## The Authorised Reports of Decisions of the Federal Court of Australia

# FEDERAL COURT REPORTS

2024

EDITOR VICTOR KLINE

CONSULTING EDITORS

DR OREN BIGOS KC

DAVID ASH

REPORTERS IN THIS PART SAMUEL CUMMINGS VICTOR KLINE

PRODUCTION EDITOR
MYUNG COLE

**VOL 305 — PART 1** 

**PAGES 1-151** 

### The mode of citation of this part will be: $305\ FCR$

#### TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

#### Part 1 — Pages 1-151

ABF17 v Commonwealth	115
Attorney-General (Cth) v Patrick	
Commonwealth; ABF17 v	115
Gong v Nipps	55
Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural	
Affairs, Minister for; Khalil v	26
Khalil v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services	
and Multicultural Affairs	26
Nipps; Gong v	55
Patrick; Attorney-General (Cth) v	
Queensland (No 24); Ross v	88
Ross v Queensland (No 24)	88

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2024 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited
Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668
Published in Sydney

ISSN 0813-7803

#### **INDEX**

Part 1 — Pages 1-151

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW	
Freedom of information — Official documents of a Minister — Consideration of the point in time at which a determination is to be made as to whether a document was an official document of a Minister — Whether Ministers, include former Ministers, were obliged to preserve the subject matter of pending freedom of information requests — Whether freedom of information legislation conferred an implied right on an incoming Minister to obtain documents from former Ministers that were subject to pending freedom of information requests — Freedom of Information Act 1982 (Cth), s 11.  Attorney-General (Cth) v Patrick	1
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	
Administrative detention — Constitutional limits on — Where the Constitutionally permissible period of executive detention of a non-citizen came to an end when there was no real prospect of removal of the non-citizen from Australia becoming practicable in the reasonably foreseeable future — Whether there was a real prospect of applicant's removal to Iran becoming practicable in the reasonably foreseeable future — Whether applicant had the power or capacity to cooperate with the steps required to effect his removal to Iran — Migration Act 1958 (Cth), ss 189(1), 196, 198.  **ABF17 v Commonwealth**	15
CORPORATIONS	
Winding up — Voidable transactions — Application for extension of time to apply for orders in relation to company's voidable transactions — Where primary judge granted liquidators an extension of time to make an application against appellants for orders in relation to certain transactions of the company in liquidation — Whether appellants required leave to appeal from primary judge's orders — Whether primary judge erred by failing to bring to account the fact that the liquidators had made a decision to delay any investigations of the appellants and/or the pursuit of claims against them — Corporations Act 2001 (Cth), ss 588FF(1), 588FF(3)(a), 588FF(3)(b) — Federal Court of Australia Act 1976 (Cth), s 24(1A).  Gong and Others v Nipps and Others	55
FIRST NATIONS PEOPLE	
Native title — Consent order sought for grant of — Whether orders should be made — Benefits of the recognition of the applicants' native title — Native Title Act 1993 (Cth), s 87A.	
Ross on behalf of the Cape York United #1 Claim Group v Queensland and Another (No 24) (Olkola Determination)	88

#### **INDEX**

IMMIGRATION
Administrative detention — Constitutional limits on — Where the Constitutionally permissible period of executive detention of a non-citizen came to an end when there was no real prospect of removal of the non-citizen from Australia becoming practicable in the reasonably foreseeable future — Whether there was a real prospect of applicant's removal to Iran becoming practicable in the reasonably foreseeable future — Whether applicant had the power or capacity to cooperate with the steps required to effect his removal to Iran — Migration Act 1958 (Cth), ss 189(1), 196, 198.  ABF17 v Commonwealth
Visas — Refusal to grant — Whether an applicant for merits review of a visa refusal decision has an accrued right to have their review application determined in accordance with the ministerial direction in force at the time when the review application was made — Migration Act 1958 (Cth), ss 499(1), 499(2A), 501(1) — Acts Interpretation Act 1901 (Cth), ss 7(2)(c), 7(2)(e) — Ministerial Direction 65 — Ministerial Direction 90.  Khalil v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services
and Multicultural Affairs and Another
Native title proceedings — Proper parties to — Whether the State of Queensland a
proper party or whether proper party should be State Minister — Native Title Act 1993 (Cth), ss 3, 5, 11, 20(3), 61, 66, 84, 84D, 87, 87A, 253.  Ross on behalf of the Cape York United #1 Claim Group v
Queensland and Another (No 24) (Olkola Determination)