The Authorised Reports of the Decisions of the Supreme Court of Tasmania

THE TASMANIAN REPORTS 2020-2021

EDITOR L W MAHER

REPORTERS
BENEDICT BARTL
NINA HUDSON
LEWIS RINGWALDT

VOL 34 — PART 1

PAGES 1-119

PUBLISHED FOR THE COUNCIL OF LAW REPORTING OF TASMANIA BY THOMSON REUTERS (PROFESSIONAL) AUSTRALIA LTD.

The mode of citation of this part will be: $34\ Tas\ R$

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-119

Anti-Discrimination Tribunal (No 2); Von Stalheim v
Brown v Jones 87
Casimaty v Hazell Bros Group Pty Ltd
Girmay v Green
Green; Girmay v
Greenham Tasmania Pty Ltd v Director of Public
Prosecutions
Hazell Bros Group Pty Ltd; Casimaty v
Johnson & Johnson; State of Washington v
Jones; Brown v
Liu; Tasmania v
Milligan (No 2); Tasmania v
Milligan; Tasmania v
Prosser; Roman Catholic Church Trust v
Public Prosecutions, Director of; Greenham Tasmania Pty
Ltd v
Roman Catholic Church Trust v Prosser
Tasmania v Liu
Tasmania v Milligan (No 2)
Tasmania v Milligan
Tasmania v Whitton-Lowe
Von Stalheim v Anti-Discrimination Tribunal (No 2)
Washington, State of v Johnson & Johnson
Whitton-Lowe; Tasmania v



(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

© 2023 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited
ABN 64 058 914 668
Published in Sydney

ISSN 0085-7106

Lawbook Co.

Part 1 — Pages 1-119

COURTS AND JUDGES

Apprehension of prejudgment — Town planning — Construction of interchange on Tasman Highway near the Hobart International Airport — Objector — Plaintiff seeking equitable relief asserting non-compliance with statute requiring scrutiny of expenditure on roadworks project by parliamentary committee — Strike-out application asserting no justiciable issue and parliamentary privilege — Judge took into account evidence as to expenditure on project when refusing extension of time for appeal from planning decision — Public Works Committee Act 1914 (Tas). **Casimaty v Hazell Bros Group Pty Ltd**	. 43
CRIMINAL LAW	
Appeal and new trial — Appeal against sentence — Sentence manifestly excessive — Family violence offence — Assault by pulling hair and punching to legs — Choking of victim — Risk of death or serious injury — Distress of very young children witnessing assault on their mother — Prior conviction for assault but no history of family violence — Mitigation — Offender's intoxication of limited weight — Victim expressing forgiveness of and support for offender of little weight — Overriding public interest in denunciation of crime — Community entitlement to retribution — General deterrence — Family hardship arising from punishment of little weight — Sentence of three months' imprisonment with two months suspended not manifestly excessive — Family Violence Act 2004 (Tas), s 13A — Police Offences Act 1935 (Tas).	0.5
Brown v Jones Evidence — Admissibility — Discretion to admit or exclude evidence — Fairness — Whether evidence improperly obtained — Particular cases — Police investigation of suspected trafficking in fish — Recording of telephone conversation with police officer — Caution given to person of Chinese origin with imperfect English — Whether person able to communicate in English with reasonable fluency — Whether unfair to admit evidence of admissions made during conversation — Evidence Act 2001 (Tas), ss 90, 138(1)(a), 139. Tasmania v Liu	1
Evidence — Confessions and admissions — Statements — Records of interview — Electronic recording — Recording of telephone conversation when police officer questioned accused — No audio-visual recording made — Admissions not later confirmed by accused — Reasonable explanation as to why audio-visual record could not be made — Evidence Act 2001 (Tas), s 85A(1), 90, 139(1)-(3). **Tasmania v Liu**	1

