

JUNE 2023

The Authorised Reports of the Decisions of the
Supreme Court of Tasmania

THE
TASMANIAN
REPORTS
2021-2022

EDITOR

L W MAHER

REPORTERS

BENEDICT BARTL
ROLAND BROWNE

CHRISTOPHER GROVES
NINA HUDSON

JACK TAMMENS

VOL 34 — PART 3

PAGES 227-340

**PUBLISHED FOR THE COUNCIL OF LAW REPORTING
OF TASMANIA BY THOMSON REUTERS (PROFESSIONAL) AUSTRALIA LTD.**

The mode of citation of this part will be:
34 Tas R

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 3 — Pages 227-340

Anti-Discrimination Tribunal (No 2); Von Stalheim v	25
Anti-Discrimination Tribunal; Tasmanian Health Service v	298
Attorney-General (No 2); Cambria Green Agriculture & Tourism Management Pty Ltd v	294
Attorney-General; Cambria Green Agriculture & Tourism Management Pty Ltd v	278
Barclay; Legal Profession Board of Tasmania v	312
Boarder; Smith v	333
Bond v Lamprey	305
Bonde (No 2); Jones v	161
Bonde; Jones v	153
Brown v Jones	87
Burns v Robinson	197
Cambria Green Agriculture & Tourism Management Pty Ltd v Attorney-General (No 2)	294
Cambria Green Agriculture & Tourism Management Pty Ltd v Attorney-General	278
Casimaty v Hazell Bros Group Pty Ltd	43
Coad v State of Tasmania	227
Cox and Phillips v Tasmania	179
Ellis; Gutwein v	138
Girmay v Green	64
Green; Girmay v	64
Greenham Tasmania Pty Ltd v Director of Public Prosecutions	49

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2023 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0085-7106

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Gunn v Reardon and Rogers	120
Gutwein v Ellis	138
Hazell Bros Group Pty Ltd; Casimaty v	43
Hodges; Tasmania v	204
JAGD v Tasmania	250
Johnson & Johnson; State of Washington v	110
Jones v Bonde (No 2)	161
Jones v Bonde	153
Jones; Brown v	87
Jones; Tasmania v	168
Lamprey; Bond v	305
Lang; Tasmania v	219
Launceston City Council; Smart v	319
Legal Profession Board of Tasmania v Barclay	312
Liu; Tasmania v	1
Milligan (No 2); Tasmania v	106
Milligan; Tasmania v	101
Phillips v Wilkie	188
Prosser; Roman Catholic Church Trust v	75
Public Prosecutions, Director of; Greenham Tasmania Pty Ltd v	49
Reardon and Rogers; Gunn v	120
Robinson; Burns v	197
Roman Catholic Church Trust v Prosser	75
Smart v Launceston City Council	319
Smith v Boarder	333
Tasmania v Hodges	204
Tasmania v Jones	168
Tasmania v Lang	219
Tasmania v Liu	1
Tasmania v Milligan (No 2)	106
Tasmania v Milligan	101
Tasmania v Whitton-Lowe	15
Tasmania, State of; Coad v	227
Tasmania; Cox and Phillips v	179
Tasmania; JAGD v	250
Tasmanian Health Service v Anti-Discrimination Tribunal	298
Von Stalheim v Anti-Discrimination Tribunal (No 2)	25
Washington, State of v Johnson & Johnson	110
Whitton-Lowe; Tasmania v	15

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Wilkie; Phillips v	188
--------------------------	-----

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

INDEX

Part 3 — Pages 227-340

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

- Judicial review — Reviewable decisions and conduct — Review of particular decisions — Anti-Discrimination Tribunal review of Commissioner's decision not to consider out of time complaints — No Tribunal jurisdiction to review Commissioner's decision where complaints not considered — Appeal allowed — Tribunal decision quashed — Anti-Discrimination Act 1998 (Tas), ss 63, 64(1), 65(2), 72.
Tasmanian Health Service v Anti-Discrimination Tribunal 298

ANIMALS

- Prevention of cruelty to animals — Offences — Causing unnecessary pain and cruelly ill-treating — Particular cases — Aggravated cruelty to an animal — Recklessness as to whether act will or reasonably likely to result in death, deformity or serious disablement of an animal — Animal Welfare Act 1993 (Tas) ss 8, 9.
Bond v Lamprey 305
- Protection of fauna and game laws — Offences — Tasmania — Possession of specially protected wildlife without a permit — Trapping of spotted tail quoll — Wildlife (General) Regulations 2010 (Tas), reg 35.
Bond v Lamprey 305

