

AUGUST 2024

The Authorised Reports of the Decisions of the
Supreme Court of the Australian Capital Territory

THE
AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL
TERRITORY
LAW REPORTS
2024

EDITOR

WAYNE SHARWOOD

ASSISTANT EDITOR

RICHARD DAVIES

SENIOR REPORTER IN THIS PART

RICHARD DAVIES

REPORTER IN THIS PART

EMMA ROFF

PRODUCTION SUPERVISOR

MYUNG COLE

The mode of citation of this part will be:
21 ACTLR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 2 — Pages 86-159

Alexander v Bakes	27
Bakes; Alexander v	27
Bourke v Styche	86
Calatzis v Jones	59
Collaery v The Queen (No 2)	1
Jones; Calatzis v	59
Porter v The Queen	122
Styche; Bourke v	86

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



INDEX

Part 2 — Pages 86-159

CRIMINAL LAW

- Review appeal — Prosecution appeal against the dismissal of charges — Acts of indecency without consent — Adequacy of reasons — Error of law established — Whether residual discretion not to intervene should be exercised — Orders set aside and charges remitted for rehearing — Magistrates Court Act 1930 (ACT), s 219F.
Bourke v Styche 86
- Right of appeal — Appeal from the ACT Magistrates Court — Nature of review appeal — Challenge to competence of appeal — Scope of ground of appeal under s 219D(c) — Appeal competent — Magistrates Court Act 1930 (ACT), ss 219B, 219D.
Bourke v Styche 86
- Sentencing — Disputed facts hearing — Reasons for judgment — Adequacy of reasons — Whether reasons vitiated by unattributed copying of prosecution's submissions.
Porter v The Queen 122