

SEPTEMBER 2022

The Authorised Reports of the Decisions of the
Supreme Court of Tasmania

THE
TASMANIAN
REPORTS
2020-2021

EDITOR

L W MAHER

REPORTERS

BENEDICT BARTL
CHRISTOPHER GROVES

JENNIFER O'FARRELL
LEWIS RINGWALDT

VOL 33 — PART 3

PAGES 244-364

**PUBLISHED FOR THE COUNCIL OF LAW REPORTING
OF TASMANIA BY THOMSON REUTERS (PROFESSIONAL) AUSTRALIA LTD.**

The mode of citation of this part will be:
33 Tas R

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 3 — Pages 244-364

AB and CD; Tasmania v	1
Ashrafi v Director of Public Prosecutions (Cth)	234
Barnes; Hefny v	88
Barnes; Johnston v	194
Bell v Tasmania	95
Burrows; TT Line Company Pty Ltd v	163
CBF v Tasmania	353
CGU; Thomas v	244
Churchman; Motor Accidents Insurance Board v	127
CMB v Mental Health Tribunal	306
Elnami v Tasmania	60
Gamble v Kingborough Council	43
Gandini v Tasmania Police	320
Gutwein v Tasmanian Industrial Commission	107
Hefny v Barnes	88
Hopkinson v Wilkie	153
Horan; Turner v	213
Johnston v Barnes	194
Jordan v Rutland	81
Judges; Mrgic v	203
Kingborough Council; Gamble v	43
Lese; Tassal Operations Pty Ltd v	337
McTaggart; Triffitt v	220

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2022 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0085-7106

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Mental Health Tribunal; CMB v	306
MFC; State of Tasmania v	12
MFC; State of Tasmania v	21
Motor Accidents Compensation Tribunal; Rush v	326
Motor Accidents Insurance Board v Churchman	127
Mrgic v Judges	203
Ohl v Tasmania	264
Public Prosecutions (Cth), Director of; Ashrafi v	234
Rattigan; State of Tasmania v	224
Rush v Motor Accidents Compensation Tribunal	326
Rutland; Jordan v	81
Tasmania Police; Gandini v	320
Tasmania v AB and CD	1
Tasmania, State of v MFC	12
Tasmania, State of v MFC	21
Tasmania, State of v Rattigan	224
Tasmania; Bell v	95
Tasmania; CBF v	353
Tasmania; Elnami v	60
Tasmania; Ohl v	264
Tasmanian Industrial Commission; Gutwein v	107
Tassal Operations Pty Ltd v Lese	337
Thomas v CGU	244
Triffitt v McTaggart	220
TT Line Company Pty Ltd v Burrows	163
Turner v Horan	213
Wilkie; Hopkinson v	153

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

INDEX

Part 3 — Pages 244-364

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

- Health law — Detention, involuntary treatment, care and protection of mentally ill persons — Mental Health Tribunal — Treatment order — Criteria — Determination of chance of serious harm to patient or others — Person diagnosed as suffering from schizophrenia — Likelihood of self-harm or harm to others in the absence of treatment — Something that might well happen — Mathematical probability test inappropriate — Mental Health Act 2013 (Tas), ss 40(b), 174.
CMB v Mental Health Tribunal 306
- Judicial review — Grounds of review — Claims for motor vehicle accident medical benefits — Referral of claims by Motor Accidents Insurance Board to Motor Accidents Compensation Tribunal — Merits of referrals — Procedural fairness — Context — Variable content — Broad test — Reasonable opportunity to present case — Hearing — Nature of hearing — Direction that hearing of application be conducted by telephone — Applicant insisting that Tribunal schedule an in-person hearing — Refusal of applicant's adjournment application — Applicant warned that hearing might proceed in absence of applicant — No breach of duty to afford natural justice established — Motor Accidents (Liabilities and Compensation) Act 1973 (Tas).
Rush v Motor Accidents Compensation Tribunal 326

CRIMINAL LAW

- Appeal and new trial — Particular grounds of appeal — Conduct of defence counsel — Alleged incompetence of trial counsel — Counsel said to have failed to abide by instructions — Broad discretion of counsel as to conduct of trial.
Ohl v Tasmania 264
- Appeal and new trial — Particular grounds of appeal — Fresh evidence — Availability at trial, materiality and cogency — Availability at trial — Evidence said to be in possession of Crown not disclosed to defence.
Ohl v Tasmania 264
- Appeal and new trial — Procedure — Notice of appeal — Time for appeal and extension thereof — Jury verdict returned in 2014 — High Court refusing special leave to appeal in 2015 — Application for extension of time for leave to appeal 18 months later — No merit in grounds of fresh evidence and incompetence of counsel — Criminal Code (Tas), s 418(2).
Ohl v Tasmania 264