CRIMINAL LAW — continued	
General matters — Criminal liability and capacity — Recklessly discharging a firearm — Criminal Code — Defences — Generally — Defence of persons or property — Defence of dwelling-house — Threat of forcible breaking and entry — Trespassers — Self-defence — Use of force — Whether defences available — Criminal Code (Tas), ss 40, 41, 46. Tasmania v Milligan	
Offences against peace and public order — Offensive weapons — Firearms — Possession — Generally — Prohibited firearm — Item capable of propelling projectile by means of compressed air or gas — Air rifle — Gel blaster — Whether a firearm in respect of which a licence may not be issued — Firearms Act 1996 (Tas), ss 3, 9(1A)(b), Sch 1. **Tasmania v Milligan (No 2)**	106
Procedure — Indictable offences — Assaults — Pleas — General pleas — Admissibility — Unrepresented defendant — Plea of guilty in Magistrates Court — Committal to Supreme Court for sentence — Defendant seeking legal advice and represented by counsel on committal — Withdrawal and restoration of plea — After — Criminal Code (Tas), ss 7, 331B, 331C, 345, 356, 420, 421A — Justices Act 1959 (Tas), ss 27, 32, 47, 48, 55(3), 56, 58-60. Tasmania v Whitton-Lowe	
EVIDENCE Adducing Evidence — Course of evidence — Evidence before trial — Letter of Request by foreign court — Proceeding pending in State court in the United States of America — US State seeking damages against pharmaceutical company — Alleged understatement of side effects of opioids produced from genetically engineered poppies grown in Tasmania — Request on behalf of plaintiff for oral depositions during pre-trial discovery — Ex parte order made on the papers in response to Letter of Request allowing taking of evidence — Jurisdiction disputed by defendant in US proceeding — Application to set aside ex parte order granted — Relevant considerations — US-style discovery through pre-trial depositions taken from witnesses not a step recognised in Australian or English Courts — Evidence on Commission Act 2001 (Tas), ss 4, 5(4) —	
Supreme Court Rules 2000 (Tas), r 972 — Hague Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters 1970 (INT). State of Washington v Johnson & Johnson	
HUMAN RIGHTS	
Tribunals, commissions and other authorities — Tasmania — Anti-Discrimination Tribunal — Reviewing, rejection and dismissal of complaints — Judicial review of tribunal decisions — Whether complaint was in relation to the same matter as an earlier complaint that had lapsed — Anti-Discrimination Act 1998 (Tas), ss 65(4), 71(4), 72(1), 73. Von Stalheim v Anti-Discrimination Tribunal (No 2)	25

INDUSTRIAL LAW Work health and safety — Duties and liabilities — Duties owed to workers — Other matters and particular cases — Management and control of workplace — Charge of failure to comply with health and safety duties exposing an individual to risk of death or serious injury — Elements of offence — Work Health and Safety Act 2012 (Tas), ss 18, 19, 32. Greenham Tasmania Pty Ltd v Director of Public **MAGISTRATES** Generally — Powers and duties — Reasons for decision — Inadequate reasons for finding of breach of health and safety duty. Greenham Tasmania Pty Ltd v Director of Public **TORTS** Negligence — Duty of care — Other particular claimants, defendants and circumstances — Road accidents — Pedestrian accidents — Children — Driver's duty to have regard to all relevant circumstances — Locality and road conditions — Time of day — Schools hours — Reasonable expectation of risk of children being in vicinity of driving. Girmay v Green64 Negligence — Standard of care — Motor vehicle drivers — Obligation to have regard to what was or might reasonably be known including possibility of careless behaviour by pedestrians — Higher standard in case of young children - No general obligation to drive at speed according to limits of visibility or to react whatever ventured into path of driver's vehicle — Long straight section of busy highway — Child apparently 12 years old suddenly running across road into path of moving car — Collision occurring near retail shop and child's home — Driver travelling slowly but unable to avoid collision — Driver not negligent — Civil Liability Act 2002 (Tas), s 11. WORDS AND PHRASES "Another complaint in relation to the same matter".

Greenham Tasmania Pty Ltd v Director of Public

"Gel blaster".

"Isolation procedures".

WORDS AND PHRASES — continued	
"Prohibited firearm".	
Tasmania v Milligan (No 2)	106
WORKERS COMPENSATION	
Proceedings to obtain compensation — Weekly payments — Causation — Determination of claims — Procedure — Disputed liability for weekly payments and other benefits — Preliminary procedure before hearing — Whether reasonably arguable case exist — Onus on employer — Employer relying on specialist psychiatric report — Commissioner concluding that assumptions underlying expert opinion not based on proper evidentiary foundation — Tribunal finding reasonably arguable case did not exist — Tribunal not shown to be wrong — Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Tas), ss 3, 81A.	
Poman Catholic Church Trust v Prosser	75