

OCTOBER 2024

---

Reports of the Administrative Appeals Tribunal and  
Administrative Appeals Tribunal decisions on appeal  
in the Federal Court and High Court

---

# ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS REPORTS

2020

EDITOR  
KIM ROSS

REPORTERS  
CATHERINE BRITTON  
AARON MOSS  
NICHOLAS VIALLE  
ALAN ZHENG

VOL 84 — PART 1

PAGES 1-106

The mode of citation of this part will be:  
**84 AAR**

---

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-106

<b>Administrative Appeals Tribunal; Prahauser v (Fed Ct of Aust)</b> .....	86
<b>Al-Huda Pty Limited v Secretary, Department of Education, Skills and Employment (Fed Ct of Aust)</b> .....	41
<b>Australian and International Pilots Association v Civil Aviation Safety Authority (Cth AAT)</b> .....	1
<b>Civil Aviation Safety Authority; Australian and International Pilots Association v (Cth AAT)</b> .....	1
<b>Comcare; Gadzikwa v (Fed Ct of Aust)</b> .....	59
<b>Department of Education, Skills and Employment, Secretary; Al-Huda Pty Limited v (Fed Ct of Aust)</b> .....	41
<b>FDFF and National Disability Insurance Agency (Cth AAT)</b> .....	13
<b>Gadzikwa v Comcare (Fed Ct of Aust)</b> .....	59
<b>Mayer and National Disability Insurance Agency (Cth AAT)</b> .....	20
<b>National Disability Insurance Agency; Mayer and (Cth AAT)</b> .....	20
<b>National Disability Insurance Agency; PDFF and (Cth AAT)</b> .....	13
<b>Prahauser v Administrative Appeals Tribunal (Fed Ct of Aust)</b> .....	86

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

---



© 2024 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0813-779X

# INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-106

## ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS TRIBUNAL

Appeal from — Competence of Appeal — Refusal by Tribunal to issue summonses — Whether refusal of the Tribunal amenable to appeal — Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975 (Cth) s 44(1). <i>Gadzikwa v Comcare (Fed Ct of Aust)</i> .....	59
Appeal from — Competency — Disability pension — Impairment tables — Insufficient score to qualify for pension — Tribunal's factual findings based on insufficient evidence — Whether error of law — Whether reviewable decision — Evidence considered and rejected by Tribunal — Whether unreasonable — Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975 (Cth), s 44 — Social Security Act 1991 (Cth), s 94. <i>Prahauser v Administrative Appeals Tribunal (Fed Ct of Aust)</i> .....	86
Jurisdiction and powers — Approval of provider of childcare services — Whether Tribunal erred or misunderstood regulatory power — Whether non-compliance involved reckless giving of inaccurate, false or misleading information — Whether consideration of deliberate or reckless disregard for obligation to comply with regulatory condition — Failure to form a view on the provider's state of mind when giving information — Subjective state of mind — Subjectively reckless as to whether it was true or false — s 52(3)(d) and (4)(b)(ii) mandatory relevant considerations in the exercise of power to impose sanction — Materiality — Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975 (Cth), s 44 — A New Tax System (Family Assistance) (Administration) Act 1999 (Cth) s 195H(1) — Child Care Subsidy Minister's Rules 2017 s 52(3)(d)(4)(b)(ii). <i>Al-Huda Pty Limited v Secretary, Department of Education, Skills and Employment (Fed Ct of Aust)</i> .....	41
Jurisdiction and powers — Licences issued by Civil Aviation Safety Authority — Fatigue risk management framework introduced — Whether new conditions imposed or existing conditions varied in licences — Whether Tribunal had jurisdiction to review approval instrument of Civil Aviation Authority — Civil Aviation Act 1988 (Cth), s 31 — Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998 (Cth), reg 61.150 — Civil Aviation Order 48.1 Instrument 2019. <i>Australian and International Pilots Association v Civil Aviation Safety Authority (Cth AAT)</i> .....	1
Jurisdiction and powers — National Disability Insurance Scheme — Statement of participant supports and plan — Review of statement of participant supports requested — Review not carried out — Whether Tribunal had jurisdiction to review — Whether jurisdiction limited to statement of participant supports and plan or extended to subsequent statements and plans — Whether Tribunal had jurisdiction to remit reviewable decision to National Disability Insurance Agency — Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975 (Cth), ss 25, 42D — National Disability Insurance Scheme Act 2013 (Cth), ss 33, 48, 99, 100, 103. <i>FDFF and National Disability Insurance Agency (Cth AAT)</i> .....	13

## INDEX

### **ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS TRIBUNAL — *continued***

Summary dismissal — Want of prosecution — Non-compliance with procedural directions — Applicant unwilling to progress application — Applicant abusive to Tribunal staff and respondent — Discretion to dismiss — Relevant factors — Procedural fairness — Explanation for delay — Substantive merits — Prejudice to parties — Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975 (Cth) ss 2A(b)33(1)(b), 42A(5).

*Mayer and National Disability Insurance Agency (Cth AAT)* ..... 20

### **WORDS AND PHRASES**

“Deliberate or reckless”“Deliberate or reckless disregard”.

*Al-Huda Pty Limited v Secretary, Department of Education, Skills and Employment (Fed Ct of Aust)* ..... 41

### **WORDS AND PHRASES “DECISION OF TRIBUNAL”**

• *Gadzikwa v Comcare (Fed Ct of Aust)* ..... 59