

NOVEMBER 2024

Reports concerning local government, valuation of land, compensation, town planning, environmental control and powers and duties of statutory authorities from the High Court, Federal Court, Supreme Courts of the States and Territories and the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal.

The Authorised reports of the NSW Land and Environment Court

THE
LOCAL
GOVERNMENT
AND
ENVIRONMENTAL
REPORTS
OF AUSTRALIA
2024

EDITOR

SA WHITE, MA LLB

REPORTER

J VENEZIANO

VOL 261 — PART 2

PAGES 103-209

The mode of citation of this part will be:
261 LGERA

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 2 — Pages 103-209

Attorney-General (Tas) v Casimaty (High Ct of Aust)	177
Casimaty; Attorney-General (Tas) v (High Ct of Aust)	177
Chiodo Corporation Operations Pty Ltd v Douglas Shire Council (Qld Ct of App)	151
Dial-A-Dump (EC) Pty Ltd; Environment Protection Authority v (NSW Land & Environment Ct)	103
Douglas Shire Council; Chiodo Corporation Operations Pty Ltd v (Qld Ct of App)	151
Easter Developments Pty Ltd; Shoalhaven City Council v (NSW Land & Environment Ct)	35
Environment Protection Authority v Dial-A-Dump (EC) Pty Ltd (NSW Land & Environment Ct)	103
Godolphin Australia Pty Ltd v Chief Commissioner of State Revenue (High Ct of Aust)	76
JEA Holdings (Aust) Pty Ltd v Registrar-General of New South Wales (NSW Sup Ct)	1
Khouzame; Secretary, Department of Planning and Environment v (NSW Land & Environment Ct)	49
Planning and Environment, Secretary, Department of v Khouzame (NSW Land & Environment Ct)	49
Registrar-General of New South Wales; JEA Holdings (Aust) Pty Ltd v (NSW Sup Ct)	1
Shoalhaven City Council v Easter Developments Pty Ltd (NSW Land & Environment Ct)	35
State Revenue, Chief Commissioner of; Godolphin Australia Pty Ltd v (High Ct of Aust)	76

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2024 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1039-7213

INDEX

Part 2 — Pages 103-209

OFFENCES AND PENALTIES

- Sentence — Plea of guilty — Causing emission of offensive odour from premises at which scheduled activities carried out pursuant to environment protection licence — Appropriate sentence to be imposed — Objective seriousness of offence — Subjective circumstances of offender — Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 (NSW), ss 3(a), 91, 129(1), 132, 241 and Dictionary — Crimes (Sentencing Procedure) Act 1999 (NSW), s 21A(2)(g) and (3).
Environment Protection Authority v Dial-A-Dump (EC) Pty Ltd
(NSW Land & Environment Ct) 103

STATUTES

- Interpretation — Discrete principle of construction — Criteria in planning scheme — Refusal of development application by local authority — Conflation of consideration of two relevant criteria — Whether alleged error material — Planning Act 2016 (Qld), ss 45, 60(3) — Planning and Environment Court Act 2016 (Qld), s 63 — Douglas Shire Planning Scheme 2018 (Qld), ss 3.5.5.1(1) and (2), 5.4, 7.1(6)(e) and 7.2.4.3(3)(d).
Chiodo Corporation Operations Pty Ltd v Douglas Shire Council
(Qld Ct of App) 151
- Interpretation — Statutory consequence of non-compliance with statutory condition of exercise of power — Conditions precedent to commencement of public work proposed to be undertaken by Tasmanian Government department or State authority stipulated in legislation — Conditions precedent that public work referred to and reported upon by Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works — Construction of new interchange proposed by Tasmanian Government Department of State Growth — Proposal referred to and reported upon by Committee — Proceeding against construction company brought in Supreme Court of Tasmania alleging commencement of road work in contravention of legislation because different from proposal referred to and reported upon by Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works — Attorney-General for Tasmania joined as defendant to proceeding — Order sought by Attorney-General for Tasmania that statement of claim be struck out or proceeding be dismissed because of failure of statement of claim to disclose cause of action in that no justiciable issue before Court or because adjudication by Court would contravene privilege of Tasmanian Parliament — Whether observance of conditions precedent to commencement of public work an obligation enforceable by court — Public Works Committee Act 1914 (Tas), ss 15, 16 and 17.
Attorney-General (Tas) v Casimaty (High Ct of Aust) 177

WORDS AND PHRASES

- “Public work” — Public Works Committee Act 1914 (Tas), ss 15, 16 and 17.
Attorney-General (Tas) v Casimaty (High Ct of Aust) 177

INDEX

WORDS AND PHRASES — *continued*

- “Referred to and reported upon by” — Public Works Committee Act 1914 (Tas),
s 16(1).
Attorney-General (Tas) v Casimaty (High Ct of Aust) 177
- “Substantial” — Crimes (Sentencing Procedure) Act 1999 (NSW), s 21A(2)(g).
Environment Protection Authority v Dial-A-Dump (EC) Pty Ltd
(NSW Land & Environment Ct) 103