

JUNE 2024

The Authorised Reports of the Decisions of the
Supreme Court of Tasmania

THE
TASMANIAN
REPORTS
2020-2023

EDITOR
L W MAHER

REPORTERS
BENEDICT BARTL
TODD KOVACIC
ELLA SYNNOTT

VOL 35 — PART 4

PAGES 362-469

**PUBLISHED FOR THE COUNCIL OF LAW REPORTING
OF TASMANIA BY THOMSON REUTERS (PROFESSIONAL) AUSTRALIA LTD.**

The mode of citation of this part will be:
35 Tas R

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 4 — Pages 362-469

Abraham, Falk and Ivey v Tasmania	440
Andrews; Rowe v	43
Anti-Discrimination Commissioner v White	209
AWC Pty Ltd; Clark v	346
Brocklands Pty Ltd v Tasmanian Networks Pty Ltd	252
Clark v AWC Pty Ltd	346
Coates; Minic v	466
Connector Park Pty Ltd (No 4); RV Pty Ltd v	183
Dann; Port Sorell Bowls Club Inc v	141
Davey v Tasmania	362
Donohue v Tasmania	106
Hansen Yuncken Pty Ltd v Parliament Square Hobart Landowner Pty Ltd	56
JSP; Director of Public Prosecutions v	1
Judges v Stephenson	416
Keates v Martin and Martin	459
Kendall; Director of Public Prosecutions v	128
Khalafallah v Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal	195
Legal Practitioner, A; Legal Profession Board of Tasmania v	221
Legal Profession Board of Tasmania v A Legal Practitioner	221
Martin and Martin; Keates v	459
Minic v Coates	466
Morrison; Tasmania v	407

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2024 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0085-7106

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Parliament Square Hobart Landowner Pty Ltd; Hansen Yuncken Pty Ltd v	56
Port Sorell Bowls Club Inc v Dann	141
Pribil v State of Tasmania	424
Public Prosecutions, Director of v JSP	1
Public Prosecutions, Director of v Kendall	128
Rowe v Andrews	43
RV Pty Ltd v Connector Park Pty Ltd (No 4)	183
Shi v Wilkie	394
Stephenson; Judges v	416
Tasmania v Morrison	407
Tasmania v Thompson	98
Tasmania, State of v Tripptree	356
Tasmania, State of; Pribil v	424
Tasmania; Abraham, Falk and Ivey v	440
Tasmania; Davey v	362
Tasmania; Donohue v	106
Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal; Khalafallah v	195
Tasmanian Networks Pty Ltd; Brocklands Pty Ltd v	252
Thompson; Tasmania v	98
Tripptree; State of Tasmania v	356
White; Anti-Discrimination Commissioner v	209
Wilkie; Shi v	394

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

INDEX

Part 4 — Pages 362-469

APPEAL AND NEW TRIAL

- Appeal — General principles — Right of appeal — When appeal lies — Error of law — Particular cases involving error of law — Failure to give reasons for decision — Extent of obligation to give reasons — Adequacy of reasons.
Shi v Wilkie 394

CRIMINAL LAW

- Drug trafficking — Application of Commonwealth legislative controls — Post and telegraphs — Controls on interception of postal traffic — Limitations on involvement of Australia Post employees — Requirement of strict compliance — Non-compliance rendering evidence inadmissible — Australian Postal Corporation Act 1989 (Cth), Div 2, ss 90H, 90J(2), (9).
Abraham, Falk and Ivey v Tasmania 440
- Drug trafficking — Evidence — Circumstantial case — Admissibility — Judicial discretion to admit or exclude evidence — Illegally obtained evidence — Particular cases — Examination of parcels by Australia Post employees — Scope of authority — Strictly limited authority — Disclosures by Australia Post employees to Tasmania Police — Prohibited examinations and disclosures — Employees acted outside authority — No basis for reasonable suspicion — Police carelessness as to legitimacy of disclosure — No legal basis to seize or open parcels — Further illegality when police returned parcels for delivery — Desirability of admitting evidence outweighed by undesirability of doing so — Evidence inadmissible — Evidence Act 2001 (Tas), s 138 — Misuse of Drugs Act 2001 (Tas) s 29 — Crimes Act 1914 (Cth), ss 85W, 85Z — Australian Postal Corporation Act 1989 (Cth), ss 90H, 90J(2), (9).
Abraham, Falk and Ivey v Tasmania 440
- Evidence — Admissibility — Identification evidence — Voice identification — Bases for admissibility — Opinion of police detective after listening to hundreds of telephone calls and police interview with accused — Appeal and new trial — Particular grounds of appeal — Appeals dismissed — Evidence Act 2001 (Tas), ss 116, 165.
Davey v Tasmania 362
- Fair trial — Joint trial of three accused persons — Alleged joint criminal enterprise — Conduct of counsel — Contention that defence counsel disobeyed instructions to seek separate trial.
Davey v Tasmania 362
- Fair trial — Presentation of prosecution case — Evidence — Alleged failure by prosecution to call witnesses.
Davey v Tasmania 362

