

AUGUST 2022

---

The Authorised Reports of the Decisions of the  
Supreme Court of Tasmania

---

THE  
TASMANIAN  
REPORTS

2020-2021

EDITOR

L W MAHER

REPORTERS

BENEDICT BARTL  
CHRISTOPHER GROVES

ANTON HUGHES  
JENNIFER O'FARRELL

VOL 33 — PART 2

PAGES 127-243

PUBLISHED FOR THE COUNCIL OF LAW REPORTING  
OF TASMANIA BY THOMSON REUTERS (PROFESSIONAL) AUSTRALIA LTD.

The mode of citation of this part will be:  
**33 Tas R**

---

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 2 — Pages 127-243

AB and CD; Tasmania v .....	1
<b>Ashrafi v Director of Public Prosecutions (Cth)</b> .....	234
Barnes; Hefny v .....	88
<b>Barnes; Johnston v</b> .....	194
Bell v Tasmania .....	95
<b>Burrows; TT Line Company Pty Ltd v</b> .....	163
<b>Churchman; Motor Accidents Insurance Board v</b> .....	127
Elnami v Tasmania .....	60
Gamble v Kingborough Council .....	43
Gutwein v Tasmanian Industrial Commission .....	107
Hefny v Barnes .....	88
<b>Hopkinson v Wilkie</b> .....	153
<b>Horan; Turner v</b> .....	213
<b>Johnston v Barnes</b> .....	194
Jordan v Rutland .....	81
<b>Judges; Mrgic v</b> .....	203
Kingborough Council; Gamble v .....	43
<b>McTaggart; Triffitt v</b> .....	220
MFC; State of Tasmania v .....	12
MFC; State of Tasmania v .....	21
<b>Motor Accidents Insurance Board v Churchman</b> .....	127
<b>Mrgic v Judges</b> .....	203
<b>Public Prosecutions (Cth), Director of; Ashrafi v</b> .....	234
<b>Rattigan; State of Tasmania v</b> .....	224

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

---



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2022 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0085-7106

#### TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Rutland; Jordan v .....	81
Tasmania v AB and CD .....	1
Tasmania, State of v MFC .....	12
Tasmania, State of v MFC .....	21
<b>Tasmania, State of v Rattigan</b> .....	224
Tasmania; Bell v .....	95
Tasmania; Elnami v .....	60
Tasmanian Industrial Commission; Gutwein v .....	107
<b>Triffitt v McTaggart</b> .....	220
<b>TT Line Company Pty Ltd v Burrows</b> .....	163
<b>Turner v Horan</b> .....	213
<b>Wilkie; Hopkinson v</b> .....	153

---

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

# INDEX

Part 2 — Pages 127-243

## **CRIMINAL LAW**

- Animals — Infliction of pain and suffering — Transport of group of horses from Melbourne to Devonport by Bass Strait ferry — Inappropriate management of horses — Horses travelling in the same truck trailer — Failure to place horses in individual stalls — Animal Welfare Act 1993 (Tas), ss 7, 8.  
*TT Line Company Pty Ltd v Burrows* ..... 163
- Indictable Commonwealth offences — Possessing a slave — Trafficking in persons — Procedure — Costs — Power to award — Two defendants charged on separate complaints — Commonwealth deciding not to file indictment — Charges dismissed — Defendants applying for costs orders — Applications refused — Criminal Code (Cth), s 270.3(1)(a) — Costs in Criminal Cases Act 1976 (Tas), s 4(1)(b).  
*Ashrafi v Director of Public Prosecutions (Cth)* ..... 234
- Offences against the person — Common assault — Definition — Exclusions — Any act reasonably necessary for common intercourse of life — If act done only for purpose of such intercourse and not disproportionate to the occasion — Domestic couple — Female partner deciding to leave — Male partner seeking to prevent departure — Grabbing partner — Male partner's claim that his physical contact was no more than acceptable hugging as part of attempt to dissuade his partner — Finding that hugging not an ordinary incident of social intercourse upheld — Criminal Code (Tas), s 182(3).  
*Turner v Horan* ..... 213
- Particular offences — Assault — Consent — Applicability — Assault in domestic setting — Argument between domestic partners — Evidence of use of violence by parties — Magistrate expressed the view that consent was not a defence to assaults upon victims of family violence — Open on evidence for magistrate to find that no consent was given by the complainant — Police Offences Act 1935, (Tas), s 35 — Criminal Code (Tas), s 182(4).  
*Hopkinson v Wilkie* ..... 153
- Procedure — Information, indictment or presentment — Averments — Uncertainty, duplicity and ambiguity — Duplicity — Management of animals — Offence to manage animals by method reasonably likely to result in unreasonable and unjustifiable pain or suffering — Transport of horses in truck trailer unit on Bass Strait ferry — Particulars — Alleged deficiencies in inspection of horses before and during voyage and failures in relation to the manner, positioning or circumstances of transportation — Further particulars alleged non-compliance with regulations — Essence of offence was using a method of management reasonably likely to bring about the prohibited result — Method of management almost inevitably comprised of a number of aspects — Charge related to a single course of conduct and not duplicitous — Animal Welfare (Land Transport of Livestock) Regulations 2013 (Tas), r 34(6) — Animal Welfare Act 1993 (Tas), ss 3A, 4, 6.  
*TT Line Company Pty Ltd v Burrows* ..... 163

## INDEX

### **CRIMINAL LAW — *continued***

- Sentence — Sentencing procedure — Approach to sentencing process —  
Procedural fairness — Obligation of court to raise important possibility of actual  
term of imprisonment — Obligation did not arise in this case.  
*Mrgic v Judges* ..... 203

