

JUNE 2022

---

The Authorised Reports of the Decisions of the  
Supreme Court of Tasmania

---

THE  
TASMANIAN  
REPORTS  
2020-2021

EDITOR  
L W MAHER

REPORTERS  
BENEDICT BARTL  
LEWIS RINGWALDT

**VOL 33 — PART 1**

**PAGES 1-126**

**PUBLISHED FOR THE COUNCIL OF LAW REPORTING  
OF TASMANIA BY THOMSON REUTERS (PROFESSIONAL) AUSTRALIA LTD.**

The mode of citation of this part will be:  
33 Tas R

---

---

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-126

|                                                         |     |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| <b>AB and CD; Tasmania v</b> .....                      | 1   |
| <b>Barnes; Hefny v</b> .....                            | 88  |
| <b>Bell v Tasmania</b> .....                            | 95  |
| <b>Elnami v Tasmania</b> .....                          | 60  |
| <b>Gamble v Kingborough Council</b> .....               | 43  |
| <b>Gutwein v Tasmanian Industrial Commission</b> .....  | 107 |
| <b>Hefny v Barnes</b> .....                             | 88  |
| <b>Jordan v Rutland</b> .....                           | 81  |
| <b>Kingborough Council; Gamble v</b> .....              | 43  |
| <b>MFC; State of Tasmania v</b> .....                   | 12  |
| <b>MFC; State of Tasmania v</b> .....                   | 21  |
| <b>Rutland; Jordan v</b> .....                          | 81  |
| <b>Tasmania v AB and CD</b> .....                       | 1   |
| <b>Tasmania, State of v MFC</b> .....                   | 12  |
| <b>Tasmania, State of v MFC</b> .....                   | 21  |
| <b>Tasmania; Bell v</b> .....                           | 95  |
| <b>Tasmania; Elnami v</b> .....                         | 60  |
| <b>Tasmanian Industrial Commission; Gutwein v</b> ..... | 107 |

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

---

---



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2022 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited

Lawbook Co.

ABN 64 058 914 668

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0085-7106

# INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-126

## COURTS

- Practice and procedure — Summary dismissal of civil proceeding — Pleading — Statement of Claim — Whether reasonable cause of action disclosed — Authorities perhaps indicative of no cause of action — Power to strike out not to be used to stultify development of common law — Defendant failing to establish very clear case that no cause of action pleaded — Discretion.
- State of Tasmania v MFC* ..... 21

## CRIMINAL LAW

- Appeal and new trial — Appeal against sentence — Grounds for interference — Sentence manifestly excessive or inadequate — Trafficking in crystalline methylamphetamine — Offender found in possession of 28.8 grams — Proof of three sales in previous 10 days — Prior conviction for trafficking in methylamphetamine — Evidence that appellant not an addict selling purely to fund addiction — Sentence of two years' imprisonment with non-parole period of 16 months not manifestly excessive.
- Bell v Tasmania* ..... 95
- Evidence — Confessions and admissions — Statements — Admissions by accused or counsel at trial and after conviction — Concessions by defence counsel — Defence counsel precluded from arguing contrary to concession made at preliminary hearing — Evidence Act 2001 (Tas), ss 191 184.
- Gamble v Kingborough Council* ..... 43
- General matters — Ancillary liability — Conspiracy — Evidence — Admissibility — Co-conspirator's rule — Preconditions for admissibility of hearsay representations of co-conspirator — Prejudice or embarrassment to accused — One accused charged with murder of victim two days after death of victim's husband — Victim's death occurring in her home — Death caused by lethal injection of drugs over a period of time on day of victim's death — Person who administered lethal dose charged with murder — That person and another person present charged with conspiracy to conceal cause of death and deceive police — Whether co-conspirator's rule applicable where evidence admitted to prove the existence of conspiracy — Purpose test — Criminal Code (Tas) ss 158, 297, 311(2), 326 — Evidence Act 2001 (Tas), ss 18, 66.
- Tasmania v AB and CD* ..... 1
- Particular offences — Assault — Generally — Definition of assault — Elements of offence — Finding that the applicant was subjectively reckless in applying force to the complainant sufficient to amount to an assault.
- Jordan v Rutland* ..... 81

## INDEX

### CRIMINAL LAW — *continued*

- Particular offences — Bestiality — Interpretation — Legislative history —  
Demurrer pleaded by accused on basis that crime of bestiality only committed  
where penetration is with a penis by, or of, an animal — Accused inserted finger  
into dog's anus, attempted to masturbate dog's penis, attempted to induce dog to  
lick his penis and attempted to penetrate dog's anus with his penis — Held that  
s 122 of the Criminal Code required vaginal penetration or anal penetration by,  
or of, an animal — Demurrer allowed — Accused discharged — Criminal Code  
(Tas), ss 122, 354(4).  
*Elnami v Tasmania* ..... 60
- Sentence — Sentencing orders — Non-custodial orders — Other types of order —  
Drug treatment order — Court required to order an assessment report if  
considering making a drug treatment order — Sentencing judge declined to  
order an assessment report — Inappropriate to order an assessment report if not  
considering making the order — Sentencing Act 1997 (Tas), ss 27B, 27C, 27D.  
*Bell v Tasmania* ..... 95