INDEX

CRIMINAL LAW — *continued*

- Fair trial — Presentation of prosecution case — Evidence — Identification evidence — Telephone intercepts — Film surveillance footage — Conduct of trial — Convenience — Balancing test — One prosecution police witness called on six separate days — Identification and surveillance evidence given by witness as to recordings and surveillance occurring on different days — That witness cross-examined at conclusion of evidence — Repetition of evidence without challenge.
Davey v Tasmania 362
- Offences against peace and public order — Prohibited and controlled weapons — Trafficking in registrable firearms — Whether prohibited firearm a “registrable firearm” — Where the particular firearm prohibited as a machine gun — Firearm convertible to mode of operation putting it in category in respect of which licence may be issued — Firearm a “registrable firearm” in any event — Acts Interpretation Act 1931 (Tas), s 24(d) — Firearms Act 1996 (Tas), ss 74, 110A(1), (2).
Tasmania v Morrison 407
- Procedure — Information, indictment or presentment — Joinder of charges — By statute — Same facts or series of offences of same or similar character — Summary offences — Offences not of same or similar character — Justices Act 1959 (Tas), s 29(1)(b)(ii) — Police Offences Act 1935 (Tas), ss 15B(2), 25(3), 34B(1)(a)(i), 37(1).
Judges v Stephenson 416
- Procedure — Persons entitled to conduct prosecution — Leave sought to file indictment against Director of Public Prosecutions — Director empowered to discontinue proceedings if leave granted — No reasonable prospects of successful prosecution — Leave to file an indictment refused — Criminal Code (Tas), ss 105, 118(1), 299 — Director of Public Prosecutions Act 1973 (Tas), s 12(1)(a)(ii), and (iii).
Minic v Coates 466
- Sexual offences — Assault with indecent intent — Complaint by female customer against male hairdresser in his salon — Evidence — No other persons present — “Oath on oath case” — Appeal against conviction in magistrates court — Weight of evidence — Credibility — Police Offences Act 1935 (Tas), s 35(3) — Justices Act 1959 (Tas), s 110(2)(ab).
Shi v Wilkie 394
- ### PRIMARY INDUSTRY
- Fish — Offences — Penalty — Suspension of licence for accumulation of demerit points — Calculation of demerit points based on special penalty — Living Marine Resources Management Act 1995 (Tas) ss 242, 267 and 269.
Pribil v State of Tasmania 424

INDEX

PRIMARY INDUSTRY — *continued*

- Fish — Regulation — Permit or licences — Other matters — Suspension of licence for accumulation of demerit points — Calculation of demerit points based on special penalty — Living Marine Resources Management Act 1995 (Tas) ss 242, 267 and 269.
Pribil v State of Tasmania 424

PROBATE

- Form — Grant in solemn form.
Keates v Martin and Martin 459

STATUTES

- Acts of Parliament — Interpretation — Extraneous material — Acts Interpretation Act 1931 (Tas), s 8B(1)(a), (b).
Pribil v State of Tasmania 424
- Acts of Parliament — Interpretation — General approaches to interpretation — Purposive approach — General principles — Living Marine Resources Management Act 1995 (Tas) s 242.
Pribil v State of Tasmania 424

SUCCESSION

- Making of will — Revocation — Methods of revocation — Marriage, divorce or annulment — Will in contemplation of marriage — Testatrix resident and domiciled in Victoria — Statutory exceptions to revocation by marriage — Disposition of entire estate to person whom the testatrix married not revoked by marriage — Wills Act 1958 (Vic), s 16(2) inapplicable.
Keates v Martin and Martin 459

WORDS AND PHRASES

- “A particular time”.
Pribil v State of Tasmania 424
- “Founded on the same facts”.
Judges v Stephenson 416
- “In contemplation of marriage”.
Keates v Martin and Martin 459
- “Registrable firearm”.
Tasmania v Morrison 407
- “Series”.
Judges v Stephenson 416