CRIMINAL LAW

- Appeal and new trial — Particular grounds of appeal — Miscarriage of justice — Appellant found guilty of single count of rape — “Word against word” case — Defence case one of consensual sexual intercourse — Appellant and complainant previously known to each other — Evidence of complainant's prior sexual interest in the appellant — Communications between complainant and appellant after alleged rape arguably inconsistent with non-consensual sexual intercourse — Failure to ascertain and utilise relevant evidence — Miscarriage of justice — Order for retrial — Criminal Code (Tas), s 402(1).
JAGD v Tasmania 250
- Appeal and new trial — Particular grounds of appeal — Miscarriage of justice — Client convicted of rape — Failure of process — Attainment of justice — Essential requirements of a fair trial — Failure of process departing from essential requirements of a fair trial constitutes a miscarriage of justice regardless of whether counsel's conduct might have affected the outcome — Objective test of counsel's conduct — Material irregularity of counsel's conduct — Whether conduct capable of rational justification or explanation — Not based on adjectival classifications of conduct — Failure to cross-examine complainant properly — Failure to raise issue in closing address — Cases where it may be necessary to understand why something happened.
JAGD v Tasmania 250

INDEX

HIGHWAYS

- Creation and extinction of highways — Dedication — What constitutes dedication — Generally — Common law principles — Whether evidence is sufficient to infer dedication and public acceptance of land as a highway — Where strip of land has been depicted on original subdivision plans and all subsequent surveys, but with varying nomenclature — Absence of evidence of user prior to construction as a carriageway — Legislative modification of common law right of landowner to dedicate land as a highway or public road — Declared not to be a highway.
- Smart v Launceston City Council* 319

MAGISTRATES

- Appeal and review — Tasmania — Motion to review — Other matters — Ground that sentence was manifestly inadequate — Sentencing order of magistrate set aside — Exercise of residual sentencing jurisdiction — Sentence of imprisonment wholly suspended.
- Bond v Lamprey* 305

PROCEDURE

- Civil proceedings in State and Territory courts — Costs — Costs following the event — Depriving successful party of costs — Other cases — Mistake of judge or magistrate — Indemnity costs order quashed for denial of procedural fairness — Respondent contested appeal and did not concede denial of procedural fairness until hearing — Respondent ordered to pay costs of the appeal.
- Cambria Green Agriculture & Tourism Management Pty Ltd v Attorney-General (No 2)* 294
- Civil proceedings in State and Territory courts — Costs — Depriving successful party of costs — Other cases — Costs of successful application to quash decision of statutory authority — Better evidence presented on application for prerogative relief — Attorney-General acted as contradictor — Order that applicants pay Attorney-General's costs on an indemnity basis quashed — Each party to pay own costs.
- Cambria Green Agriculture & Tourism Management Pty Ltd v Attorney-General* 278
- Civil proceedings in State and Territory courts — Costs — General rule: costs follow event — General principles and exercise of discretion — Paramount considerations — Applications under family violence legislation — Costs of unsuccessful application to extend family violence order — Magistrate failing to exercise unfettered discretion to order unsuccessful applicant for extension to pay costs — Misapplication of legislative objectives — Family Violence Act 2004 (Tas), ss 3, 6, 20, 34.
- Smith v Boarder* 333

INDEX

PROFESSIONS AND TRADES

- Lawyers — Solicitors — Complaints and discipline — Disciplinary proceedings — Tasmania — Professional misconduct constituted by gross delay — Carriage of matter for 24 years — Client's case not advanced — No communication with client — Otherwise unblemished record of professional conduct — Respondent no longer practising as a lawyer at time of disciplinary proceedings — Legislative history — Assessment of professional conduct — Fundamental change in legislative test — Standard of competence and diligence — Public expectation of reasonably competent practitioner — Order that respondent be reprimanded — Legal Profession Act 2007 (Tas), ss 420, 421, 422, 486.
- Legal Profession Board of Tasmania v Barclay* 312

SENTENCING

- Changing community attitudes — Cruelty to animals.
- Bond v Lamprey* 305

STATUTES

- Interpretation — Aids — Use of Long Title of Act — Proceedings under Family Violence legislation — Costs — Discretionary award — Paramount consideration — Safety, psychological wellbeing and interests of people affected by family violence — Family violence order — Costs of unsuccessful application for extension of order — Family Violence Act 2004 (Tas), s 34.
- Smith v Boarder* 333

WORDS AND PHRASES

- “Injury”.
- Coad v State of Tasmania* 227
- “Professional misconduct”.
- Legal Profession Board of Tasmania v Barclay* 312
- “Unsatisfactory professional conduct”.
- Legal Profession Board of Tasmania v Barclay* 312

INDEX

WORKERS COMPENSATION

- Assessment and amount of compensation — Amount of compensation during incapacity — Lump sum payments — Permanent impairment and loss — Workers not entitled to lump sum compensation unless impairment exceeds threshold — Threshold for physical impairment not less than 5% whole person impairment and threshold for psychiatric impairment not less than 10% — Worker suffered injury to cervical spine assessed as 5% and post-traumatic stress disorder assessed as 6% — Whether Tribunal erred by not combining impairments — Beneficial construction — Impairments can be combined if either threshold met — Whole person impairment should be assessed as 11% — Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Tas), ss 3, 25, 71 and 72 — Acts Interpretation Act 1931 (Tas), s 8A.
- Coad v State of Tasmania* 227