

MAY 2022

Mining, Energy and Resources Decisions from the High Court,
Federal Court, State and Territory Supreme Courts, Environment
and Planning Courts and Tribunals and Mining Wardens

AUSTRALIAN RESOURCES LAW REPORTS

2021

GENERAL EDITOR
KANAGA DHARMANANDA SC

ASSISTANT EDITOR
ROBERT SIZE

REPORTER
ANNA ELIZABETH

VOL 15 — PART 1

PAGES 1-92

The mode of citation of this part will be:
15 ARLR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-92

Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power; Herridge	
Parties v	1
Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western	
Power	1
Mines and Petroleum, Minister for; Onslow Resources Ltd v	80
Onslow Resources Ltd v Minister for Mines and Petroleum	80

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2022 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited
ABN 64 058 914 668

Lawbook Co.

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1836-6775

INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-92

APPEAL

- Administrative law — Application for judicial review — Judicial Fact that mining operations statement not included with application — Decision that application for mining lease null and void — Review of decision.
Onslow Resources Ltd v Minister for Mines and Petroleum 80

APPORTIONMENT OF LIABILITY BETWEEN CONCURRENT WRONGDOERS

- Whether a claim in nuisance based on a failure to take reasonable care is an apportionable claim.
Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power 1

DAMAGES

- Whether trial judge erred in failing to award damages for physical inconvenience.
Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power 1

MINING LAW

- Mining Act 1978 — Statutory interpretation — Application for mining lease — Requirement that application be accompanied by mining operations statement — Whether absence of mining operations statement fatal to exercise of power — Whether noncompliant mining operations statement can satisfy essential preliminaries for the exercise of power by the Minister to grant a mining lease.
Onslow Resources Ltd v Minister for Mines and Petroleum 80

NEGLIGENCE

- Duty of care — Privately owned power pole on rural land — Power pole used past service life and affected by bio-deterioration — Power pole fell and started bushfire — Whether trial judge erred in finding occupier liable in negligence — Whether owner owed duty of care to inspect and maintain power pole — Whether duty breached by failing to inspect power pole — Whether the breach caused the plaintiffs' loss.
Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power 1

INDEX

NEGLIGENCE — *continued*

Duty of care — Public authorities — Non-delegable duties — Where operator of electricity distribution system placed service apparatus it owned on privately owned consumer power pole — Where network operator engaged independent contractor to undertake works — Where independent contractor failed to adequately inspect power pole — Whether network operator owed a non-delegable duty to ensure independent contractor took reasonable care — Whether network operator took reasonable care in the selection and engagement of independent contractor — Whether trial judge erred in finding duty not non-delegable and effectively delegated.

Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western

Power 1

Duty of care — Public authorities — Whether operator of electricity distribution system owed a duty of care arising from the delivery of electricity through its electricity distribution system — Scope of duty of care — Whether network operator breached that duty of care — Whether alleged duty incompatible with s 25 of Electricity Act 1945 (WA).

Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western

Power 1