

MARCH 2023

Mining, Energy and Resources Decisions from the High Court,
Federal Court, State and Territory Supreme Courts, Environment
and Planning Courts and Tribunals and Mining Wardens

AUSTRALIAN RESOURCES LAW REPORTS

2021

GENERAL EDITOR
KANAGA DHARMANANDA SC

ASSISTANT EDITOR
ROBERT SIZE

REPORTER
ANNA ELIZABETH

VOL 15 — PART 4

PAGES 390-610

The mode of citation of this part will be:
15 ARLR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 4 — Pages 390-610

CS Energy Limited v GPS Power Pty Limited & Ors	288
Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power; Herridge Parties v	1
Environment, Minister for v Sharma	390
Gadtech Materials Pty Ltd v Magistrates Court of Tasmania	329
GPS Power Pty Limited & Ors; CS Energy Limited v	288
Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power	1
Mines and Petroleum, Minister for; Onslow Resources Ltd v	80
Mudgee Dolomite & Lime Pty Ltd (In Liq) and Others; Murdoch and Others v	335
Murdoch and Others v Mudgee Dolomite & Lime Pty Ltd (In Liq) and Others	335
Onslow Resources Ltd v Minister for Mines and Petroleum	80
Queensland Bulk Water Supply Authority t/as Seqwater v Rodriguez & Sons Pty Ltd	93
Rodriguez & Sons Pty Ltd; Queensland Bulk Water Supply Authority t/as Seqwater v	93
Sharma; Minister for Environment v	390
Tasmania, Magistrates Court of; Gadtech Materials Pty Ltd v	329

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2023 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited
ABN 64 058 914 668

Lawbook Co.

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1836-6775

INDEX

Part 4 — Pages 390-610

CLIMATE CHANGE

- Whether climate change required to be considered by the responsible Minister when deciding whether to approve or not approve application under ss 130(1) and 133 of EPBC Act — Whether duty of care to Australian children to take reasonable care to prevent the risk of personal injury or death caused by the increased effects of climate change because of the mine expansion — No duty found.
Minister for the Environment v Sharma 390

MINING

- Application for expansion of mine as a controlled action under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth) — Minister must approve or not approve the application under ss 130(1) and 133 of EPBC Act — Whether duty of care to Australian children to take reasonable care to prevent the risk of personal injury or death caused by the increased effects of climate change because of the mine expansion — No duty found — Application approved.
Minister for the Environment v Sharma 390

NEGLIGENCE

- Representative proceeding on behalf of Australian children under 18 against the Minister for the Environment — Threat of global warming and climate change to the world and mankind — Whether novel duty of care in relation to threat — Declaration by primary judge that Minister owed children duty of care when exercising power under ss 130 and 133 of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth) (EPBC Act) — Whether duty owed to take reasonable care to avoid causing personal injury or death arising from emissions of carbon dioxide and subsequent global warming when deciding to approve or not approve extension of a coal mine — Whether class of persons to whom the duty is owed identifiable — Whether potential liability quantifiable — Whether imposition of duty inconsistent and incoherent with the EPBC Act — Whether damage alleged reasonably foreseeable — Whether the imposition of the duty would require the courts to determinate matters of high government policy — Duty found not to exist.
Minister for the Environment v Sharma 390

STATUTORY INTERPRETATIONS

- Whether human safety is an implied relevant mandatory consideration for the exercise of power under ss 130 and 133 of the EPBC Act — Mandatory consideration not supported by text, context or purpose of EPBC Act.
Minister for the Environment v Sharma 390