

JULY 2024

Decisions of the District Court of New South Wales

LAWBOOK CO.'s
DISTRICT COURT
LAW REPORTS
(NSW)

2022-2023

EDITOR

DR RJ DESIATNIK

CONSULTING EDITOR

A M BLACKMORE SC, B LEG, LL.M

Acting Judge of the District Court of NSW

REPORTER

DR RJ DESIATNIK

The mode of citation of this part will be:
41 DCLR(NSW)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-120

Barai; Robust Builders Pty Ltd v	96
Bullen; Mangano v	85
Cossey; Raad v	1
EB (No 2); GB v	60
GB v EB (No 2)	60
Hemphill v The King	111
Itani v New South Wales	89
King, The; Hemphill v	111
King, The; Narouz v	69
King, The; Preston v	99
Mangano v Bullen	85
MC; R v	45
ME; R v	45
MT; R v	45
Narouz v The King	69
New South Wales; Itani v	89
Preston v The King	99
R v MC	45
R v ME	45
R v MT	45
R v Tatola (No 3)	106
R v TH	45
Raad v Cossey	1
Robust Builders Pty Ltd v Barai	96
SafeWork NSW v Western Sydney Local Health District (No 1)	77
Tatola (No 3); R v	106
TH; R v	45
Western Sydney Local Health District (No 1); SafeWork NSW v	77

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2021 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1832-3235

INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-120

APPEAL AND NEW TRIAL

- Practice and procedure — Objection against competency of appeal — Court rule requiring objector to file notice of motion seeking order for dismissal of appeal within specified time — Failure to file notice of motion within time — Appeal adjudged incompetent — Cost consequences — Whether applicable — Relevant factors — Whether rule harsh — Relevant factors — Appropriate method to apply rule — Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005 (NSW), r 50.16A.
GB v EB and Others (No 2) 60

COSTS

- Assessment of costs — Determination by costs assessor — Appeal to District Court directly against determination — Whether Court lacked jurisdiction to hear appeal — Dispute over assessment — Appropriate person to take proceedings against in respect of dispute — Legal Profession Uniform Law Application Act 2014 (NSW), ss 82, 83, 89, 93C — Legal Profession Uniform Law Application Regulation 2015 (NSW), reg 39.
Mangano v Bullen 85
- General rule — Costs follow event — Departing from general rule — Requirement for compelling circumstances.
GB v EB and Others (No 2) 60

CRIMINAL LAW

- Appeal to District Court against decision of Local Court — Appeal as of right — Conduct of appeal — Relevant principles — Crimes (Appeal and Review) Act 2001 (NSW), ss 18, 20, 28.
Preston v The King 99
- Criminal liability — Particular offences — Driving offences — Driving vehicle with prescribed illicit drug in oral fluid or blood or urine — Whether offence of strict or absolute liability — Road Transport Act 2013 (NSW), s 111(1).
Narouz v The King 69
- Particular offences — Driving offences — Driving vehicle with prescribed illicit drug in oral fluid or blood or urine — Defence of honest and reasonable mistake of fact — Whether available — Road Transport Act 2013 (NSW), s 111(1).
Narouz v The King 69
- Particular offences — Intimidation — Elements of offence — Whether conduct of alleged offender caused reasonable apprehension of violence to any person — Relevant test — Whether impugned conduct carried out with intent to cause fear — Relevant test — Whether actual fear caused — Whether relevant — Scope of “mental harm” — Whether change made out — Relevant factors — Crimes (Domestic and Personal Violence) Act 2007 (NSW), ss 7(1)(c), 13.
Hemphill v The King 111

INDEX

CRIMINAL LAW — *continued*

- Particular offences — Sexual offence — Offence under s 61I of Crimes Act 1900 (NSW) — Whether intention necessary element of offence — Whether provision captured accidental act — Crimes Act 1900 (NSW), ss 4A, 61I, 61HK, 61HC, 61HH, 61JA.
R v Tatola (No 3) 106
- Sentence — Diversionary order for offenders with mental health impairment — Relevant statutory factors — Appeal to District Court against Local Court's refusal to make such order — Whether demonstrated *House v The King* error precondition to appeal — Proper utilisation of statutory factors available for consideration before making diversionary order — Mental Health and Cognitive Impairment Forensic Provisions Act 2020 (NSW), ss 14, 15.
Preston v The King 99