### ENVIRONMENT AND PLANNING

- Environmental planning — Planning offences — Prosecution for use of land  
without planning permit — Motel operators permitting mobile coffee cart  
owners to conduct business without land use permit — Whether operation of  
cart involved use of land — Whether incidental to permitted use of land for  
motel — Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (Tas), ss 51, 3(3), 65E.  
*Gamble v Kingborough Council* ..... 43

### INDUSTRIAL LAW

- Tasmania — Registered agreements — Interpretation — Fairness — State Service  
employees — State Service Act 2000 (Tas), s 37(3)(b).  
*Gutwein v Tasmanian Industrial Commission* ..... 107
- Tasmania — Registered agreements — Tasmanian Industrial Commission —  
Jurisdiction and powers — Agreements — Approval — Retrospectivity —  
Agreement increasing remuneration for former employees — Validity —  
Whether agreement applied to former employees not employed at the time of the  
agreement but employed during the relevant time — Industrial Relations Act  
1984 (Tas) ss 3, 55(1), (4)(a), (6)(b).  
*Gutwein v Tasmanian Industrial Commission* ..... 107

## INDEX

### MAGISTRATES

- Hearing — Conduct of magistrates — Prosecution — Assault and resisting police — Procedural fairness — Apprehended bias — Unrepresented defendant — Prosecution witness — Ability to make himself understood in English — Magistrate not obliged to arrange for an interpreter to be supplied — Magistrate's participation in conduct of hearing — Controlling presentation of evidence-in-chief of unrepresented defendant and his witness — Magistrate — No unfairness in the circumstances.  
*Hefny v Barnes* ..... 88
- Hearing — Evidence — Other matters — Matters relating to decision — Appeal against finding of guilt on one count of assault and one count of trespass — Whether finding of guilt was reasonably open to magistrate — Conclusions drawn by magistrate clearly open.  
*Jordan v Rutland* ..... 81

### PROCEDURE

- Civil proceedings in State and Territory Courts — Ending proceedings early — Summary disposal — Generally — Claim not clearly untenable — Application for judgment dismissed — Application to strike-out pleadings in respect of the tort of dismissed — Misfeasance in public office — Statutory immunity from liability — Children, Young Persons and Their Families Act 1997 (Tas), ss 18.  
*State of Tasmania v MFC* ..... 12
- Supreme Court procedure — Tasmania — Procedure under rules of Court — Associate Judges — Supreme Court Civil Procedure Act 1932 (Tas), ss 47, 191B.  
*State of Tasmania v MFC* ..... 12

### STATUTORY INTERPRETATION

- Extrinsic aids — Parliamentary Debates — Minister's Second Reading Speech.  
*Elnami v Tasmania* ..... 60

### TORTS

- Intentional torts — Misfeasance in public office — Publication of report of investigation of report of allegation by child of sexual assault by proprietor of foster home — Good faith — Recklessness — Children, Young Persons and Their Families Act 1997 (Tas), s 111.  
*State of Tasmania v MFC* ..... 12
- Miscellaneous torts — Misfeasance in public office — Public servant investigating and reporting as to circumstances of child alleged to have been sexually assaulted — Claim for damages by foster parent against State — Whether arguable that foster parent had a cause of action — Children, Young Persons and Their Families Act 1997 (Tas), s 111.  
*State of Tasmania v MFC* ..... 21

## INDEX

### WORDS AND PHRASES

|                                                                                                                                     |     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| “Act done, or omitted, in good faith in the performance or exercise, or purported performance or exercise, of a function or power”. |     |
| <i>State of Tasmania v MFC</i> .....                                                                                                | 12  |
| “Bestiality”.                                                                                                                       |     |
| <i>Elnami v Tasmania</i> .....                                                                                                      | 60  |
| “Development”.                                                                                                                      |     |
| <i>Gamble v Kingborough Council</i> .....                                                                                           | 43  |
| “Good faith”.                                                                                                                       |     |
| <i>State of Tasmania v MFC</i> .....                                                                                                | 21  |
| “Industrial matter”.                                                                                                                |     |
| <i>Gutwein v Tasmanian Industrial Commission</i> .....                                                                              | 107 |
| “Land”.                                                                                                                             |     |
| <i>Gamble v Kingborough Council</i> .....                                                                                           | 43  |
| “Use”.                                                                                                                              |     |
| <i>Gamble v Kingborough Council</i> .....                                                                                           | 43  |