

DECEMBER 2022

The Authorised Reports of Decisions of the
Supreme Court of South Australia

THE
SOUTH
AUSTRALIAN
STATE REPORTS
2021

GENERAL EDITOR
KRISTOPHER HANDSHIN KC

REPORTERS IN THIS PART

MITCHELL BRUNKER
ALISON DOECKE

DAVID KELLY
RAFFAELE PICCOLO

VOL 140 — PART 1

PAGES 1-134

The mode of citation of this part will be:
140 SASR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-134

Attorney-General (SA) v Woods-Pierce	43
Attorney-General (SA); Shi v	43
Attorney-General (SA); Treloar v	43
Colovic v Davey and Davey	56
Davey and Davey; Colovic v	56
Kokotis; R v	1
Paschalis v Return to Work Corporation of South Australia and Another	77
Queen, The; Quist v	16
Quist v The Queen	16
R v Kokotis	1
Return to Work Corporation of South Australia and Another; Paschalis v	77
Shi v Attorney-General (SA)	43
Treloar v Attorney-General (SA)	43
Woods-Pierce; Attorney-General (SA) v	43

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2022 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0049-1470

INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-134

CRIMINAL LAW

- Particular offences — Offences against peace and public order — Offensive weapons — Firearms — “Imitation firearm” — Nature of evidence to prove article meets definition of “imitation firearm” being an article “intended to be taken for firearm” — Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935 (SA), s 5, Firearms Act 2015 (SA), s 4.
R v Kokotis 1
- Procedure — Juries — External influence on the jury — No inquiry into the circumstances of the external influence — Application for a mistrial refused and a direction given to the jury — Stated reason for refusal was that a real chance of acquittal by the jury was otherwise anticipated — Whether the stated reason for the refusal to declare a mistrial caused a miscarriage of justice.
Quist v The Queen 16
- Procedure — Juries — External influence on the jury — No inquiry into the circumstances of the external influence — Jury provided with an external party’s views on a critical piece of evidence — Application for a mistrial refused and a direction given to the jury — Whether the external influence caused a miscarriage of justice.
Quist v The Queen 16
- Sentence — Post-custodial orders — Other types of post-custodial orders — Extended supervision order — Application to juveniles — Offender a youth at time of offending — Offender sentenced as an adult to imprisonment — Offender an adult when application made — Power to make order available — Criminal Law (High Risk Offenders) Act 2015(SA), s 6(1).
Attorney-General (SA) v Woods-Pierce 43
- Sentence — Sentencing orders — Orders and declarations relating to serious or violent offenders or dangerous sexual offenders — Application to juveniles — Offender a youth at time of offending — Youth offender sentenced as an adult to imprisonment — Offender an adult when application made — Power to make order available — Criminal Law (High Risk Offenders) Act 2015(SA), s 6(1).
Attorney-General (SA) v Woods-Pierce 43

PROCEDURE

- Civil Procedure — Pleadings — Strike out application — Whether reasonable basis for relief sought.
Colovic v Davey and Davey 56

REAL PROPERTY

- Torrens Title — Indefeasibility of Title — Easements and Rights — Interpretation — Limitations in the grant of an Easement.
Colovic v Davey and Davey 56

INDEX

WORKERS' COMPENSATION

Entitlement to compensation – Injury, disease or disability — Psychiatric illness — Deduction for contributing factors not related to work injury — Requirement to disregard impairments from unrelated injuries or causes — Requirement to deduct portion of an impairment due to previous injury — Assessment of — Return to Work Act 2014 (SA), ss 22(8)(b), 22(8)(g). <i>Paschalis v Return to Work Corporation of South Australia and Another</i>	77
---	----