

APRIL 2023

The Authorised Reports of the Decisions of the
Supreme Court of Tasmania

THE
TASMANIAN
REPORTS
2022

EDITOR
L W MAHER

REPORTERS
BENEDICT BARTL LEWIS RINGWALDT
ROLAND BROWNE JACK TAMMENS

VOL 34 — PART 2

PAGES 120-226

**PUBLISHED FOR THE COUNCIL OF LAW REPORTING
OF TASMANIA BY THOMSON REUTERS (PROFESSIONAL) AUSTRALIA LTD.**

The mode of citation of this part will be:
34 Tas R

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 2 — Pages 120-226

Anti-Discrimination Tribunal (No 2); Von Stalheim v	25
Bonde (No 2); Jones v	161
Bonde; Jones v	153
Brown v Jones	87
Burns v Robinson	197
Casimaty v Hazell Bros Group Pty Ltd	43
Cox and Phillips v Tasmania	179
Ellis; Gutwein v	138
Girmay v Green	64
Green; Girmay v	64
Greenham Tasmania Pty Ltd v Director of Public Prosecutions	49
Gunn v Reardon and Rogers	120
Gutwein v Ellis	138
Hazell Bros Group Pty Ltd; Casimaty v	43
Hodges; Tasmania v	204
Johnson & Johnson; State of Washington v	110
Jones v Bonde (No 2)	161
Jones v Bonde	153
Jones; Brown v	87
Jones; Tasmania v	168
Lang; Tasmania v	219
Liu; Tasmania v	1
Milligan (No 2); Tasmania v	106

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2023 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0085-7106

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Milligan; Tasmania v	101
Phillips v Wilkie	188
Prosser; Roman Catholic Church Trust v	75
Public Prosecutions, Director of; Greenham Tasmania Pty Ltd v	49
Reardon and Rogers; Gunn v	120
Robinson; Burns v	197
Roman Catholic Church Trust v Prosser	75
Tasmania v Hodges	204
Tasmania v Jones	168
Tasmania v Lang	219
Tasmania v Liu	1
Tasmania v Milligan (No 2)	106
Tasmania v Milligan	101
Tasmania v Whitton-Lowe	15
Tasmania; Cox and Phillips v	179
Von Stalheim v Anti-Discrimination Tribunal (No 2)	25
Washington, State of v Johnson & Johnson	110
Whitton-Lowe; Tasmania v	15
Wilkie; Phillips v	188

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

INDEX

Part 2 — Pages 120-226

CRIMINAL LAW

- Circumstantial drug trafficking case — Giretti counts — Evidence improperly obtained during search of private premises — Desirability of admitting the evidence outweighing the undesirability of admitting the evidence — Renting of holiday apartment by accused for two nights — Rights inter se of apartment owners and accused — Management Booking and departure practices — Communications between accused person and manager — Conduct of accused person at apartment — Evidence of cleaner as to observations inside apartment — Evidence admitted — Evidence Act 2001 (Tas), s 138 — Misuse of Drugs Act 2001 (Tas), s 29 — Search Warrants Act 1997 (Tas), s 5.
Tasmania v Hodges 204
- Evidence — Admissibility — Trial Judge’s discretion to admit or exclude evidence — Prejudicial evidence — Generally — Giretti charges of drug trafficking — Probative value of evidence against one co-accused not outweighed by danger of unfair prejudice to other co-accused — Presentation of that evidence not creating significant risk of either injustice or an unfair trial — Evidence Act 2001 (Tas) s 137.
Tasmania v Jones 168
- Procedure — Information, indictment or presentment — Joinder — Two or more persons charged in same indictment — Joint or separate trial — Trial judge’s discretion to order separate trial — One accused applying for direction for separate trial — Embarrassment or prejudice — Drug trafficking over a period of four years — Trafficking in morphine and oxycodone — Illicit sales including regular supply by one co-accused of MS Contin (morphine) tablets — Single charge against one defendant of obtaining — Telephone intercept evidence — Giretti charges — Applications for separate trials refused — Criminal Code (Tas), ss 363.
Tasmania v Jones 168
- Procedure — Trial had before judge without jury — Generally — Accused charged with arson contrary to the Criminal Code (Tas), s 268 — Criminal responsibility — Defence of insanity — Arson — Accused person deliberately lighting fire in own bedroom — Investigator’s report — Accused diagnosed with schizoaffective disorder — Delusions and suicide ideation — Finding — Accused not guilty — Mental Health Act 2013 (Tas) — Criminal Code (Tas), ss 16(1)(a)(ii), 268.
Tasmania v Lang 219

