

JUNE 2023

The Authorised Law Reports of the
Supreme Court of Western Australia

THE
WESTERN
AUSTRALIAN
REPORTS
2021-2022

EDITOR

ERIC HEENAN SC

REPORTERS IN THIS PART

ETHAN HEYWOOD

KELLY ZHANG

VOL 58 — PART 3

PAGES 205-294

The mode of citation of this part will be:
58 WAR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 3 — Pages 205-294

Chevron (Tapl) Pty Ltd v Pilbara Iron Company (Services) Pty Ltd	102
Girgis; Poliwka v	205
Halford v Halford	254
Halford; Halford v	254
Investment Club Pty Ltd; Trimat Holdings Pty Ltd v	45
O'Leary v Western Australia	170
Pilbara Iron Company (Services) Pty Ltd; Chevron (Tapl) Pty Ltd v	102
Poliwka v Girgis	205
R v T	77
Stefanski v Western Australia	1
T; R v	77
Trimat Holdings Pty Ltd v Investment Club Pty Ltd	45
Western Australia; O'Leary v	170
Western Australia; Stefanski v	1

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

© State of Western Australia 2023

This publication is copyright. Except as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part of this publication may be reproduced or communicated by any process without the prior written permission of the Attorney General of Western Australia.



THOMSON REUTERS

2023 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0083-8764

INDEX

Part 3 — Pages 205-294

DAMAGES

- Quantification of damages — Where primary judge accepted expert valuation of property in undertaking a notional valuation of recoverable value of advances as at 30 June 2010 — Whether primary judge erred in accepting expert valuation of property — Whether respondents failed to discharge onus of proof in establishing that entities associated with the joint venture business indebted to the respondents were unable to make any substantial repayment of advances.
Poliwka and Others v Girgis and Another 205

EQUITY AND TRUSTS

- Laches — A grant of bank mortgage by trustee over trust estate comprising real property to secure personal borrowings of trustee — Breach at time of grant of mortgages — Whether further breach when trustees sold the real property and bank's mortgage was discharged — Claim by remainderman against trustee for breach of testamentary trust — Where no claim by remainderman until after his interest vested in possession — Whether primary judge erred in finding remainderman's claim barred by laches.
Halford v Halford and Others 254

TRADE PRACTICES

- Misleading or deceptive conduct — Negligent misstatement — Where parties in an unequal relationship of advisor and advisee — Where misrepresentation made that due diligence had been undertaken in relation to an investment — Where parties agreed to contribute equally to acquisition and ongoing costs of business as a joint venture — Where joint venture business suffered ongoing losses and respondents became aware of falsity of representations but continued to make advances to joint venture company to fund business — Where joint venture terminated and respondents unable to recover advances — Where primary court found that respondents' loss was causally related to appellants' misleading/negligent conduct up to date upon which a reasonable period of time subsequent to respondent learning of misleading and deceptive conduct had passed — Where primary court concluded that reasonable period of time ended 30 June 2012 and respondents' loss in respect of advances made to joint venture company after that date not causally related to misleading/negligent conduct — Whether judge erred in finding respondents' loss in respect of advances made after 30 June 2010 was not causally related to appellants' misleading and negligent representations — Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), ss 52 and 82.
Poliwka and Others v Girgis and Another 205

WORDS AND PHRASES

- "Action" — Limitation Act 2005 (WA), ss 3(1), 13 and 27.
Halford v Halford and Others 254

INDEX

WORDS AND PHRASES — *continued*

- “Future interest” — Limitation Act 1935 (WA), s 47(1)(b) — Limitation Act 2005 (WA), ss 3(1) and 62.
 Halford v Halford and Others 254
- “Interest in possession” — Trustee Act 1888 (UK), s 8(1)(b).
 Halford v Halford and Others 254