AUSTRALASIAN DISPUTE RESOLUTION JOURNAL

Volume 33, Number 1

2024

EDITORIAL – General Editors: David Spencer and Professor Pauline Collins	
Special Edition from the National Mediation Conference 2023	3
ADR CASE NOTES – Editor: David Spencer	
Choice of Law Clause in an Arbitration Agreement Rendered Inoperative by the Hague Rules; and, Arbitration Media Watch	5
ARTICLES	
How to Benefit Children and Families Better through a Family Mediation Model? – Dr Amel Ketani	
This article focuses on a child-inclusive mediation model in England and Wales. Hence this is not a comparative article between Australian and English family mediation. It is also	

Practitioner Impartiality and Client Self-determination in a Court-ordered, Lawyerassisted Property Conciliation Model – Genevieve Heard, Andrew Bickerdike and Mark Hebblewhite

Conciliation can allow for greater practitioner input than facilitative models of mediation, as well as direct lawyer input. In this article, we consider a specific Australian model featuring conciliation techniques in the form of a property dispute resolution service provided to clients of the Federal Circuit and Family Court. We used client survey data and interviews to assess the extent to which principles of practitioner impartiality and client self-determination – both cherished features of facilitative mediation – are upheld under this model. Clients reported strong satisfaction with the service, particularly with practitioner characteristics, including impartiality. Further, a great majority of survey respondents felt able to express themselves during their conciliation session. Some interviewees described a strong sense of self-determination in the process, though others felt they lacked agency when faced with a limited range of realistic outcomes. We identify key elements of the model contributing to successful processes and outcomes. 18

Consolidation and Third-Party Joinder in International Commercial Arbitration: Part 1 – Procedural Panacea or Poison? – *Mark Lewis*

In recent decades, international commerce has become increasingly complex. When disputes arise there will often be multiple interrelated parties, contracts and claims that are relevant to the adjudication and final resolution of a dispute. While courts in Australia and overseas have powers to consolidate cases and join third parties to litigation for reasons of efficiency or fairness, special considerations apply in the field of international commercial arbitration where primacy has traditionally been given to party autonomy and consent. This article (Part 1 of 2) explores the growth of multiparty and multi-contract disputes in international commercial arbitration, the measures available to address this, and the associated tensions with party autonomy and consent. Part 2 (to be published in the next edition of the ADRJ) will examine the Australian regime and propose some reforms to the *International Arbitration Act 1974* (Cth) to address current gaps by empowering arbitral tribunals and courts to make orders for consolidation and joinder in limited circumstances.

Confidentiality and Privilege in Mediation: Umbrella Protection Filled with Exceptions – *Kirk Daniel Gehri*

This article outlines the various circumstances where mediation is used, for example, in family law, farm debt mediation, civil litigation and native title disputes. The legal landscape governing confidentiality in mediation and its exceptions involves an interplay between contract law, common law principles, equity, and various State and Commonwealth statutes. This article argues that the current legal framework creates confusion for the parties over the extent to which the contents of mediation remain confidential if the matter proceeds to court. This article adopts the recommendations of the National Alternative Dispute Resolution Advisory Council to introduce uniform law governing confidentiality in court-ordered mediation and displace the exceptions to privilege in s 131 of the *Evidence Act 1995* (Cth) with one discretionary power to reveal information if it is in the public interest.

BOOK REVIEW

IN PRACTICE