The Authorised Law Reports of the Supreme Court of Western Australia

THE WESTERN AUSTRALIAN REPORTS 2022

ERIC HEENAN SC

REPORTERS IN THIS PART

ROBERT CLARKE AMY JOSEPH SHANE STEWART

VOL 58 — PART 1

PAGES 1-101

The mode of citation of this part will be: 58 WAR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-101

Investment Club Pty Ltd; Trimat Holdings Pty Ltd v	45
R v T	77
Stefanski v Western Australia	. 1
T; R v	77
Trimat Holdings Pty Ltd v Investment Club Pty Ltd	45
Western Australia: Stefanski v	1

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

© State of Western Australia 2023

This publication is copyright. Except as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part of this publication may be reproduced or communicated by any process without the prior written permission of the Attorney General of Western Australia.



2023 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited
ABN 64 058 914 668
Published in Sydney

ISSN 0083-8764

Lawbook Co.

INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-101

CRIMINAL LAW

Appeal against conviction — Appellant convicted on his pleas of guilty of two counts of murder — Whether a miscarriage of justice occurred because, upon the admitted facts, the appellant could not in law have been guilty of murder — Whether the appellant was not guilty of murder on account of unsoundness of mind — When the appellant killed the deceased he had a mental illness (namely, paranoid schizophrenia or psychotic disorder) — Appellant's mental illness most likely triggered by his use of illicit substances, particularly cannabis — Appellant's ingestion of cannabis in the days and weeks before the killings could have uncovered the underlying mental illness — Appellant's mental illness precipitated and exacerbated by his drug use — Appellant's psychotic symptoms at the time of the killings would not have been as severe or intense as they were if the appellant had not been using cannabis — Proper construction of s 27, s 28(1) and s 28(2) of the Criminal Code (WA) — Whether a miscarriage of justice occurred as a result of the primary judge acting on the appellant's pleas of guilty. **FAMILY LAW** Contempt of court by intentionally contravening court orders — Sentencing — Whether totality principle applicable to sentencing for contempt of court — Whether total sentence of 3 years 10 months' imprisonment was manifestly excessive or breached the first limb of the totality principle.

RESTITUTION AND UNJUST ENRICHMENT

Payments made under a mistake — Defence of good consideration — Where lease required tenant to pay landlord's operation expenses in addition to rent — Where conditions of lease introduced by statute required landlord to give estimates of operating expenses and an operating expenses statement — Where estimates and statement not provided — Where legislation provides that tenant is not obliged to make payment of, and landlord is not entitled to recover, operating expenses while landlord is in default — Where tenant pays operating expenses mistakenly believing it was under a legal obligation to make the payments — Where landlord advances defence of good consideration — Whether, at time of payment, a debt existed between landlord and tenant, the discharge of which amounted to good consideration — Whether the question of whether a claim existed was to be assessed at the time of payment or only at the later point in time at which the legislation operated to mean that the tenant would never be required to make payment.

INDEX

WORDS AND PHRASES	
"Totality principle".	
<i>R v T</i>	7