319(2)(a) of the Criminal Code (WA) — Whether the State had to prove that the appellant intended to make the representation — Whether the State had to prove that the alleged representation was, in fact, false — Whether the State had to prove that the appellant intended, by making the representation, to induce the complainant to consent to the charged sexual act.
- HES v Western Australia* 391

EVIDENCE

- Appeal against conviction — Exclusionary rule of evidence as to deliberations of jury — Whether the evidence of the contents of a note from the jury to the trial judge, which reveals the votes cast at an interim stage of the jury’s deliberations, is inadmissible on appeal for the purpose of demonstrating a miscarriage of justice arose from comment by the trial judge to the jury.
- HES v Western Australia* 391