

JANUARY 2025

Criminal decisions from the High Court, Federal Court, and the
Supreme Courts of all Australian States and Territories

THE
AUSTRALIAN
CRIMINAL
REPORTS

2023-2024

EDITOR

MATTHEW GOODE, LLB (Hons), LLM
Solicitor

VOL 307 — PART 1

PAGES 1-148

The mode of citation of this part will be:
307 A Crim R

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-148

BM v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	1
Fitzgerald; R v (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	101
Fleming; R v (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	101
Kola; Director of Public Prosecutions (Cth) v (High Ct of Aust)	133
LJ; R v (NT Sup Ct)	17
NDA v Western Australia (WA Sup Ct (Ct of App))	47
Nehme; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	40
Nehme; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	43
Price; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	40
Price; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	43
Public Prosecutions (ACT), Director of v Whittle (ACT Sup Ct)	62
Public Prosecutions (Cth), Director of v Kola (High Ct of Aust)	133
R v Fitzgerald (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	101
R v Fleming (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App))	101
R v LJ (NT Sup Ct)	17
R v Nehme (NSW Sup Ct)	40
R v Nehme (NSW Sup Ct)	43
R v Price (NSW Sup Ct)	40
R v Price (NSW Sup Ct)	43
R v Rahim (NSW Sup Ct)	40
R v Rahim (NSW Sup Ct)	43
R v Rizk (NSW Sup Ct)	40

Case Citation of The Criminal Appeal Reports

From the first issue of 2001, cases published in Sweet and Maxwell's The Criminal Appeal Reports have been given a unique case number. Case citations take the form of, for example, [2001] 1 Cr App R 2 for the second case reported in Volume 1 of Cr App R in 2001. The Australian Criminal Reports has adopted this style of case citation.

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2025 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0159-6667

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

R v Rizk (NSW Sup Ct)	43
R v Taufahema (NSW Sup Ct)	40
R v Taufahema (NSW Sup Ct)	43
Rahim; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	40
Rahim; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	43
Rizk; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	40
Rizk; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	43
Taufahema; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	40
Taufahema; R v (NSW Sup Ct)	43
Western Australia; NDA v (WA Sup Ct (Ct of App))	47
Whittle; Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT) v (ACT Sup Ct)	62
Zirilli v The King (Vic Sup Ct (Ct of App))	76

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-148

APPEAL

- Permission to appeal — Prosecution appeal against acquittal — Trial by judge alone
— Nature of appeal — Appeal by way of rehearing — Principles of double jeopardy applied to appeal — Appellate intervention only in cases where error material and capable of affecting outcome — Criminal Procedure Act 1921 (SA), s 157.
R v Fitzgerald (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App)) 101

CONSPIRACY

- Agreement — Fault elements — Conspiracy to import commercial quantity of border-controlled drug — Absolute liability as to quantity — Scope of agreement — General principles — Criminal Code (Cth), ss 11.5(1), (2), (2A), (7A), 307.1(1).
Director of Public Prosecutions (Cth) v Kola (High Ct of Aust) 133

EVIDENCE

- Admissibility — Conspiracy — Agreement — Conspiracy to import commercial quantity of border-controlled drug — Scope of agreement — Evidence of scope of agreement from statements not in presence of accused — Criminal Code (Cth), ss 11.5(1), (2), (2A), (7A), 307.1(1).
Director of Public Prosecutions (Cth) v Kola (High Ct of Aust) 133
- Admissibility — Evidence of guilty plea — Plea entered on different charges — Added complexity — Not admissible.
R v Nehme (NSW Sup Ct) 43
- Admissibility — Tendency evidence — Child sexual offences — Sexual interest in a child or children — Significant probative value — Evidence (National Uniform Legislation) Act 2011 (NT), s 97.
R v LJ (NT Sup Ct) 17
- Circumstantial evidence — Trial by judge alone — Principles relating to assessment of circumstantial evidence — Requirement that whole of circumstantial evidence be considered when determining whether guilt proven beyond reasonable doubt.
R v Fitzgerald (SA Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App)) 101
- Sexual offences — Sexual activities with other persons — Leave to cross-examine complainants — Substantial relevance — Assessment of credibility of complainants — Sexual Offences (Evidence and Procedure) Act 1983 (NT), s 4.
R v LJ (NT Sup Ct) 17

