

JULY 2024

Reports of the District Court and State Administrative Tribunal of
Western Australia

THE
STATE REPORTS
(WESTERN AUSTRALIA)
2023

EDITORS

HIS HONOUR JUDGE M FLYNN
Judge of the District Court of Western Australia

DR B DE VILLIERS, BA, LLB, LLD
*Member of the State Administrative Tribunal of Western Australia
Adjunct Professor: School of Law, Curtin University (WA)*

CONSULTING EDITORS

PW NICHOLS, RFD, BA, LLM, M CRIM JUST
Barrister-at-Law

DR RJ DESIATNIK, BA, LLM (Hons), SJD

REPORTERS

DR RJ DESIATNIK
AARAHNAN RAGURAGAVAN

The mode of citation of this part will be:
113 SR(WA)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 3 — Pages 101-185

Akrawy; Commissioner for Consumer Protection v	1
Centurion Transport Co Pty Ltd; Farr v	101
Consumer Protection, Commissioner for v Akrawy	1
Farr v Centurion Transport Co Pty Ltd	101
Kepert v City of Melville	51
Love v Safari Charters Pty Ltd	81
Mayfield, Re	109
Melville, City of; Kepert v	51
Nunis; Oak Capital Wholesale Fund Pty Ltd v	28
Oak Capital Wholesale Fund Pty Ltd v Nunis	28
Polaris Metals Pty Ltd v Valuer-General	125
Safari Charters Pty Ltd; Love v	81
Valuer-General; Polaris Metals Pty Ltd v	125

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2024 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0158-1996

INDEX

Part 3 — Pages 101-185

CRIMINAL INJURIES COMPENSATION

- Claim for compensation — Relevant principles — Alleged offences — Potential defence to alleged offences of reasonable and honest mistaken belief — Onus of proof on applicant for compensation — Whether onus satisfied — Claim that alleged offences caused “psychological” harm — Scope of that term — Whether supporting medical evidence required to prove injury — Criminal Injuries Compensation Act 2003 (WA), ss 3, 16(2), 17, 56 — Criminal Code (WA), ss 24, 169(1), 171.
Re Mayfield 109

LIMITATION OF ACTIONS

- Claim for personal injuries damages — Proceedings prima facie out of time — No defence filed — Application by defendant for dismissal of action for being scandalous, frivolous or vexatious or alternatively for being abuse of process — Whether any difference between both grounds — Whether action being out of time barred right to bring action or remedy sought in action — Effect of lack of defence on application to dismiss — Whether limitation questions should be decided prior to hearing — Potential defences to limitation defence — Limitation Act 2005 (WA), ss 14, 55 — Rules of the Supreme Court 1971 (WA), O 20, r 19.
Farr v Centurion Transport Co Pty Ltd 101

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- Parties — Self-represented parties — Manner in which courts should deal with such parties — Relevant principles.
Re Mayfield 109

VALUATION

- Valuation of land — Whether onus of proof of proper valuation on party — Establishment of highest and best value — One use of land able to be carried out more cheaply on other land — Relevance — Lack of comparable sales — Whether income capitalisation approach appropriate way of ascertaining value of land — Whether rents needed to be directly comparable with subject land — Minister’s absolute discretion over what factors to apply in setting rent — Whether basis for setting market rent — Lease of land for nominal rent — Whether basis for creation of market rent — No application for market or cost of living rent review of subject land — Effect — Whether hearsay evidence of sales and rentals admissible — Difficulties in applying rate per square metre comparison with other properties to establish value of subject land — Relevance of strategic aspects of land and improvements to it for valuation purposes — State Administrative Tribunal’s role in valuation dispute — Valuation found to be correct — Whether then any grounds to challenge valuation — Comparative sales/leases valuation method — Establishing comparability — Relevant principles — Valuation of Land Act 1978 (WA), ss 4, 18, 24, 32, 33 — State Administrative Tribunal Act 2004 (WA), s 27(2).
Polaris Metals Pty Ltd v Valuer-General 125

INDEX

WORDS AND PHRASES

“Injury” — Criminal Injuries Compensation Act 2003 (WA), s 16(2).

Re Mayfield 109