Decisions relevant to succession and trusts law practice from the High Court, Federal Court and Supreme Courts of all Australian States and Territories

# AUSTRALIAN SUCCESSION AND TRUSTS LAW REPORTS 2022

EDITORS

DAVID WRIGHT

PROFESSOR PRUE VINES

HONORARY EDITORIAL ADVISER
PROFESSOR ROSALIND CROUCHER

REPORTERS
J VENEZIANO
D CROCKER

## The mode of citation of this part will be: 22 ASTLR

#### TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-129

Aslam; Tanwar v	125
Atkins; Tito v	1
Gritzman v McRae	38
JJE Nominees Pty Ltd; Owies v	89
McRae; Gritzman v	38
Mom; Úlman v	27
Owies v JJE Nominees Pty Ltd	89
Tanwar v Aslam	
Tito v Atkins	1
Ulman v Mom	27

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2023 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1836-8484

### **INDEX**

Part 1 — Pages 1-129

Gritzman v McRae
Conveyancing Act 1919 (NSW), ss 23C, 54A, 66G — Probate and Administration Act 1898 (NSW), ss 44(1), 66, 85, 86 — Trustee Act 1925 (NSW), ss 38(1A), 46(3), 52, 59(4), 70, 85 — Trustees Act 1962 (WA), s 50.
Gritzman v McRae
EQUITY
Trusts and trustees — Constructive trusts — Common intention — A common intention between plaintiff and deceased that plaintiff holds a proprietary interest in the property the subject of the relevant will — Defendant held subject property as constructive trustee for the benefit of the plaintiff — Defendant sold subject property without consulting plaintiff — Defendant transferred proceeds of the subject sale to his personal bank account — Defendant breached duties as constructive trustee.  **Gritzman v McRae***  38
PRACTICE
Parties — Misjoinder — Third respondent to appeal died after appeal commenced — Neither appellant no third respondent had paid workers compensation insurance premiums — Nominal Insurer liable to indemnity worker but entitled to recovery from employer — Risk that estate of third respondent might contest Nominal Insurer's entitlement to recover if not jointed to the litigation — Certainty that joinder of third respondent's estate would involve expense and time — Third respondent removed from proceedings.  **Tanwar v Aslam** 125
SUCCESSION
Construction — Whether plaintiff's interest is subject to provisions in the relevant will entitling defendant to the income from investment of the proceeds of sale of subject property in which the plaintiff had an interest prior to the deceased's death.  **Gritzman v McRae***  38
Executors and administrators — Proceedings against executors and administrators
— Application for removal.
Gritzman v McRae
Trusts and trustees — Breach of trustee's duties — Defendant failed to keep proper accounts and to facilitate inspection of those accounts — Defendant distributed subject estate funds otherwise than in accordance with fiduciary duties — Whether liability of defendant excused pursuant to ss 52 and 85 of the Trustee Act 1925 (NSW).
Gritzman v McRae

#### **INDEX**

SI	IP	E	R	A	N	IN	Ш	Α	Т	T	n	N	I

Application to review decision of Superannuation Complaints Tribunal — Tribunal affirmed trustee's decision to distribute entire death benefit from superannuation fund to deceased member's wife instead of to deceased's estate — Whether Tribunal made decision according to law — Whether no evidence before Tribunal to sustain finding that deceased member had made a Preferred Nomination — Not in dispute before Tribunal that a Preferred Nomination had been made — Whether Tribunal's decision legally unreasonable or irrational or illogical — Trustee given discretion under Trust Deed Rules not to give effect to deceased member's intention — Siblings not financially dependent on deceased member — Wife was a dependant at time of deceased member's death — Whether cultural and customary factors may establish a dependency relationship — Fair and reasonable for Tribunal to accept trustee's decision to distribute entire death benefit to wife — Superannuation (Resolution of Complaints) Act 1993 (Cth), ss 32, 33, 34, 37 — Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act 1993 (Cth), se 10, 10A — Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Regulations 1994 (Cth), reg 1.04AAAA.  Tito v Atkins	1
TRUSTS	
Family trust — Whether trustee had sufficient knowledge of applicants' circumstances to exercise its discretion to distribute income of trust — Whether primary judge erred in finding trustee exercised its discretion upon real and genuine consideration in certain years — Whether decision of trustee void or voidable.	
Owies v JJE Nominees Pty Ltd	. 89
Removal of trustee — Whether trustee should be removed following breach of trust — Conflict between trustee and two of three remaining beneficiaries — Primary judge erred in finding trustee exercised discretion upon real and genuine consideration — Order for removal of trustee.	
Owies v JJE Nominees Pty Ltd	. 89
NAME OF A NEW POSTA TREE	
WILLS AND ESTATES	
Lost will — Plaintiff seeks grant of probate of copy will — Where plaintiff seeks to rebut presumption of revocation — Where deceased declined to prepare a new will on the basis that he had a will already.	
171	27