DAMAGES

- Claim for offender damages — Proceedings commenced before required statutory written notice given — Whether report or statement given to police satisfied requirement for written notice containing specified contents — Whether mere knowledge of alleged incident giving rise to claim amounted to required notice — Time for giving notice — From when such time commenced to run — Whether notice could be given after proceedings for damages had commenced — Whether required notice could be retrospectively validated — When whether full and satisfactory explanation for delay had been provided could not be determined — Relevant circumstances — Utility of pre-commencement notice provisions — Whether mere technicalities — Civil Liability Act 2002 (NSW), ss 26BA, 26BB, 26BD — Civil Procedure Act 2005 (NSW), s 56.
Itani v New South Wales 89

EVIDENCE

- Admissibility — Opinion evidence — Expert opinion — What constitutes — Novel area of knowledge — What constitutes “specialised knowledge” — Whether truth of expert's assumptions need to be proved for admissibility of expert's opinion — Reliability of opinion — Whether factor in determining if report more prejudicial to accused than its probative value — Evidence Act 1995 (NSW), ss 79, 135, 137.
R v TH 45

NEGLIGENCE

- Contributory negligence — Motor vehicle accident — Apportionment of responsibility — Whether one hundred percent contributory negligence — Relevant principles — Relevant factor — Civil Liability Act 2002 (NSW), ss 5R, 5S, 49.
Raad v Cossey 1

INDEX

NEGLIGENCE — *continued*

- Defences — Road accident cases — Duty of care — Passenger injured in one vehicle collision — Passenger knew of driver's drug taking before travelling with her — Passenger knew driver was unlicensed — Passenger consumed drugs before and during car trip — Passenger urged driver to keep driving despite her declared inability to do so — Passenger aided and abetted driver's offences — Whether defence of joint illegal enterprise available — Relevant principles — Whether duty of care owed by driver to passenger — Whether duty of care of passenger dispensed with or negated — Whether appropriate to extend driver's liability to harm passenger suffered — Civil Liability Act 2002 (NSW), s 5D.
Raad v Cossey 1

PRACTICE

- Court files — Statement of Facts — Application by non-party (media) for access — Entitlement to inspect "other document commencing the proceedings" — Whether Statement of Facts attached to Application under Work Health and Safety Act 2011 (NSW) such a document — Whether exceptional circumstances existed to permit access — Whether principle of open justice offended by refusing access — Relevant factors — Criminal Procedure Act 1986 (NSW), ss 246, 314 — District Court Act 1973 (NSW), s 171D — Court Suppression and Non-Publication Orders Act 2010 (NSW), ss 7, 8, 9 — District Court Rules 1973 (NSW), rr 53.26, 53.27 — Supreme Court Practice Note Gen 2, cll 4, 5, 6, 7.
SafeWork NSW v Western Sydney Local Health District (No 1) 77
- Parties — Representation — Company director's application to represent company — Refusal to acknowledge prospect of personal liability for costs of proceedings — Consequent application for adjournment opposed — Whether Court empowered to order director to pay costs of proceedings brought by company — Resort to power to dispense with requirement for such acknowledgement — Civil Procedure Act 2005 (NSW), ss 14, 98 — Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005 (NSW), r 7.2.
Robust Builders Pty Ltd v Barai and Another 96

WORDS AND PHRASES

- "Appropriate" — Civil Liability Act 2002 (NSW), s 5D(1)(b).
Raad v Cossey 1
- "Document commencing the proceedings" — Criminal Procedure Act 1986 (NSW), s 314(2).
SafeWork NSW v Western Sydney Local Health District (No 1) 77
- "Mental harm" — Crimes (Domestic and Personal Violence) Act 2007 (NSW), s 13(1).
Hemphill v The King 111
- "Notice of the incident" — Civil Liability Act 2002 (NSW), s 26BA.
Itani v New South Wales 89
- "Specialised knowledge" — Evidence Act 1995 (NSW), s 79(1).
R v TH 45