### **EVIDENCE**

- Relevance — Test — Limited value of transcript of ex tempore remarks made in  
busy magistrates court — Identification evidence — Evidence Act 2001 (Tas),  
ss 55(1), 165(1)(a).  
*Johnston v Barnes* ..... 194

### **HIGH COURT AND FEDERAL COURT**

- The federal judicature — Application of State laws — Generally — Tasmanian  
legislation allowing an award of costs in criminal cases — State legislation  
inapplicable to federal offences — Costs in Criminal Cases Act 1976 (Tas),  
s 4(1)(b).  
*Ashrafi v Director of Public Prosecutions (Cth)* ..... 234

### **MAGISTRATES**

- Appeal and review — Tasmania — Jurisdiction — Motion to review — Availability  
— Summary criminal charges — Determination and adjudication — Complaint  
alleging two charges — Duplicity — First charge relating to single event —  
Second charge alleging multiple instances of criminal conduct arising from  
single event — Magistrate ruling against duplicity submission — Defendant  
aggrieved by ruling — Magistrate's ruling upheld on review by Supreme Court  
— Whether decision on judicial review amenable to appeal — Justices Act 1959  
(Tas), ss 31, 107, 116.  
*TT Line Company Pty Ltd v Burrows* ..... 163

- Appeal and review — Tasmania — Motion to review — Other matters — Appeal  
against finding of guilt on one count of common assault which was also a breach  
of a family violence order — Finding of guilt reasonably open to the magistrate.  
*Turner v Horan* ..... 213

- Appeal and review — Tasmania — Motion to review — Other matters — Plea of  
guilty to three counts of common assault — Serious public violence against  
strangers — Applicant with no prior convictions for violence — Sentence of  
imprisonment for 12 weeks with half suspended not manifestly excessive.  
*Mrgic v Judges* ..... 203

## INDEX

### **MAGISTRATES — *continued***

- Appeal and review — Tasmania — Motion to review — When remedy available — Driving charges — Operating or controlling a motor vehicle in unnecessary execution of sustained loss of traction (“burn-out”) — Evading police — Identification of driver of vehicle — Alibi defence — Charges found proved — Whether error in finding — Whether inadequate reasons for rejecting alibi defence — Police Offences Act 1935 (Tas), s 37J(1) — Police Powers (Vehicle Interception) Act 2000 (Tas), s 11A(1).  
*Johnston v Barnes* ..... 194
- Coroners — Powers — Autopsy or post mortem — Power to order autopsy if coroner believes it was reasonably necessary — Statutory requirement that unexpected or accidental deaths be reported — Coroner ordering that autopsy be performed — Body of elderly woman found near river close to her home — Apparent drowning — Application by daughter that autopsy not be performed on mother’s body — Balancing of competing interests — Strongly held religious beliefs of the deceased and her daughter in opposition to autopsy as a medical procedure — Opposing public interest in excluding foul play — Risk of foul play highly unlikely — Risk that any offender might reoffend — Balance of interests in favour of autopsy — Daughter’s application dismissed — Coroners Act 1995 (Tas), ss 19, 28, 36, 37.  
*Triffitt v McTaggart* ..... 220

### **STATUTORY INTERPRETATION**

- Basic principles — Literal approach — Beneficial approach — Ascertainment — Competing legislative purposes — Time limits — Time as reference to moment or instant of an event rather merely a date — General rule that law takes no account of fractions of a day — Contextual considerations — Exceptions — Creation of criminal offence — Statute providing for expiry of motor vehicle registration at midnight — Properly interpreted as reference to date of expiry.  
*Motor Accidents Insurance Board v Churchman* ..... 127
- Text, context and purpose — General provision concerning defence to specific criminal offence — Consent — No warrant for introducing words by reason of Family Violence Act 2004 (Tas).  
*Hopkinson v Wilkie* ..... 153

### **TRAFFIC LAW**

- Statutory restrictions on compensation in respect of motor vehicle accidents — Tasmania — Generally — Motor Accidents Insurance Board — Board exercising discretion to pursue recovery of scheduled benefits arising from motor accident — Insurance premium paid and vehicle registration renewed after accident but on same day — Whether premium paid “at the time of accident” — Whether payment retrospectively effective — Effect of subordinate regulations and related legislation — Motor Accidents (Liabilities and Compensation) Act 1973 (Tas), ss 15, 28B(2)(b), 33.  
*Motor Accidents Insurance Board v Churchman* ..... 127

## INDEX

### **WORDS AND PHRASES**

“At the time of the motor accident”.	
<i>Motor Accidents Insurance Board v Churchman</i> .....	127
“Burn-out” — Vernacular.	
<i>Johnston v Barnes</i> .....	194
“Consent”.	
<i>Hopkinson v Wilkie</i> .....	153
“Grab”.	
<i>Turner v Horan</i> .....	213
“Order”.	
<i>TT Line Company Pty Ltd v Burrows</i> .....	163
“Recurrence, aggravation, acceleration, exacerbation or deterioration”.	
<i>State of Tasmania v Rattigan</i> .....	224

### **WORKERS COMPENSATION**

Proceedings to obtain compensation — Preliminary requirements — Liability of employer to pay compensation — Interim payments — Reasonably arguable case for employer — Referral of claim to Workers Compensation and Rehabilitation Tribunal — Error in point of law to find arguable case not established — Appeal by employer allowed — Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Tas), ss 3(1), 81A.

<i>State of Tasmania v Rattigan</i> .....	224
---	-----