INDEX

CRIMINAL LAW — *continued*

- Procedure — Witnesses — Powers of judge — Preliminary proceedings — Power to examine witnesses — Order sought by accused persons that complainant give evidence on oath in preliminary proceedings — Crown opposing application — Alleged exceptional circumstances requiring complainant to give evidence at preliminary proceedings — Sexual offences — Complainant an affected person — Alleged aggravated assaults and assault — Indictment not yet filed — Applications dismissed — Criminal Code Act 1924, ss 331B(2)(a), 331B(4), 331B(6) — Justices Act 1959 (Tas), s 56(3)(c).
- Cox and Phillips v Tasmania* 179

INDUSTRIAL LAW

- Tasmania — Industrial Commission — Procedure — Industrial dispute referred to Deputy President for hearing and determination — Staffing levels and workloads of nurses in Tasmanian public health system during COVID-19 epidemic — Apprehended bias — On basis that Deputy President authored an industrial agreement relevant to the dispute when Secretary of the industrial organisation party to the dispute — Further ground of apprehended bias on basis of alleged ongoing association arising from honorary award of “life membership” of organisation made after appointment to Industrial Commission — Refusal to disqualify — Relief in the nature of prohibition refused — Industrial Relations Act 1984 (Tas), ss 3, 5(4)(b)(ii), 31(1), 55.
- Gutwein v Ellis* 138

MAGISTRATES

- Appeal and review — Tasmania — Motion to review — Availability of remedy — Inadequacy of reasons for decision — Aggravated assault — Charge found proved — Insufficient explanation by magistrate of why evidence of complainant accepted in preference to evidence of accused — Firearms Act 1996 (Tas), s 115 — Justices Act 1959 (Tas), s 110(2).
- Jones v Bonde* 153
- Appeal and review — Tasmania — Motion to review — Other matters — Magistrate appeared to dismiss entire complaint after tender of no evidence on one charge but prior pleas of guilty on remainder — Application to set aside dismissal made by a different complainant — Lack of jurisdiction to set aside — Dismissal applied only to single charge and pleas of guilty to others still effective — Acts Interpretation Act 1931 (Tas), s 10A(1)(a) — Justices Rules 2003 (Tas), r 38(2) — Magistrates Court Act 1987 (Tas).
- Phillips v Wilkie* 188

INDEX

MAGISTRATES — *continued*

- Appeal and review — Tasmania — Motion to review — Other matters — Whether sentences manifestly excessive — Multiple breaches of apprehended domestic violence order and three breaches of COVID-19 pandemic public health directions — Two cumulative sentences totalling 10 months' imprisonment with four months suspended — Matters to be taken into account when sentencing for breach of public health requirements — Premeditation — Offences committed soon after offender released from sentence of imprisonment — Numerous offences — Domestic violence order breaches committed with consent of victim — Sentence not manifestly excessive — Motion to review refused — Emergency Management Act 2006 (Tas).
Gunn v Reardon and Rogers 120
- Appeal and review — Tasmania — Motion to review — When remedy available — Inadequacy of reasons for decision — Aggravated assault — Charge found proved — Finding of guilt reasonably open to magistrate — Return of remitter — Magistrate's further reasons adequate.
Jones v Bonde (No 2) 161
- Appeal and review — Tasmania — Motion to review — When remedy available — Inadequacy of reasons for decision — Aggravated assault — Charge found proved — Remitter to Magistrates Court to furnish Supreme Court with further and better reasons — Explanation of why evidence of complainant accepted in preference to evidence of accused — Direction under Justices Act 1959 (Tas), s 110(2A).
Jones v Bonde (No 2) 161
- Appeal and review — Tasmania — Motion to review — When remedy available — Sentencing procedure — Factual basis for sentence — Fact asserted by accused in mitigation — Rejected by magistrate without defendant having opportunity to establish case by evidence — Miscarriage of sentencing discretion — Ground upheld but review dismissed because no substantial miscarriage of justice — Firearms Act 1996 (Tas), ss 107A, 116(b) — Corrections Act 1997 (Tas), s 70.
Burns v Robinson 197
- Appeal and review — Tasmania — Motion to review — When remedy available — Whether complainant was person aggrieved by order dismissing complaint where dismissal had been set aside by another magistrate — Second magistrate lacked jurisdiction to set aside dismissal — Complainant had standing to seek review of dismissal order — Justices Act 1959 (Tas), s 107.
Phillips v Wilkie 188

WORDS AND PHRASES

- “Affected person”.
Cox and Phillips v Tasmania 179
- “Exceptional circumstances”.
Cox and Phillips v Tasmania 179
- “Must”.
Phillips v Wilkie 188

INDEX

WORDS AND PHRASES — *continued*

“Person aggrieved”.	
<i>Phillips v Wilkie</i>	188
“Private premises”.	
<i>Tasmania v Hodges</i>	204
“Separate trials”.	
<i>Tasmania v Jones</i>	168