

NOVEMBER 2022

Mining, Energy and Resources Decisions from the High Court,
Federal Court, State and Territory Supreme Courts, Environment
and Planning Courts and Tribunals and Mining Wardens

AUSTRALIAN RESOURCES LAW REPORTS

2021-2022

GENERAL EDITOR
KANAGA DHARMANANDA SC

ASSISTANT EDITOR
ROBERT SIZE

REPORTER
ANNA ELIZABETH

VOL 15 — PART 3

PAGES 288-389

The mode of citation of this part will be:
15 ARLR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 3 — Pages 288-389

CS Energy Limited v GPS Power Pty Limited & Ors	288
Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power; Herridge Parties v	1
Gadtech Materials Pty Ltd v Magistrates Court of Tasmania	329
GPS Power Pty Limited & Ors; CS Energy Limited v	288
Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power	1
Mines and Petroleum, Minister for; Onslow Resources Ltd v	80
Mudgee Dolomite & Lime Pty Ltd (In Liq) and Others; Murdoch and Others v	335
Murdoch and Others v Mudgee Dolomite & Lime Pty Ltd (In Liq) and Others	335
Onslow Resources Ltd v Minister for Mines and Petroleum	80
Queensland Bulk Water Supply Authority t/as Seqwater v Rodriguez & Sons Pty Ltd	93
Rodriguez & Sons Pty Ltd; Queensland Bulk Water Supply Authority t/as Seqwater v	93
Tasmania, Magistrates Court of; Gadtech Materials Pty Ltd v	329

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2022 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited
ABN 64 058 914 668

Lawbook Co.

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1836-6775

INDEX

Part 3 — Pages 288-389

CONTRACT

- Principles of contractual interpretation — Where parties to a long term contract for the supply and sale of electricity from a power station — Where sophisticated commercial parties — Where defined term “Station Annual Forecast” for electricity production — Where contract permits defined term to bare different meaning if context requires — Whether defined term only incorporates information necessary for the purpose of the particular clause — Whether primary judge erred in failing to apply the orthodox approach of inserting the full meaning given to the defined term into the operative clause of the contract.
CS Energy Limited v GPS Power Pty Limited & Ors 288
- Principles of contractual interpretation — Whether “Station Annual Forecast” includes upper and lower electricity estimates — Where the respondents conceded that the reference to “Station Annual Forecast” in a related clause includes the upper and lower estimates — Where concession not referred to by primary judge when dealing with the relevant clause — Whether the primary judge erred in failing to refer to the concession when construing the relevant clause.
CS Energy Limited v GPS Power Pty Limited & Ors 288

ENERGY

- Electricity supplied from coal fired power station — Long-term supply contract for supply and sale of electricity — Electricity supplied to meet needs of downstream Aluminium Smelter — Coal stockpiles required to be maintained by respondents within upper and lower limits to meet electricity needs for Smelter — Where contract provided for forecasts to meet electricity demand — Where monthly, annual and long term energy forecasts — Where Appellant can sell excess electricity for profit — Where appellant claimed that failure to maintain coal according to upper limit forecasts resulted in lost trading profits — Where declaration sought that upper forecast was required to be considered in relevant contractual provision.
CS Energy Limited v GPS Power Pty Limited & Ors 288

ENERGY AND RESOURCES

- Minerals — Mineral Resources Development Act 1995 (Tas) (Act) — Where compensation payable under s 144(1) of the Act to private landholders over whose land a mining tenement is granted — Sale of mining tenement — Where application for transfer of mineral tenement pending under the Act — Where no agreement as to rate of compensation — Where rate of compensation yet to be determined by Mining Tribunal — Where application for determination of compensation made by an applicant for or a holder of a mineral tenement — Whether proposed transferee is an “applicant for a mineral tenement”.
Gadtech Materials Pty Ltd v Magistrates Court of Tasmania 329

INDEX

ENERGY AND RESOURCES — *continued*

Where head contract for provision of quarrying services became impossible for contractor to perform — Where companies owned by director and senior employee of the contractor took over work — Whether this was an opportunity which the director and senior employee were required to prefer the principal's interests in; whether within the scope of their fiduciary obligations — Whether breach of duties.

Murdoch and Others v Mudgee Dolomite & Lime Pty Ltd (In Liq)
and Others 335

EQUITY

Fiduciary obligations — Scope of duty — Company's contracts to provide crushing services to a mine performed by a director's and employee's own companies without disclosure — Whether constituted a breach of duty — Whether inability of company to perform its obligations a defence — Scope of fiduciary duty determined with regard to company's actual course of conduct.

Murdoch and Others v Mudgee Dolomite & Lime Pty Ltd (In Liq)
and Others 335

Remedies for breach of fiduciary duty — Account of profits — Contracts entered into by companies controlled by director and employee in breach of fiduciary duties — Contracts incapable of rescission — Whether principles in *Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co v Johnson* (1938) 60 CLR 189; [1938] HCA 16 precluded account of profits — Principles inapplicable to contracts for the supply of services.

Murdoch and Others v Mudgee Dolomite & Lime Pty Ltd (In Liq)
and Others 335

Remedies for breach of fiduciary duty — Account of profits — Where principal is less than fully informed, but nonetheless "stands by" while fiduciaries continue to derive profits — Whether principal had sufficient information to make it inequitable to stand by while profits continued to be made, and thereafter to obtain an account of those profits.

Murdoch and Others v Mudgee Dolomite & Lime Pty Ltd (In Liq)
and Others 335

STATUTORY INTERPRETATION

Whether proposed transferee is an "applicant for a mineral tenement" within the meaning of s 150 of the Act — Whether proposed transferee can make an application under s 150 of the Act — Where mere hope or expectation to become tenement holder.

Gadtech Materials Pty Ltd v Magistrates Court of Tasmania 329