

MARCH 2023

The Authorised Reports of Decisions of the
Supreme Court of South Australia

THE
SOUTH
AUSTRALIAN
STATE REPORTS
2021-2022

GENERAL EDITOR
KRISTOPHER HANDSHIN KC

SENIOR REPORTER
DANIEL LORBEER

REPORTER IN THIS PART
DAVID KELLY

VOL 140 — PART 2

PAGES 135-303

The mode of citation of this part will be:
140 SASR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 2 — Pages 135-303

Attorney-General (SA) v Woods-Pierce	43
Attorney-General (SA); Driver v	282
Attorney-General (SA); Shi v	43
Attorney-General (SA); Treloar v	43
Colovic v Davey and Davey	56
Davey and Davey; Colovic v	56
Disorganized Developments Pty Ltd and Others v The State of	
South Australia	206
Driver v Attorney-General (SA)	282
Inghams Enterprises Pty Ltd v Southern Cross Farms Australia	
Pty Ltd and Another	239
Kokotis; R v	1
Paschalis v Return to Work Corporation of South Australia and	
Another	77
Queen, The; Quist v	16
Question of Law Reserved (No 1 of 2021)	135
Quist v The Queen	16
R v Kokotis	1
Return to Work Corporation of South Australia and Another; Paschalis	
v	77
Shi v Attorney-General (SA)	43
South Australia, The State of; Disorganized Developments Pty Ltd	
and Others v	206
Southern Cross Farms Australia Pty Ltd and Another; Inghams	
Enterprises Pty Ltd v	239
Treloar v Attorney-General (SA)	43
Woods-Pierce; Attorney-General (SA) v	43

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2023 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0049-1470

INDEX

Part 2 — Pages 135-303

ARBITRATION

- Recourse against award — Procedure — Appeals and leave to appeal — Leave to appeal from decision of arbitrator — Whether parties agreed that an appeal could be made to Supreme Court — Whether a question of law arising out of award — Whether decision of the tribunal on the question “obviously wrong” — Commercial Arbitration Act 2011 (SA), ss 34A(1)(a), 34A(3)(c)(i).
Inghams Enterprises Pty Ltd v Southern Cross Farms Australia Pty Ltd and Another 239

CRIMINAL LAW

- Criminal liability and capacity — Insanity and mental impairment — “Mental competence” — “Self-induced intoxication” — Whether mental impairment “substantially caused by self-induced intoxication” — Whether “mental impairment” can have multiple “causes” — Whether “primary cause” of “mental impairment” is its “substantial cause” — Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935 (SA), s 269C.
Question of Law Reserved (No 1 of 2021) 135
- Offences against peace and public order — Offences relating to participation in criminal organisations — Regulation of “participants” in “criminal organisations” and “prescribed places” — Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935 (SA), ss 83GA, 83GD — Criminal Law Consolidation (Criminal Organisations) Regulations 2015 (SA) — Criminal Law Consolidation (Criminal Organisations) (Prescribed Places - Cowirra) Variation Regulations 2020 — Criminal Law Consolidation (Criminal Organisations) (Prescribed Places - Cowirra) (No 2) Variation Regulations 2020.
Disorganized Developments Pty Ltd and Others v The State of South Australia 206
- Sentence — Orders and declarations relating to serious or violent offenders or dangerous sexual offenders — Dangerous sexual offender — Persons incapable of controlling, or unwilling to control, sexual instincts — Detention in custody until further order — Reports of inquiries by medical professionals into mental condition of sexual offender — Admissibility and expertise — Admissions to uncharged sexual offending — Standard of proof for reliance upon by Court — Sentencing Act 2017 (SA), s 57.
Driver v Attorney-General (SA) 282

WORDS AND PHRASES

- “Obviously wrong”.
Inghams Enterprises Pty Ltd v Southern Cross Farms Australia Pty Ltd and Another 239