

MARCH 2024

---

The Authorised Reports of the Decisions of the  
Supreme Court of the Australian Capital Territory

---

THE  
AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL  
TERRITORY  
LAW REPORTS  
2023

EDITOR

BRYAN MEAGHER SC

ASSISTANT EDITOR

RICHARD DAVIES

SENIOR REPORTER IN THIS PART

RICHARD DAVIES

REPORTER IN THIS PART

EMMA ROFF

PRODUCTION SUPERVISOR

MYUNG COLE

The mode of citation of this part will be:  
20 ACTLR

---

---

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 4 — Pages 237-324

ACT Integrity Commission v Levy (a pseudonym) .....	1
Application by a Person Summoned for Jury Service for Support, Re .....	151
<b>Austin (No 5); Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT) v</b> .....	261
Buljat v Coles Supermarkets Australia Pty Ltd (No 2) .....	31
Buljat v Coles Supermarkets Australia Pty Ltd .....	9
Canberra Building & Maintenance Pty Ltd v WNA Construction Pty Ltd .....	170
Coles Supermarkets Australia Pty Ltd (No 2); Buljat v .....	31
Coles Supermarkets Australia Pty Ltd; Buljat v .....	9
Domestic Animals Act 2000 (ACT), Registrar; Pye v .....	220
Fuller (No 2); Glover v .....	100
Gedeon; Raad v .....	77
Gillard (No 2); KD v .....	71
Glover v Fuller (No 2) .....	100
<b>Harlovich v Sebbens</b> .....	237
KD v Gillard (No 2) .....	71
Levy (a pseudonym); ACT Integrity Commission v .....	1
<b>McBride; R v</b> .....	272
<b>McIver v The King</b> .....	303
Pryor, Re Estate of .....	197
<b>Public Prosecutions (ACT), Director of v Austin (No 5)</b> .....	261
Public Prosecutions (ACT), Director of v Whittle .....	135

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

---

---



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2024 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1835-162X

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Pye v Registrar, Domestic Animals Act 2000 (ACT) .....	220
<b>R v McBride</b> .....	272
Raad v Gedeon .....	77
Richardson v Richardson .....	37
<b>Sebbens; Harlovich v</b> .....	237
Whittle; Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT) v .....	135
Will of Clara (a pseudonym), Re .....	160
WNA Construction Pty Ltd; Canberra Building & Maintenance Pty Ltd v .....	170

---

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

# INDEX

Part 4 — Pages 237-324

## CRIMINAL LAW

- Appeal against sentence — Backdating sentence — Whether sentence should have been backdated to consider time spent in custody for unrelated offences for which the appellant was later acquitted — Crimes Act 1914 (Cth), s 16E — Crimes (Sentencing) Act 2005 (ACT), s 63.  
*McIver v The King* ..... 303
- Appeal — Crown appeal from the Magistrates Court against sentence — Residual discretion — Whether appellate court has a residual discretion to dismiss appeal notwithstanding demonstrated error — Whether residual discretion is limited by legislation — Principle of legality — Magistrates Court Act 1930 (ACT), ss 219F(1), 219F(5).  
*Harlovich v Sebbens* ..... 237
- Offence of disclosing information by a Commonwealth officer under a duty not to disclose such information — Whether general orders define scope of the duty not to disclose — Whether oath of allegiance and the word “service” in s 45 of the Defence Act 1903 (Cth) give rise to a duty to act in “the Australian public interest” that would permit conduct inconsistent with a lawful order — Appropriate direction to jury in relation to the accused’s duty as a military officer — Crimes Act 1914 (Cth), s 70(1) — Defence Act 1903 (Cth), s 45 — Defence Force Discipline Act 1982 (Cth), s 3.  
*R v McBride* ..... 272
- Offence of unlawfully communicating documents relating to naval, military or air force information not in course of official duty — Whether general orders define scope of official duty — Whether oath of allegiance and the word “service” in s 45 of the Defence Act 1903 (Cth) give rise to a duty to act in “the Australian public interest” that would permit conduct inconsistent with a lawful order — Appropriate direction to jury in relation to the accused’s duty as a military officer — Defence Act 1903 (Cth), ss 45, 73A(1) — Defence Force Discipline Act 1982 (Cth), s 3.  
*R v McBride* ..... 272
- Procedure — Costs — Power to award costs in criminal matters prosecuted on indictment — Examination of prosecution witness prior to trial — Whether statutory power to award costs — Whether historical rule that the Crown does not pay costs ought to inform exercise of discretion — Whether just and reasonable to order costs — Court Procedures Rules 2006 (ACT), rr 6813, 6826.  
*Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT) v Austin (No 5)* ..... 261

## INDEX

### HUMAN RIGHTS

- Remand prisoner housed with sentenced prisoners — Whether contravention of obligation to segregate accused persons from convicted prisoners rendered custody unlawful — Human Rights Act 2004 (ACT), s 19(2) — Crimes (Sentencing) Act 2005 (ACT), ss 64(2), 72.  
*McIver v The King* ..... 303