INDEX

CRIMINAL LAW — *continued*

- Procedure — Warrants, arrest, search, seizure and incidental powers — Warrants —
Warrants for arrest and detention — Issue and validity — Person subject to
police bail — Deliberate failure by person in court to answer to name on bail
and court documents — Justices Act 1959 (Tas), s 34 — Bail Act 1994 (Tas),
s 5(1)(a) — Road Safety (Alcohol and Drugs) Act 1970 (Tas), s 14(1)(b) —
Police Offences Act 1935 (Tas), s 34B(1)(b).
Gandini v Tasmania Police 320
- Sentence — Sentencing orders — Community Protection — Orders and
declarations relating to serious or violent offenders or dangerous sexual
offenders — Dangerous sexual offender — Registration, reporting and like
matters — Factors relevant to assessment of reporting period — Child offenders
— Non-punitive nature of reporting orders — Maximum reporting period for
child guilty of reportable offence — Community Protection (Offender Reporting)
Act 2005 (Tas), ss 24, 25.
CBF v Tasmania 353

HUMAN RIGHTS

- Individual liberty — Arrest — Person charged with summary street offences —
Person released from custody on police bail — Person appearing in court —
Deliberate refusal to answer to name — Gravity of right to be free of detention
— Motion to review magistrate's issue of warrant for arrest of person dismissed.
Gandini v Tasmania Police 320

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS

- Obligations — Criminal Law — Appeal and new trial — Particular grounds of
appeal — Cogent evidence not available at trial — Alleged failure of
prosecution to disclose exculpatory evidence — No particulars given in
application — Court of Criminal Appeal informed on hearing of application that
it was filed on a speculative basis — Unsatisfactory explanation — Conduct
bordering on misuse of Court process — Court finding it necessary to remind
legal practitioners of obligations — To studiously avoid misusing Court process
— Not to file documents containing unsupported assertions.
Ohl v Tasmania 264

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- Remedies — Availability — Discretion — Declarations — Supreme Court Civil
Procedure Act 1932 (Tas), s 47(1) — Supreme Court Rules 2000 (Tas), r 103.
CMB v Mental Health Tribunal 306

STATUTES

- Interpretation — Use of extraneous material — Parliament — Second reading
speech.
Thomas v CGU 244

INDEX

WORDS AND PHRASES

- “Likely”.
 CMB v Mental Health Tribunal 306
- “State where the worker is usually based”.
 Thomas v CGU 244

WORKERS COMPENSATION

- Entitlement to compensation — Injury, disease or disability — Disease — Aggravation or acceleration of pre-existing disease or condition — General principles — Causation — Employment major or most significant contributing factor to aggravation, acceleration, exacerbation or deterioration of pre-existing disease — Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Tas), ss 3, 25, 49(2)(a), 81A.
 Tassal Operations Pty Ltd v Lese 337
- Liability of employer to pay compensation — Reasonably arguable case — Whether requisite causal relationship between employment and injury.
 Tassal Operations Pty Ltd v Lese 337
- Proceedings to obtain compensation — Appeals, judicial review — Question of law — Entitlement to compensation — Requirement that employment be relevantly connected with the State of Tasmania — Statutory indicia of connection — Requirement that evidence clearly showed connection — Cartage contractor with principal place of business in Tasmania — Employment of casual heavy vehicle driver to “help on mainland Australia” — Employment of worker resident in Victoria — Use of depot in Port of Melbourne for change of prime movers, trailers and loads — Worker visiting employer’s place of business in Tasmania once in course of employment — Worker injured in New South Wales — Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Tribunal determination that worker’s employment not relevantly connected with Tasmania — No error of law demonstrated — Appeal dismissed — Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Tas), s 31A(1), (3), (5), (6) — Acts Interpretation Act 1931 (Tas), s 8A.
 Thomas v CGU 244
- Proceedings to obtain compensation — Preliminary requirements — Interim payments — Reasonably arguable case for employer not established — No error established — Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Tas), s 81A.
 Tassal Operations Pty Ltd v Lese 337