INCHOATE OFFENCES

- Complicity — Extended joint criminal enterprise — Combined with constructive murder — Combination no longer possible — High Court decision in Mitchell.
R v Nehme (NSW Sup Ct) 40

INDEX

OFFENCES AGAINST THE PERSON

- Constructive murder — Combined with extended joint criminal enterprise —
Combination no longer possible — High Court decision in Mitchell.
R v Nehme (NSW Sup Ct) 40

PROCEDURE

- Joinder — Proper joinder of charges — Series of offences of same or similar
character — Significant similarity — Criminal Code (NT), s 309.
R v LJ (NT Sup Ct) 17
- Jurisdiction — Jurisdiction of Magistrates Court — Offences that “relate to money
or other property” — Consideration of legislative history — Crimes Act 1900
(ACT), ss 64A, 65, 72C, 72D, 114B, 144C, 375, Pt 6 — Firearms Act 1996
(ACT), s 42 — Magistrates Court Act 1930 (ACT), ss 219AB(2), 219AC,
219AD.
Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT) v Whittle (ACT Sup Ct) 62
- Orders for production of documents and material — Objections to disclosure —
Public interest immunity — General test — Objection based on protected
“intercept warrant information” — Criminal Procedure Act 2009 (Vic), s 317 —
Evidence Act 2008 (Vic), s 130 — Telecommunications (Interception and
Access) Act 1979 (Cth), ss 5B, 6EA, 63(2), 74(3).
Zirilli v The King (Vic Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 76
- Severance of counts — Separate trials — Sexual offences in same indictment —
Fair trial — Risk of prejudice — Criminal Code (NT), ss 341, 341A.
R v LJ (NT Sup Ct) 17

SENTENCE

- Calculation — Sexual intercourse with child — Other offences taken into account
— Imprisonment for seven years — Whether manifestly excessive — Objective
seriousness of offending — Need for partial accumulation of sentences —
Finding of special circumstances — Crimes Act 1900 (NSW), ss 61D(1), 66A.
BM v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App)) 1
- Gravity of offence — Sexual intercourse with child — Objective seriousness —
Assessment — Whether erroneous reference to maximum penalties — Whether
all factors relevant to assessment taken into account — Relevance of description
of range of objective seriousness — Crimes Act 1900 (NSW), ss 61D(1), 66A.
BM v The King (NSW Sup Ct (Ct of Cr App)) 1

SEXUAL OFFENCES

- Child sex offences — Procuring child to do indecent act — Physical elements —
Meaning of “procures” — Whether requires abuse or power imbalance between
accused and child complainant — Criminal Code (WA), s 321.
NDA v Western Australia (WA Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 47

INDEX

TRIAL

- Directions — Conspiracy — Agreement — Fault elements — Conspiracy to import commercial quantity of border-controlled drug — Absolute liability as to quantity — Scope of agreement — General principles — Criminal Code (Cth), ss 11.5(1), (2), (2A), (7A), 307.1(1).
Director of Public Prosecutions (Cth) v Kola (High Ct of Aust) 133

WORDS AND PHRASES

- “A proceeding by way of a prosecution”.
Zirilli v The King (Vic Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 76
- “Exempt proceeding”.
Zirilli v The King (Vic Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 76
- “Intercept warrant information”.
Zirilli v The King (Vic Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 76
- “Procures”.
NDA v Western Australia (WA Sup Ct (Ct of App)) 47
- “Relates to money or other property”.
Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT) v Whittle (ACT Sup Ct) 62