

JULY 2024

Reports of the District Court and State Administrative Tribunal of
Western Australia

THE
STATE REPORTS
(WESTERN AUSTRALIA)
2023

EDITORS

HIS HONOUR JUDGE M FLYNN
Judge of the District Court of Western Australia

DR B DE VILLIERS, BA, LLB, LL.D
*Member of the State Administrative Tribunal of Western Australia
Adjunct Professor: School of Law, Curtin University (WA)*

CONSULTING EDITORS

PW NICHOLS, RFD, BA, LL.M, M CRIM JUST
Barrister-at-Law

DR RJ DESIATNIK, BA, LL.M (Hons), SJD

REPORTERS

DR RJ DESIATNIK
AARAHNAN RAGURAGAVAN

The mode of citation of this part will be:
113 SR(WA)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-50

Akrawy; Commissioner for Consumer Protection v	1
Consumer Protection, Commissioner for v Akrawy	1
Nunis; Oak Capital Wholesale Fund Pty Ltd v	28
Oak Capital Wholesale Fund Pty Ltd v Nunis	28

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-50

CONTRACTS

- Terms of contract — Clause setting higher rate of interest upon borrower's default
— Whether relevant — Whether penalty clause where concessional rate offered
as well — Whether presumption that penalty clause existed — Onus of proof on
party asserting penalty nature of clause — Evidence of such nature required.
Oak Capital Wholesale Fund Pty Ltd v Nunis 28

DISTRICT COURT

- Jurisdiction — Power to make declaratory order — Ancillary or auxiliary
discretionary power — Whether order sought within such jurisdiction —
Relevant factors — Exercise of jurisdiction where default judgment —
Appropriate addition of caveat on declaration — District Court of Western
Australia Act 1969 (WA), s 55.
Commissioner for Consumer Protection v Akrawy 1
- Jurisdiction — Power to make orders in personal injury actions — Whether claim
for pecuniary penalty within meaning of “personal injury action” — Difference
between such claim and action for damages — Assessment of appropriate
amount of penalty — Relevant principles — District Court of Western Australia
Act 1969 (WA), s 50.
Commissioner for Consumer Protection v Akrawy 1

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- Application for leave to appeal against Registrar's decision — Relevant principles
— Nature of appeal — Delay explained by wait for transcript of Registrar's oral
decision — Whether satisfactory explanation — Whether leave should be
granted — Relevant factors — District Court Rules 2005 (WA), r 15(6).
Oak Capital Wholesale Fund Pty Ltd v Nunis 28
- Application for leave to apply for summary judgment — Grant of leave — Relevant
factors — Rules of the Supreme Court 1971 (WA), O 14, r 1.
Oak Capital Wholesale Fund Pty Ltd v Nunis 28

WORDS AND PHRASES

- “Personal actions” — District Court of Western Australia Act 1969 (WA), s 50.
Commissioner for Consumer Protection v Akrawy 1