

NOVEMBER 2023

The Authorised Reports of the Decisions of the
Supreme Court of the Australian Capital Territory

THE
AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL
TERRITORY
LAW REPORTS
2015-2016

EDITOR

BRYAN MEAGHER SC

ASSISTANT EDITOR

RICHARD DAVIES

SENIOR REPORTER IN THIS PART

RICHARD DAVIES

PRODUCTION SUPERVISOR

MYUNG COLE

VOL 19 — PART 3

PAGES 163-237

The mode of citation of this part will be:
19 ACTLR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 3 — Pages 163-237

Chatfield; R v	65
Crampton; Peverill v	26
Flowers; R v	77
GW v The Queen	163
Law Society (ACT), Council of; Legal Practitioner v	53
Legal Practitioner v Council of Law Society (ACT)	53
Monfries v The Queen	99
Nexus Projects Pty Ltd; Theodorelos v	1
Pavicevic v The Queen	43
Peverill v Crampton	26
R v Chatfield	65
R v Flowers	77
Theodorelos v Nexus Projects Pty Ltd	1
TI v The Queen	191
Zdravkovic v The Queen	223

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2023 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1835-162X

INDEX

Part 3 — Pages 163-237

CRIMINAL LAW

Appeal against sentence — Whether sentence was manifestly excessive — Relevant principles.	
<i>Zdravkovic v The Queen</i>	223
Appeal — Appeal against conviction — Whether appellant precluded from raising grounds of appeal — Objections not raised in court below — Court Procedures Rules 2006 (ACT), r 5531.	
<i>GW v The Queen</i>	163
<i>TI v The Queen</i>	191